INTRODUCTION

The death of George Floyd on 25 May 2020 ignited already high tensions in the U.S. Black community after months of sheltering in place to prevent the spread of COVID-19. Much higher rates of lost lives and jobs occurred in communities of color than in White communities. The confluence of events highlighted systemic inequities for People of Color (POC), making them visible to others. In the mostly peaceful protests that took place all over the world, an interesting and positive observation could be made—there were many White participants, signaling a change in the understanding of the phrase “Black Lives Matter.” The wave of protests sparked a wave of a variety of organizations and businesses issuing statements of support. GSA was one of the first organizations to do this, while acknowledging that the geosciences have not done a good job of advancing diversity. The current mindset provides an opportunity for us to interrogate the discipline’s failure to achieve equity while it is experiencing a mood of receptive inquiry. This article examines some of the historical data on diversity in the geosciences and cites studies that provide possible reasons why representation has not increased. A recognition of previous and existing programs currently generating more POC geoscientists is key: Building upon them can provide a path to successfully improving diversity in the geoscience community.

NEARLY FOUR DECADES OF DIVERSITY GAINS?

The concept of “implicit bias” caught fire in recent years as an explanation for how people’s choices and expectations impact evaluation, hiring, and promotion decisions. More recently, machine learning applications were expected to eliminate bias because models trained with just data would be free of human bias. One study concluded that judges’ bail determinations for the riskiest criminal suspects were incorrect nearly 50% of the time (Kleinberg et al., 2018). The promise of the machine to eliminate bias was very quickly dampened by the realization of just how difficult it is to keep human biases out of the machine learning process (Zou and Schiebinger, 2018). While we were examining our biases, representation gave way to diversity, thereby softening the focus on parity. The result was that measuring all students and faculty by the same metric prevented the desired increase of those underrepresented in academia (Tapia, 2010).

The numbers of women geoscientists have increased since prior to the start of my professional career at the U.S. Geological Survey in 1988, but I am still usually the only person in my combined category (Black woman geoscientist) in the room. This reality is reflected in the fact that the number of geoscience Ph.D.s awarded to Black and Native American people has remained nearly constant in the 38 years of data shown in Figure 1, obtained from the National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics (NCSES), illustrating where progress has (or has not) been achieved regarding parity of POC in the academy. Parity relative to the U.S. population would mean an earth-science department faculty is 13% Black, but the portion of Black faculty remains significantly below that, less than 2%. The data analyzed for this article is personally relevant for me; it tracks my involvement in the field starting with my undergraduate study. Since 1980, the proportion of Ph.D.s awarded to Black recipients averaged 2.6%. Martinez-Acosta and Favero (2018) suggested that diversity efforts were unsuccessful because the culture of academia may not truly be inclusive. Organizational culture change resulting from diversity training appears to have been short-lived in most cases, and a study published in the Harvard Business Review found that voluntary diversity programs in the corporate environment are the most effective at achieving equity (Dobbin and Kalle, 2016). These findings are also applicable to academia, consistent with the assertion by Golom (2018) that institutional culture is a significant obstacle to change.

Another possible problem with diversity initiatives is that they are often implemented beneath an umbrella covering all underrepresented groups. The most effective solutions for each group can be different, though overlapping. Conflating diverse groups together may have had a detrimental effect on the success of POC in higher education (Shapiro et al., 2017). In the past few years, the number of white female Ph.D. recipients has reached near parity with White men but Black and other POC still fall significantly short of representation. Issues related to retention, support, advancement, and freedom from sexual harassment have become more important for women than recruitment, as shown in a study done at Columbia University (June, 2018).

DISCUSSION

Recruiting POC students to earth science is a critical part of the solution. A successful program of recruitment and access that is exposing students to geosciences at the middle to high-school level is the Mathematics, Science, and Engineering Academy (M-SEA) at Fort Valley State University, implemented in 1993 as part of their long standing (ca. 1983) Cooperative Developmental Energy Program (CDEP). A total of 419 STEM graduates have been produced from the programs, 47 of whom received geoscience degrees. An analysis of data on Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) from the NCSES shows that more than 30% of STEM bachelor’s degrees awarded to Black
CONCLUSIONS

For many years, a commitment to enhance diversity has been expressed by universities and in the private sector, but the numbers indicate a lack of efficacy for those efforts or an inability to turn good intentions into concrete actions. It seems clear that a one-size-fits-all approach to improve diversity does not do so equally across all underrepresented groups. With respect to increasing POC in the geosciences, additional programs modeled after CDEP can fill the pipeline. The biggest challenge for replicating and sustaining these programs is funding, which for CDEP comes largely from the private sector because businesses recognized an opportunity to cultivate needed talent. Making geoscience culture more welcoming to POC is only part of the solution, and the critical question is whether the now-enlightened academic geoscience community has the will to adopt and support (financially and otherwise) replications of programs that have proven to increase representation.
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Figure 1. Doctorates awarded in geoscience, atmospheric, and ocean sciences from 1980 to 2018, showing distribution by ethnicity and race. Top histogram shows degrees awarded to women, bottom histogram shows degrees awarded to men. Source: National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics.