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The 1906 earthquake and a century of progress in understanding earthquakes and their hazards

Mary Lou Zoback, U.S. Geological Survey, 345 Middlefield Rd., MS 977, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA

INTRODUCTION
At the turn of the century, San Francisco was the wealthiest and most important city on the Pacific Coast. With a population of 400,000, it was the eighth largest city in the country and the economic center of the West, largely the result of mining and railroad wealth. Then, in the early dawn of 18 April 1906, the city was rocked awake by a violent earthquake, which, together with the subsequent firestorm, reduced much of the city to ashes and ruins. The 1906 earthquake and subsequent fire remains one of the most devastating natural disasters this nation has known. At least 3000 people were killed, and in San Francisco alone, 225,000 out of the city’s ~400,000 residents were left homeless (Hansen and Condon, 1989). While the 1906 earthquake marked a seminal event in the history of California, it can also be remembered as the birth of modern earthquake science in the United States. It was the first time that an earthquake was recognized and documented as the result of a recurring tectonic process of strain accumulation and release. Under the leadership of Professor Andrew Lawson, of the University of California (UC)-Berkeley, teams of scientists and engineers spread across the state, carefully collecting and documenting physical phenomena related to the quake. Their exhaustive data and thoughtful conclusions, published in landmark volumes two and four years after the earthquake, together with a complementary report published by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in 1907, led to a number of new discoveries about the cause and effects of earthquakes. These discoveries underlie much of modern seismic hazard analysis.

**Keywords:** San Andreas fault, 1906 earthquake, elastic rebound, seismic hazard, strike-slip faulting.

EARLY EARTHQUAKE HISTORY
Our knowledge of the earliest historic earthquakes in the San Francisco Bay area comes from Spanish mission records, dating back to the founding of Mission Dolores in San Francisco in 1776. The Padres reported on earthquakes damaging their missions, but this record becomes spotty with secularization in 1838. After the Gold Rush, numerous newspapers around the region provided excellent coverage. The historical records indicate that the latter two-thirds of the nineteenth century was a period of intense seismic activity throughout the greater San Francisco Bay area (Fig. 1). In fact, 18 magnitude 6.0 or larger earthquakes occurred in the 70 years prior to 1906, averaging one about every four years (Bakun, 1999, 2000). Significant earthquakes in this period include a magnitude 6.8–7.4 earthquake on the San Francisco peninsula in 1838, probably on the San Andreas fault (Topposzada and Borchardt, 1998; Bakun, 1999); a magnitude 6.9–7.0 event on the Hayward fault in 1868 that killed more than 35 people across the region and caused significant damage both in East Bay towns and in San Francisco (Yu and Segall, 1996; Bakun, 1999); and a magnitude ~6.8 event north of Point Arena, possibly on the offshore portion of the San Andreas fault (Bakun, 2000).

Earthquakes seemed to be accepted as a nuisance but part of daily life in the region. On 12 December 1904, Andrew Lawson wrote in The Daily Californian, the UC-Berkeley newspaper, “History and records show that earthquakes in this locality have never been of a violent nature, as so far as I can judge from the nature of recent disturbances and from accounts of past occurrences there is not occasion for alarm at present” (in Fradkin, 2005, p. 25).

THE SHOCK RECORDED AROUND THE WORLD
At 5:12 a.m. on 18 April 1906, San Francisco residents were awakened by a strong jolt or a large roar. Stumbling from their beds, many were unable to stand as the floor and their buildings began to shake violently. Originating from an epicenter offshore from San Francisco, the earthquake ruptured the San Andreas fault in two directions, to the NW and SE, and strongly shook all of coastal northern California. Careful observers reported strong shaking lasting for 45–60 s. Many reliable observers also reported two strong pulses of shaking separated by 25–30 s (probably subevents of the large rupture). The earthquake was recorded on six local seismometers and on 90 stations around the world, part of a growing global seismic network. The next day, the New York Times featured on its front page a seismogram of the 1906 earthquake as recorded at the State Museum in Albany, New York.

The earthquake struck without warning. There was no unusual seismic activity noted in the days, weeks, and months preceding the 1906 earthquake (Gilbert, 1907, p. 16). However, astute local observers did report shaking believed to be related to a foreshock occurring ~30 s before the main shock (Bolt, 1968; Lomax, 2005).

Within minutes of the end of shaking, fires broke out around the city of San Francisco. As is reported in a number of recent books, ruptured water lines, unseasonably warm temperatures, and the use of explosives helped create and fuel a firestorm that raged for three days; intense winds were generated as air rushed in to feed the inferno, which burned with temperatures in excess of 2000 °F. When the fires were finally out, more than 28,000 buildings had been destroyed, with some estimates attributing 80%–85% of the damage in San Francisco to the fire.

WHEN THE SHAKING STOPPED—BIRTH OF MODERN EARTHQUAKE SCIENCE IN THE UNITED STATES
One of leading geologists of his time and a former chief geologist of the USGS, Grove Karl Gilbert was visiting UC-Berkeley in April 1906, studying the effects of hydraulic min-
ing on San Francisco Bay. His reaction to the quake was probably typical of that of a number of scientists and engineers in the area. "When, therefore, I was awakened in Berkeley on the eighteenth of April last by a tumult of motions and noises, it was with unalloyed pleasure that I became aware that a vigorous earthquake was in progress" (in Wallace, 1980, p. 41).

Three days after the earthquake, California Governor George C. Pardee appointed an eight-person Earthquake Investigation Commission in response to a request by Andrew Lawson. As requested by Lawson, the commission would work without pay, requiring only field expenses that were ultimately raised from the Carnegie Institution (who also published the final report). Lawson led the commission and oversaw the work of more than 25 geologists, seismologists, geodesists, biologists, and engineers, as well as some 300 others who contributed to the effort (Prentice, 1999).

1908, and v. II, Reid, 1910; 643 pages total) will be referred to as the Commission Report, with the volume number noted as appropriate. The report is still available today in print and online (see references).

The commission was relentless in systematically reporting all manner of data about the earthquake. They included more than 310 photographs and numerous sketches capturing details of the surface rupture, offset culture features, and the nature and style of damage to buildings and other structures. In addition to documenting the earthquake, they also conducted simple laboratory experiments and mathematical analyses to help them understand some of their puzzling observations. In the end, their thoughtful interpretation of all these data, models, and analyses lead to a number of first-order discoveries about the earthquake and its effects.

Recognizing the San Andreas Fault as a Continuous Geologic Feature

The Commission Report (v. I) contains the first integrated description of the San Andreas fault. Small sections had previously been mapped and described (in 1895, Lawson had mapped and named a few miles of the fault on the San Francisco peninsula after the San Andreas Valley in which it was contained), but the earthquake rupture demonstrated the continuity of the structure. The location and morphology of the fault zone are described in detail and depicted on numerous large-scale maps along the ~220 km onshore portion of the surface rupture. One commission member, H.W. Fairbanks, continued mapping the San Andreas fault southeast of the 1906 rupture all the way to Southern California, southeast of San Bernardino, connecting the 1906 rupture to the same fault as the still relatively fresh rupture from the 1857 magnitude ~7.8 earthquake in Southern California. The Commission thus established the San Andreas fault as a continuous geologic structure extending for over 600 miles throughout much of California.

Establishing the Movement on the Fault as Strike-Slip

By documenting the offset of fences, roads, rows of trees, and other cultural features, the commission geologists reported commonly between 8 and 15 ft (and locally up to 21 ft) of horizontal slip during the earthquake. They also noted that the offsets north of the Golden Gate were generally greater than those to the south on the San Francisco peninsula. Gilbert (1907) reported associated vertical offsets that were “minor and of variable amount.” The significance of this large horizontal offset remained problematic for decades. As Prentice (1999) noted, most scientists (including many of the contributors to the 1908 report) did not consider horizontal slip to be a geologically important mode of fault movement. This is not surprising because gravity provided an obvious source of vertical forces but there was no known source of large-scale horizontal forces until the theory of plate tectonics was established many decades later. Wallace (1949) was the first to suggest substantial (~240 km) right-lateral offset along the San Andreas fault. In a landmark paper, Hill and Dibblee (1953) suggested several hundred kilometers of right-lateral displacement of geologic units and also showed that older units were offset more than younger units.

Inferring Earthquake Recurrence from Evidence of Similar Past Movements

In addition to documenting the width of and offset along the rupture zone, commission geologists noted small-scale linear ridges and valleys lying within and striking parallel to the fault zone. Gilbert, in particular, grasped the significance of these topographic features, commenting, “It is easy to understand that the inception as well as the perpetuation of the ridges and valleys was due to faulting” (v. I, p. 33). He further noted that “the surface changes associated with the earthquake tended, within this belt, to increase the differentiation of the land into ridges and valleys,” thus establishing the evidence for repeated recent earthquakes along the fault. These observations expanded on his conclusions of recurring earthquakes along the Wasatch fault zone in Utah based on repeated vertical offsets of Lake Bonneville shorelines (Gilbert, 1884) and provided the foundation for the modern field of tectonic geomorphology (Prentice, 1999).

In its summary section (v. I, p. 53), the Commission Report concluded, “The successive movements which in the past have given rise to the peculiar geomorphologic features of the Rift ... have with little question been attended in every case by an earthquake of greater or less violence. The earthquake of April 18, 1906, was due to a recurrence of movement along this line.” (Note: Because the fault was often contained within a linear, well-defined 0.8–1.6-km-wide valley, the report commonly refers to the fault zone as the San Andreas Rift.) The commission members’ attention to detail and confidence in the recurrence of such events led them to establish two small arrays “for measurement of future movements on the San Andreas fault” (v. I, p. 152).

Proposing the Elastic Rebound Theory and Basis for the “Earthquake Cycle”

One of the most profound findings from the commission investigation came from analysis of a relatively new and unexplored data set—distortion of the triangulation surveying network. J.F. Hayes and A.L. Baldwin of the U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey resurveyed much of the northern California triangulation network in the 15 months after the earthquake. Their careful analysis (v. I, p. 114–145) documented evidence for displacements in both the 1868 Hayward fault earthquake and the 1906 quake. For 1906, they found that coseismic horizontal displacements were approximately parallel to the San Andreas fault, with points on opposite sides of the fault moving in opposite directions, consistent with the observed right-lateral offsets. They further concluded that the magnitude of the displacements decreased in a nonlinear fashion away from the fault, with the displacement decreasing most rapidly near the fault (Fig. 2).

H.F. Reid, in vol. II of the Commission Report, grasped the significance of the geodetic data on coseismic displacement as well as the evidence for distant pre-earthquake displacements. He inferred that the nearly instantaneous fault slip during the quake represented release, or “elastic rebound,” of distant applied external elastic forces (Reid, 1910, p. 17) (Fig. 2). Reid went on to explore his theory with experiments in which he deformed, in shear, a layer of stiff jelly with a fault cut through it. He showed that shear displacements of the
edge of the jelly block loaded the “fault,” and when the fault was allowed to slip, the strain was relieved and the net result was block displacement.

No doubt influenced by Gilbert’s and others’ geomorphic evidence for repeated faulting, Reid envisioned the strain accumulation and release as a recurring process. He proposed the now famous “elastic rebound hypothesis” to explain the earthquake cycle—that earthquakes represent sudden release of elastic energy along a fault resulting from a long period of slow strain accumulation. This hypothesis is still accepted today, even though the basis for large-scale horizontal displacements wasn’t established until the plate tectonic revolution more than 50 years later.

Correlating Shaking Intensity to Geology

The commission’s attention to geologic and geomorphic phenomena was matched by their detailed descriptions of the damage caused by the earthquake. They documented the extent and style of damage to all manner of buildings, dams, pipelines, and other structures. In addition, they reported the distribution and direction of motion of damaged chimneys, broken-off headstones, and even the direction that milk sloshed out of pails. Through interviews and combing newspaper and personal accounts, they compiled damage and shaking reports for more than 600 sites, demonstrating strong shaking throughout nearly all of the northern California Coast Ranges and revealing that the earthquake was felt into southern Oregon and as far east as central Nevada. Their damage reports remain the largest set of seismic intensities ever compiled for a single earthquake and recently were utilized to construct a modern “Intensity ShakeMap” for the 1906 earthquake, which can be compared to maps made of shaking intensity based on measured values, such as for the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake (Boatwright and Bundock, 2004).

The commission’s first-order observation from the damage reports was, not surprisingly, that shaking intensity generally decayed with distance from the fault. However, a detailed examination of damage in San Francisco corroborated observations elsewhere and indicated a strong correlation between surface geology and shaking intensity. H.O. Woods (v. I) compiled a detailed (1:24,000) intensity map for San Francisco, defining five intensity zones to which he assigned approximate ground accelerations by comparison to a calibrated Japanese seismic intensity scale. This was an amazing accomplishment considering the earthquake damage had to be sorted out from the far more pervasive and devastating fire damage. Comparison of Woods’ map with a geologic map of San Francisco compiled by Andrew Lawson revealed that “the amount of damage produced by the earthquake … depended chiefly on the geological character of the ground,” that the “areas that suffered most severely were those upon filled ground,” and “areas upon marshy ground showed destructive effects similar to artificial filled land” (v. I, p. 252).

To explore the observation that shaking intensity was stronger on soft ground than on rock at comparable distances from the fault, H.G. Rogers (v. I, p. 326–335) designed an ingenious set of experiments measuring the amplitude of shaking of sand in a vibrating box. Cognizant of possible scale and edge effects in such experiments, Rogers showed that at seismic frequencies, the amplitude of motion of soft, water-filled sediments is generally greater than that in surrounding rock. Using mathematical analysis to extend the application of these experiments, Reid (v. II, p. 54) correctly concluded that the response of basins depended on their size relative to the wavelength of the seismic waves and that in large basins, internal reflections could result in increased amplification. He further suggested that variations in amplitude within and

Figure 2. Elastic rebound hypothesis after Reid (1910). Fence indicates a line across the fault extending 100+ km on either side.
between large basins were related to “differences in the character and depth of the alluvium” (v. II, p. 56).

Relating Damage to Construction Style and Quality

The engineers on the commission, as well as those writing for the complementary USGS Bulletin (see Humphrey, 1907), quickly recognized several principles. The first was that well-constructed, tall steel frame buildings (generally commercial buildings built by private companies) performed quite well during the earthquake, particularly those with steel work that was well braced in the lower floors (Humphrey, 1907). A second principle was the “value of deep piling as a foundation structure in made land.” Despite the stronger shaking intensity in soft soils, they noted that cable car tracks (underpinned by deep pilings) were often all that remained passable (and served as sidewalks) on many streets destroyed by liquefaction. Similarly, they reported that “first-class modern buildings” on made land “built upon deep piling and grillage formations were not imperiled by injuries to their walls or framework” (v. I, p. 235).

Those positive outcomes were tempered by the recognition that much of the building damage could be related to poor construction practices. The Mining and Scientific Press, in its 28 April 1906 edition (in Fradkin, 2005, p. 23), noted that “the amount of dishonest construction that escapes undetected in a big city is appalling and it is this that the earthquake, like a relentless inspector, exposes.” Humphrey (1907) documented a number of faulty construction practices such as “collapse due to lack of tie between wall and frame” and “light wooden framing, insufficient bracing and poor mortar.” Both reports noted numerous examples of the peril of unreinforced masonry as well as brick or stone building façades. Sadly, this same story of poor construction practices—resulting in catastrophic damage and collapse—has oft been repeated in a number of recent large urban earthquakes, even in countries with supposedly modern building codes.

STILL REAPING REWARDS FROM THE COMMISSION REPORT

Nearly one hundred years after its publication, the Commission Report remains a model for post-earthquake investigations. Because the diverse data sets were so complete and carefully documented, researchers continue to apply modern analysis techniques to learn from the 1906 earthquake.

Seismological Data

Modern seismology was in its infancy at the time of the 1906 earthquake. The first modern seismometers developed out of a collaboration of three British scientists (Ewing, Gray, and Milne) at the Imperial College in Tokyo, Japan, in the early 1880s (Dewey and Byerly, 1969). In 1887, astronomers brought the first two seismometers in the Western Hemisphere to the Bay Area to track earth movements that might introduce astronomical errors, and on 19 August of that year, the first local earthquake was recorded in the Bay Area (Fradkin, 2005). Most of the seismometers in operation locally at the time of the 1906 earthquake were duplex pendulum seismometers that were not sufficiently damped and almost immediately went off-scale (Reid, 1910). The only instrument that stayed on-scale, an Ewing three-component seismograph at Lick Observatory, produced the first-ever “strong motion” record of a large earthquake (Bolt, 1968). Fortunately, the commission reported station and instrument data as well as arrival times for the six local and 90 other stations that recorded the 1906 earthquake. They obtained 72 of these seismograms and reproduced them in the Commission Report, preserving them for future examination and analysis.

The 1906 earthquake is often referred to as having a “Richter magnitude” of 8.3 (Richter, 1958, p. 340). However, the Richter magnitude scale was developed for local earthquakes recorded on high-frequency seismometers. The preferred descriptor for large earthquakes rich in low frequencies is moment magnitude (Mv). This magnitude is directly proportional to energy release and can be obtained from analysis of broad-spectrum seismograms or from the product of the rupture area and average fault slip. Modern analyses suggest a Mv between 7.7 and 7.9 for the 1906 earthquake (Wald et al., 1993; Thatcher et al., 1997). Each unit step in Mv is equivalent to roughly a factor of 32 in energy release; thus, it would require roughly thirty 1989 Mw = 6.9 Loma Prieta earthquakes occurring simultaneously to equal the energy release of the 1906 event.

In 1969, Bruce Bolt interpreted some of the teleseismic records and the local observations contained in the Commission Report using modern techniques to show that the epicenter was most likely offshore of Daly City, rather than near Olema as Reid had concluded in 1910. (Reid had used crude estimates of seismic wave velocities and probably misidentified the phase that stopped clocks). Boore (1977) interpreted the “strong motion record” from Mount Hamilton and concluded an epicenter offshore in the Golden Gate region best matched that data. Recently, Lomax (2005) combined modern analysis using three-dimensional velocity models with a grid-search algorithm of possible locations (to account for the imprecision of the observations). His maximum likelihood solution was in the region offshore of the Golden Gate. Constraining the epicenter to fall on the mapped fault offshore yields a “best estimate hypocenter” of 37.75°, −122.55°, and a depth of 10 ± 2 km with a horizontal uncertainty of ±4 km (Fig. 1).

Inferred Fault Length and Slip

In 1975, Thatcher reanalyzed the original triangulation surveying records used in the Commission Report and determined variable amounts of slip at depth along the 1906 rupture averaging 4–5 m north of the Golden Gate and 2–3 m to the south. Using some previously unexamined regional geodetic networks, Thatcher et al. (1997) refined the slip distribution for 1906. They determined slip along 10-km patches along the San Andreas fault where data were available and interpolated slip values for the patches in between; the resulting pattern of slip is in good agreement with the measured surface offsets (Fig. 3). Significantly, they concluded that the rupture extended all the way to Cape Mendocino through the offshore segment of the San Andreas fault north of Point Arena, for a total rupture length of 477 km. In fact, they interpreted that some of the largest slip occurred at this northernmost end, but noted large uncertainties in that region. This northern extension of the 1906 rupture is compatible with the seismic intensity distribution as well as reports of possible surface slip near Point Delgado.
Also plotted in Figure 3 are the results of an inversion for fault slip by Wald et al. (1991, 1993) using the teleseisms from the Commission Report. There is a rather poor agreement between this seismic inversion and the geodetic inversion for slip. Recently, Song et al. (2005) suggested this discrepancy may be explained by a supershear rupture velocity, possibly as high as 5 km/s.

**A CENTURY OF PROGRESS IN UNDERSTANDING EARTHQUAKES AND THEIR EFFECTS**

The knowledge and understanding that came out of the study of the 1906 earthquake established several principles that underlie modern seismic hazard assessment:

- The earthquake was associated with a long, continuous “active” fault that exhibited ample evidence of past earthquakes with similar-style fault offsets.
- Earthquake occurrence was attributed to a cycle of long-term elastic strain accumulation and sudden release.
- Earthquake ground motion was found to generally decrease with distance from the fault and vary according to the character of near-surface materials as well as local structure, such as in large, deep basins.

Over the past century, new data and techniques have enabled us to quantify and refine these principles. Modern seismic networks accurately locate earthquakes to help identify active faults, while seismometers with wide dynamic range are recording, on-scale, both weak and strong ground motion. Modern analysis of the broadband seismic data are yielding key insights into the nature of the earthquake source as well as the parameters that most influence damaging shaking levels in earthquakes. For example, Wald et al. (1993) used modern earthquakes as analogs to model 1906 teleseismic data. They proposed a large asperity (source of strong seismic radiation) along the 1906 rupture to explain extremely high shaking intensity extending from the San Andreas fault into the town of Santa Rosa, 40 km from the fault and the site of the greatest 1906 earthquake damage per capita (Humphrey, 1907).

In the 1970s, the USGS pioneered advanced surveying techniques to establish the localization and rates of strain accumulation along the San Andreas fault system. Today, strain data are collected by many groups using global positioning satellite receivers accurate enough to resolve long-term horizontal strain accumulation rates of several centimeters per year within only a few months of continuous observations.

The pioneering papers of Clark et al. (1972), Bonilla (1973), Sieh (1978), and Swan et al. (1980) demonstrated that the timing of past earthquakes could be constrained by dating of disrupted soil layers exposed in trenches across active faults. These data establish “recurrence intervals” for surface-rupturing earthquakes (generally magnitude ~6.5 and greater). Dating of offset channels and other geomorphic features yield long-term slip rates on active faults averaged over thousands of years. In some cases, these geologic slip rates agree well with geodetically determined strain accumulation rates. In other cases, there are large discrepancies, suggesting changes in rates over time and/or possible clustering behavior.

Geologic, seismic, and geodetic data provide key inputs into the first step in assessing seismic hazard of a region—source characterization, in which the active faults are identified and the likely magnitude and mean recurrence intervals for earthquakes on them are determined. Earthquake probabilities, or forecasts, are then developed by applying statistical models of the earthquake cycle and summing over all possible earthquake sources within a prescribed region. The probability calculation can be done in a time-independent manner; assuming average long-term, average occurrence rates; or, it can be done in a time-dependent fashion, in which the position within the earthquake cycle on each fault is taken into account by including the time since the most recent event on the fault. The Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities issued their first “earthquake forecast” in 1988 based on these principles. A current time-dependent earthquake forecast for the San Francisco Bay area, shown in Figure 4 (Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities, 2003), indicates that at
At least one damaging earthquake ($M_W \geq 6.7$) is nearly twice as likely as not to occur in the region over the next 30 years. This forecast integrates data on earthquake sources and strain loading in the Bay Area and allows for a range of earthquake sizes that rupture one or more identified segments of the major fault systems, as well as “background” earthquakes occurring off the main faults.

One of the most significant tectonic legacies of the 1906 earthquake was the “silencing” of seismicity throughout the San Francisco Bay region—undoubtedly a factor favoring the intense development and tenfold population increase of the region during the twentieth century. As previously noted, the relative seismic quiescence of the twentieth century is in marked contrast to the 70-year interval leading up to the 1906 earthquake (Fig. 1). The post-1906 suppression of seismicity on Bay Area faults is attributed to a “stress shadow” effect—the large slip on the San Andreas fault in 1906 reduced the Coulomb failure stress on all the surrounding parallel faults (Ellsworth et al., 1981; Harris and Simpson, 1998). The occurrence of moderate-sized earthquakes beginning in the late 1960s and including the 1989 Loma Prieta event suggests that the region has begun to emerge from the stress shadow as tectonic strain has reaccumulated slip to overcome the stress reductions. Uncertainty in the earthquake forecast (Fig. 4) reflects uncertainties in modeling the exact magnitude and duration of the stress shadow.

Modern seismic hazard assessments compute the expected earthquake shaking intensity levels within a region by integrat-
ing the full distribution of earthquake sources and their likelihoods of occurrence. Ground motions are determined using seismic attenuation models (e.g., Boore et al., 1997) that quantify how shaking intensity decreases with distance from the fault. The nature of this relationship is a focus of on-going research as are other parameters noted in the Commission Report as influencing shaking intensity, such as the effects of local soil conditions and path effects, including amplification and trapping of seismic energy in basins.

CONCLUSIONS

It is important to remember the lessons from the 1906 earthquake and the myriad of other major urban earthquakes over the past century. These earthquakes are likely to occur without any warning. Furthermore, earthquakes do not kill people, buildings do. Even if we attain the elusive goal of short-term earthquake prediction, we are still faced with a huge inventory of existing structures and infrastructure, some of which are very vulnerable to damage and/or collapse in future earthquakes. For example, estimates suggest there will be between 150,000 and 160,000 uninhabitable households in the San Francisco Bay area following a repeat of the 1906 earthquake or a Hayward fault rupture (Association of Bay Area Governments, 2003). The situation is far worse in other parts of the world.

Earthquake forecasts alert the public to risk in high seismic hazard regions. Data from recent large earthquakes combined with advanced modeling techniques allow seismologists increasing sophistication in predicting ground motions of anticipated future quakes. Armed with the knowledge of likely ground motions, earth scientists can assist engineers in designing improved earthquake-resistant structures. We should also be working together to identify buildings and structures most at risk for severe damage and collapse in seismically vulnerable regions. Ultimately, scientists and engineers need to engage social scientists, policy makers, building owners, and the public to bring about effective seismic mitigation.
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Interior Western United States: GSA Field Guide 6
edited by Joel L. Pederson and Carol M. Dehler, 2005
The 2005 GSA Annual Meeting in Salt Lake City provided a large and diverse terrain for field trips—from the Basin and Range to the Rocky Mountains, from the Snake River Plain, across the Colorado Plateau, to the Mojave Desert. This volume contains 22 field trip articles. All combine the latest research with useful road logs to spectacular and often classic geologic settings. The regional tour has a core set of information and stratigraphy-paleontology contributions, and is rounded off with volcanic, glacial, lacustrine, fluvial geomorphology, neotectonic, geologic hazard, and geoarchaeology articles.

$45.00, member price $36.00

Field Trips in the Southern Rocky Mountains, USA
edited by Eric P. Nelson and Eric A. Ersliev, 2004
The Front Range of the Rocky Mountains and the High Plains preserve an outstanding record of geological processes from Precambrian through Quaternary times. With energy and mineral resources, geological hazards, water issues, geoarchaeological sites, and famous dinosaur fossil sites, the Front Range and adjacent High Plains region provide ample opportunities for field trips focusing on our changing world. The chapters in this field guide all contain technical content as well as a field trip log describing field trip routes and stops. Of the 25 field trips offered at the 2004 GSA Annual Meeting, 14 are described in the guidebook, covering a wide variety of geoscience disciplines, with chapters on tectonics (Precambrian and Laramide), stratigraphy and paleoenvironments (e.g., early Paleozoic environments, Jurassic eolian environments, the K-T boundary, the famous Oligocene Florissant fossil beds), economic deposits (coal and molybdenum), geologic hazards, and geoarchaeology.

FLD005, 234 p., ISBN 0-8137-0005-1
$30.00, member price $24.00

Western Cordillera and Adjacent Areas
edited by Terry W. Swanson, 2003
This volume includes guides for 15 of the field trips held in conjunction with the 2003 GSA Annual Meeting in Seattle. Topics covered include Glacial Lake Missoula and the Clark Fork Ice Dam; the Sauk Sequence in western Utah; the geology of wine in Washington state; the Columbia River basalt and Yakima Fold Belt; Alpine glaciation of the North Cascades; and recent geoarchaeological discoveries in central Washington. Quaternary geology of Seattle, engineering geology in the central Columbia Valley, and the tephrostratigraphy and paleoecology of southern Puget Sound are also covered, as are trips to the central Cascade Range and the White River.

FLD004, 284 p. ISBN 0-8137-0004-3, softcover
$45.00, (sorry, no additional discounts)

INQUA 2003 Field Guide Volume: Quaternary Geology of the United States
edited by Don J. Easterbrook, 2003
Much of the landscape in the United States was shaped by climatic events during the Quaternary, especially the erosion and deposition in the Pleistocene. The wealth of Quaternary features found in the U.S. includes continental ice sheet glaciation, alpine glaciation, marine shorelines, marine deposits, faulting, tectonic uplift, effects of isostatic rebound, pluvial lakes, large-scale eolian deposits, the world’s largest geothermal area, and much more. This volume contains 17 guides with contributions from 97 authors across the country, from Alaska and the west coast to New England, including much new, previously unpublished information. Each guide includes specific sites with interpretations of the features to be seen and discussions of critical issues.

FLDIN001, 438 p. ISBN 0-945820-50-4
$45.00 (sorry, no additional discounts)
The Pursuit of Science

PHILADELPHIA 2006

Building on a Foundation of Discovery

Recent responses to devastating natural disasters and escalating pressures on natural resources remind us of the need for the pursuit and distribution of objective, timely, and peer-reviewed scientific information. The 2006 GSA Annual Meeting will convene in Philadelphia and address this challenge under the theme of The Pursuit of Science: Building on a Foundation of Discovery.

The 2006 annual meeting will be an exciting and historic event that corresponds with the 300th birthday of Benjamin Franklin, one of the nation’s most celebrated scientists and a visionary leader in the integration of science, public policy, and the open exchange of information. Philadelphia is widely known for its historic buildings and was the site of the signing of the Declaration of Independence, the Constitutional Congress, and the nation’s first capital. Philadelphia was also the center of natural science in the colonies and the fledgling nation; many of the city’s oldest museums, libraries, and scientific collections date from the era, and the efforts, of Benjamin Franklin. Today, the Philadelphia area supports over 100 museums, arboreta, and other scientific collections, including the Academy of Natural Sciences, the home of the first dinosaur skeleton discovered in the Americas; the first zoo in the western hemisphere; and the recently opened Fairmount Water Works Interpretive Center, a museum of watershed dynamics and history of the first modern municipal water-supply facility in the New World.

Many of North America’s first geologists traveled regularly to Philadelphia to exchange information and share recent discoveries. Our 2006 annual meeting will carry on that tradition of the open exchange of scientific information and ideas through field trips, Sessions, special symposia, and the public forum. Please engage in this information exchange and the excitement of discovery by submitting abstracts and encouraging your colleagues to submit abstracts by 11 July 2006.

We look forward to welcoming many thousands of you to the City of Brotherly (and Sisterly) Love.

Robert Giegengack and Fred Scatena, University of Pennsylvania

2006 Annual Meeting General Co-Chairs

Important Dates, Events & Deadlines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registration Opens</td>
<td>Early June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Request Deadline</td>
<td>21 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstract Deadline</td>
<td>11 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Registration Deadline</td>
<td>18 Sept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancellation Deadline</td>
<td>25 Sept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premeeting Field Trips</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Courses &amp; Workshops</td>
<td>Sat.–Sun., 21–22 Oct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidential Address &amp; Awards Ceremony</td>
<td>Sat., 21 Oct., 7–9 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welcoming Party &amp; Exhibits Opening</td>
<td>Sun., 22 Oct., 5:30–7:30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Alumni Receptions</td>
<td>Mon., 23 Oct., 5:30 p.m.–1 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group Alumni Reception</td>
<td>Mon., 23 Oct., 7–9:30 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Exhibit Hall Hours                         | Sun., 22 Oct., 5:30–7:30 p.m.  
|                                           | Mon.–Tues., 23–24 Oct., 9 a.m.–5:30 p.m.  
|                                           | Wed., 25 Oct., 9 a.m.–2 p.m. |
| Postmeeting Field Trips                    | TBD                   |

Call For Papers

2006 Annual Meeting
Local Committee

General Co-Chairs

Robert Giegengack
University of Pennsylvania
gieg@sas.upenn.edu

Frederick Scatena
University of Pennsylvania
fns@sas.upenn.edu

Technical Program Chair

Robert Young
Western Carolina University
ryoung@email.wcu.edu

Field Trip Chair

Frank Pazzaglia
Lehigh University
fjp2@lehigh.edu

Annual Meeting Sponsor

THE GEOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA

Annual Meeting & Exposition
22–25 October 2006
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

GSA TODAY, APRIL/MAY 2006
RESERVE YOUR SPACE NOW!

Avoid panic and frustration by planning now for your business meeting, alumni party, reception, banquet, or social event at the 2006 GSA Annual Meeting in Philadelphia. To reserve space for your event at the headquarters hotel or at the convention center, make your plans NOW and complete the Meeting Space Request form online.

Step 1. Start planning NOW.
Step 2. Go to www.geosociety.org.
Step 4. Go to the Meeting Space Request Form and complete it online.
Or, link directly to the page at rock.geosociety.org/space_request.

Space Request Deadline: 21 June 2006

DON’T WAIT UNTIL THE LAST MINUTE!

GSA Associated Societies

American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists
American Institute of Professional Geologists
American Quaternary Association
American Rock Mechanics Association
American Society of Limnology and Oceanography
Association for Women Geoscientists
Association of American State Geologists
Association of Earth Science Editors
Association of Engineering Geologists
Association of Geoscientists for International Development
Council on Undergraduate Research–Geosciences Division
Cushman Foundation
Environmental & Engineering Geophysical Society
Geochemical Society
Geoscience Information Society
History of the Earth Sciences Society
International Association of GeoChemistry
International Association of Hydrogeologists
Karst Waters Institute
The Mineralogical Society*
Mineralogical Society of America
National Association of Black Geologists and Geophysicists
National Association of Geoscience Teachers
National Earth Science Teachers Association
National Ground Water Association
Paleontological Research Institution
Paleontological Society
Seismological Society of America*
Sigma Gamma Epsilon
Society for Sedimentary Geology
Society of Economic Geologists
Society of Vertebrate Paleontology

GSA Allied Societies

American Association of Petroleum Geologists
American Water Resources Association*
Asociación Geológica Argentina
 Geological Association of Canada
Geological Society of Australia
Geological Society of London
Geological Society of South Africa
National Association of State Boards of Geology*
Sociedad Geológica Mexicana, A.C.
Soil Science Society of America

*GSA welcomes these new Associated and Allied Societies!
These Pardee keynote sessions are special events of broad interest to the geoscience community. They represent hot issues on the leading edge in a scientific discipline or area of public policy, address broad fundamental issues, and are interdisciplinary. Selection was on a competitive basis. This year’s eight Pardee Symposia were reviewed and accepted by the Annual Program Committee. (All speakers are invited.)

**P1. Erosion: Processes, Rates, and New Measuring Techniques**

GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division
Geomorphology; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology

Synthetic view of the fundamental processes and rates of landscape erosion across wide temporal and spatial scales. Review of emerging techniques in measuring erosion and implications for landscape evolution, global sedimentary budgets, and human impacts.

**P2. Evidence for Long-Term Survival of Microorganisms and Preservation of DNA**

GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Geobiology; Planetary Geology; Archaeological Geology
Tim K. Lowenstein, Binghamton University, Binghamton, N.Y.; Michael N. Timofeeff, Binghamton University, Binghamton, N.Y.; Brian A. Schubert, Binghamton University, Binghamton, N.Y.

Talks will present evidence for or against long-term survival of microorganisms and preservation of DNA in amber, ancient salt, subsurface rocks, deep sea sediments, glacial ice, permafrost, bones, and teeth.

**P3. Geosciences and the Media: How Can We Better Communicate the Imperatives of Sustainability?**

GSA Geology and Society Division; Critical Issues Caucus, Geology and Public Policy Committee; GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division; GSA Engineering Geology Division; Association of Earth Science Editors
Geoscience Information/Communication; Public Policy; Environmental Geoscience
Paul H. Reitan, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, N.Y.; Susan W. Kieffer, University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill.; E-an Zen, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.; Allison R. Palmer, Institute for Cambrian Studies, Boulder, Colo.

Geoscientists have significant knowledge of hazards (volcanoes, earthquakes) and insidious creeping megacrisis (soil, water, resources, climate). A sustainable future needs more effective cooperation with the media for successful communication and public education on these issues.

**P4. Holocene Sea Level Change in North America: A Post-Katrina Assessment**

GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division; IGCP 495 (Quaternary Land-Ocean Interactions: Driving Mechanisms and Coastal Responses)
Quaternary Geology; Marine/Coastal Science; Neotectonics/Paleoseismology

The concerns about sea-level rise and coastal responses are larger than ever in the post-Katrina world. This session, a contribution to IGCP 495, will address Holocene sea-level change in North America from a multidisciplinary perspective.
P5. Links between Geological Processes, Microbial Activities, and Evolution of Life

*GSA International Division; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division; GSA Geology and Society Division; GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division*

Tectonics; Geomicrobiology; Geochemistry, Other

Yildirim Dilek, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio; Harald Furnes, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; Karlis Muehlenbachs, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta

This session will explore the mode and/or nature of links between geological processes and microbial activities as recorded in the Precambrian through modern rocks and their implications for the origin/evolution of life on Earth and other planets.

P6. Natural and Anthropogenic Disasters: Earth and Health Scientists Working Together to Identify Potential Health Issues and Improve Outcomes

*GSA Geology and Health Division*

Environmental Geoscience; Public Policy

Geoffrey S. Plumlee, U.S. Geological Survey, Denver, Colo.; Gabriel Filippelli, Indiana University–Purdue University, Indianapolis, Ind.

Disasters, both natural and human-produced, put a large strain on public health resources. This session brings together earth scientists and public health experts to understand the links between causes, impacts, and health-related outcomes of disasters.


*Quaternary Geology; Geomorphology; Environmental Geoscience*

Bruce Franklin Molnia, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Va.; Mike Sfraga, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska

This session in celebration of the 96th birthday of Bradford Washburn focuses on the use of historic photographs and maps to document landscape dynamics and evolution and to document Earth’s response to human and natural forces.

P8. When One Planet Isn’t Enough: Celebrating 25 Years of Solar System Exploration

*GSA Planetary Geology Division*

Planetary Geology

R. Aileen Yingst, University of Wisconsin, Green Bay, Wis.; Herbert Frey, National Aeronautics and Space Administration–Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Md.; Louise Prockter, Applied Physics Lab, Laurel, Md.

The Planetary Geology Division is proud to be celebrating its 25th anniversary as a Division of the Geological Society of America. In this session, the Division presents a selection of important, influential, and exciting discoveries, results, or controversies in planetary geology in the past 25 years.
Topical and Discipline Sessions

ABSTRACTS DEADLINE: 11 JULY

TOPOCAL SESSIONS

Below is a listing of all approved topical sessions. These sessions are topically focused with a mix of invited and volunteered papers. Sessions are designed to promote the exchange of interdisciplinary, state-of-the-art information. Papers can be submitted to a specific topical session, and you may choose up to three scientific categories. After each topical description below, the categories are identified as they appear on the abstract form. Please submit only in the mode (oral or poster) and categories indicated in the description. An abstract submitted in the incorrect mode will be transferred automatically to a discipline session.

Abstracts Deadline: 11 July

Please use the online electronic abstract form found on the GSA Web site, www.geosociety.org. An abstract submission fee will be charged. The fee is US$18 for all students and US$30 for all others. If you cannot submit your abstract electronically, contact Nancy Carlson, +1-303-357-1061, ncarlson@geosociety.org.

DISCIPLINE SESSIONS

From the list found on the electronic abstract form, you may choose up to three discipline categories you feel your abstract would best fit. Joint Technical Program Committee representatives organize the papers in sessions focused on disciplines (e.g., environmental geoscience, mineralogy).

T1. High Resolution Quaternary Records from Cave Environments

GSA Archaeological Geology Division; GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division; GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Sedimentary Geology Division; Society for Vertebrate Paleontology; Paleontological Society; Geochemical Society; Karst Waters Institute
Archaeological Geology; Geochemistry, Other; Quaternary Geology

Caves are geological time capsules. When dated, they reveal detailed patterns of climatic, sedimentological, and hydrological changes, and botanical, faunal, and archaeological turnover. Contributions from all disciplines working in caves, rock shelters, or karst fissures welcomed. ORAL and POSTER

T2. Alluvial Geoarchaeology of Large River Valleys

GSA Archaeological Geology Division
Archaeological Geology; Geomorphology; Quaternary Geology

This session encourages contributions from scientists who have investigated archaeology sites in large river valley settings. Discussions of soil stratigraphy, correlation, paleoenvironmental reconstruction, post-occupation burial and alteration, and newer techniques and analyses are particularly encouraged. ORAL

T3. Reconstructing Landscape Contexts of Human Occupation Surrounding Wetlands

GSA Archaeological Geology Division; GSA Limnogeology Division; GSA Geology and Society Division
Archaeological Geology; Limnogeology; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology
Catherine H. Yansa, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Mich.; Andrea K. Freeman, University of Calgary, Alberta

This session will provide examples of how valuable information about human activities in wetland and surrounding upland landscapes is obtained from the analysis of soils, sediments, and fossils from wetlands (lake, bog, marsh, and riparian). ORAL

T4. Marine Geoarchaeology: New Exploration of Sites from Coast to Shelf (Posters)

GSA Archaeological Geology Division
Archaeological Geology; Marine/Coastal Science; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology
Jean-Daniel Stanley, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.; Eduard G. Reinhardt, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario

Marine geoarchaeology aims to understand human and environmental interactions during the Holocene in now-submerged settings. New techniques and applications in this new interdisciplinary field will present the latest research in the reconstruction of coastal and shelf settings. POSTER

T5. Archaeological and Geoarchaeological Records of Natural and Human-Induced Disasters

GSA Archaeological Geology Division
Archaeological Geology; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology
Tina M. Niemi, University of Missouri, Kansas City, Mo.; Suzanne Leroy, University of Missouri, Kansas City, Mo.; L. Mark Raab, University of Missouri, Kansas City, Mo.

This session explores geologic and archaeological data, as well as historical records of catastrophic events and disasters in human history including earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, climate and environmental change, droughts, floods, and crises of cultural origin. ORAL and POSTER

T6. Geoarchaeology of Prehistoric Earthworks

GSA Archaeological Geology Division
Archaeological Geology; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology
Rolfe D. Mandel, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kans.

This session encourages contributions from researchers who have applied geoscientific methods, such as geophysics, remote sensing, soil stratigraphy, sedimentology, and micromorphological analyses, to the study of prehistoric earthworks, including mounds, mound-ridge complexes, canals, and moats. ORAL
Topical and Discipline Sessions

T7. Coal Utilization in the 21st Century: Environmental Issues
GSA Coal Geology Division; GSA Geology and Society Division; Public Policy; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Coal Geology; Environmental Geoscience; Public Policy

In this session, we will highlight research that looks at the ways coal geology influences the environment during mining, processing, and utilization. ORAL

GSA Coal Geology Division; Public Policy
Coal Geology; Environmental Geoscience; Economic Geology

This session will examine costs and consequences of extracting and utilizing energy resources to fulfill demand scenarios. Talks will focus on choices among fuels, including natural gas, oil, heavy oil, hydrates, nuclear, geothermal, and renewables. ORAL

T9. “Ice House”/“Hothouse”—An Analysis of Late Paleozoic Floras and Their Response to Global Climate Change
GSA Coal Geology Division; The American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists (AASP); Paleontological Society; Society for Sedimentary Geology (SEPM); GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Coal Geology; Paleontology/Paleobotany; Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination
Cortland Eble, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Ky.; Thomas D. Demchuk, ConocoPhillips; Hermann Pfefferkorn, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa.; Robert A. Gastaldo, Colby College, Waterville, Maine

This session will investigate the composition and dynamics of terrestrial vegetation during the Permian-Carboniferous ice age and attempt to resolve the scales and patterns of biotic turnover. ORAL

T10. Geotechnical Investigations: The Phase 1 Investigation in Karst Terrain
Engineering Geology; Environmental Geoscience; Geomorphology

The role of geologists during the initial phases of construction and resource development requires a multidisciplinary approach in karst areas. Papers discussing current karst research, field techniques, and geotechnical engineering through case studies are encouraged. ORAL and POSTER

T11. Engineering Geology in the Northeastern United States
Master of Science in Applied Geoscience Graduate Program at the University of Pennsylvania
Engineering Geology; Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience
Craig R. Calabria, GeoSystems Consultants, Inc., Fort Washington, Pa.; Chad Freed, Widener University, Chester, Pa.

This engineering geology session will focus on northeastern United States engineering geology, hydrogeology, and environmental geology. Speakers are encouraged to present papers relating to groundwater-surface water hydrology, groundwater modeling, geomechanics, engineering geology, geochemistry, geotechnics, and geophysics. ORAL

T12. Fractured Rock Characterization in Applied Geology
GSA Engineering Geology Division; GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division; American Rock Mechanics Association
Engineering Geology; Structural Geology; Hydrogeology
William C. Haneberg, Haneberg Geoscience, Seattle, Wash.

Technological advances and case histories related to fractured rock characterization in engineering geology, hydrogeology, and resource geology. Digital outcrop characterization, subsurface data analysis and prediction, stochastic fracture simulation, and geomechanical models of fractured rocks. ORAL

T13. Mining as a Factor in Human Health
GSA Geology and Health Division; GSA Geology and Society Division
Environmental Geoscience; Coal Geology; Hydrogeology
Larry D. Woodfork, Consulting Geologist, Morgantown, W.Va.; E. Lynn Savage, Brooklyn College, City College of New York, Brooklyn, N.Y.

Mining and quarrying may impact human health through either occupational or environmental exposure to toxic substances released in this process or as a consequence of mine accidents. Resultant environmental degradation may also affect human health. ORAL

Public Policy; GSA Geology and Society Division
Environmental Geoscience; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Hydrogeology
Curtis L. Hollabaugh, University of West Georgia, Carrollton, Ga.; Randa R. Harris, University of West Georgia, Carrollton, Ga.

Arsenic, lead, and mercury are toxic substances that can be widespread and tend to accumulate in the biosphere. This session will cover the role of these elements in watersheds in both rural and urban settings. ORAL and POSTER

Annual Meeting Sponsor
T15. Geochemical Modeling Applications in Ground Water Systems
International Association of GeoChemistry (IAGC); GSA Hydrogeology Division
Environmental Geoscience; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Hydrogeology
June E. Mirecki, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, Miss.; Russell S. Harmon, Research Triangle Park, N.C.

Session focuses on the application of geochemical models to quantify major organic, inorganic, and isotopic reactions and rates that control groundwater quality. Models that simulate water-rock-microbe interactions in pristine and contaminated systems are encouraged. ORAL and POSTER

T16. The Effect of Diagenetic Factors Such as Organic Complexation, Microbial Activity, and Mineral Surface Sorption/Complexation on the Mobilization/Sequestration of Uranium in Recent Sediments
Environmental Geoscience; Geochemistry, Organic; Geomicrobiology
Lenaye Bolanos, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, N.Y.; Paul A. Northrup, Brooklyn National Laboratory, Upton, N.Y.

State of the art techniques are used to investigate the diagenetic processes affecting uranium in recent sediments. Sequestration and mobilization processes related to microbial activities, sorption, complexation, and precipitation will be addressed. ORAL and POSTER

T17. An Early Involvement of Undergraduates and K-12 Students in Geological and Environmental Research (Posters)
GSA Geoscience Education Division
Environmental Geoscience; Geoscience Education; Geoscience Information/Communication
Nazrul I. Khandaker, York College of the City University of New York, Jamaica, N.Y.; Stanley Schleifer, York College of the City University of New York, Jamaica, N.Y.

This session is intended to provide hands-on and field-based geological and environmental information to the geoscience community and encourages undergraduates and high school students to give poster presentations covering topics ranging from purely geological to environmental. POSTER

T18. Collegiate Watershed Research Projects: Opportunities for Student Learning and Community Involvement
GSA Geoscience Education Division; Council on Undergraduate Research
Environmental Geoscience; Geoscience Education; Geochemistry, Aqueous
Kirsten M. Menking, Vassar College, Poughkeepsie, N.Y.; Brannon Andersen, Furman University, Greenville, S.C.

Session provides an opportunity for faculty and students engaged in collaborative interdisciplinary watershed studies to share ideas, strategies, best practices, and results from projects involving universities and community members in aquatic monitoring and stream restoration. POSTER

T19. Distribution of Arsenic and Related Metalloids in Surface and Ground Waters: Controls and Challenges
GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Geology and Health Division; GSA Geology and Society Division
Environmental Geoscience; Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous
Kaye Savage, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn.; Andrea Foster; U.S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park, Calif.; Prosun Bhattacharya, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Stockholm, Sweden; Abhijit Mukherjee, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Ky.

We encourage studies of physical and biogeochemical processes controlling spatial and temporal heterogeneities in concentration and speciation of arsenic and related metalloids. Resulting challenges for public health and water supply management are also of interest. ORAL and POSTER

T20. The Occurrence, Bioavailability and Toxicity of Arsenic and Fluoride from Drinking Water—A Widespread Issue
GSA Geology and Health Division; GSA Geology and Society Division; Geochemical Society
Geology and Health

Toxicity from arsenic or fluoride in drinking water, especially from groundwater sources, is affecting human populations on a global scale. Knowledge of the mechanisms of bioavailability may help in design of mitigation measures. ORAL

American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists (AASP)
Environmental Geoscience; Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology
Owen K. Davis, University of Arizona, Tucson, Ariz.

Natural disasters have occurred throughout recorded time and before. The geologic record of fires, droughts, earthquakes, tsunamis, and hurricanes has improved in the last decade through improved dating techniques and close-interval sampling. ORAL

T22. Sigma Gamma Epsilon Student Research (Posters)
Sigma Gamma Epsilon
Environmental Geoscience; Paleontology/Paleobotany; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology
Richard L. Ford, Weber State University, Ogden, Utah; Charles Mankin, Oklahoma Geological Survey, Norman, Okla.; Donald Neal, East Carolina University, Greenville, N.C.

This poster session, sponsored by Sigma Gamma Epsilon, will showcase undergraduate and graduate student research in all areas of geoscience. POSTER
T23. Multidisciplinary Approaches to Geochemical Problems
Geochemistry, Aqueous; Geomicrobiology; Geoscience Information/Communication
Nancy Washton, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa.; Karl T. Mueller, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa.

Multidisciplinary geo/chemical/bio-science: How do we make our knowledge bases, experimental and computational techniques, and scientific philosophies accessible to a highly diverse group of scientists working on geochemical problems? ORAL and POSTER

T24. Innovations in Groundwater Vulnerability Assessment (Posters)
GSA Hydrogeology Division
Geochemistry, Aqueous; Hydrogeology; Remote Sensing/Geographic Info System

This session will explore recent advances in groundwater vulnerability assessment. Poster presentations on new techniques and applications of field-based and modeling studies to quantify nonpoint-source groundwater contamination and related uncertainty are encouraged. POSTER

T25. Water-Quality Issues in Sole-Source and Principal Aquifers in the United States
GSA Hydrogeology Division; National Ground Water Association
Geochemistry, Aqueous; Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience

This session will focus on anthropogenic and natural factors that control water quality in sole-source and principal aquifers in the United States. We encourage presentations on innovative geochemical and hydrologic methods used to assess aquifer vulnerability. ORAL and POSTER

Geochemical Society; Society of Economic Geologists; Mineralogical Society of America
Geochemistry, Aqueous; Petrology, Experimental; Economic Geology

Hydrothermal and geothermal systems redistribute enormous amounts of mass and energy in the crust. This session provides experimental insight into fluid-magma-rock interactions in a wide range of geologic environments in continental and oceanic crust. ORAL

T27. Better Living through Geochemistry: Fostering an Understanding of Terrestrial Paleoenvironments and Paleoclimates
GSA Sedimentology Division; Society for Sedimentary Geology; Geochemical Society
Geochemistry, Other; Paleontology, Biostratigraphy; Sediments, Carbonates
Aisha H. Al-Suwaidi, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kans.; Francisk Palacios, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich.; Julie B. Retrum, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kans.

This session will focus on applications of isotope and elemental geochemical techniques to explore the sedimentary and paleontological record of ancient terrestrial climates and environments. ORAL and POSTER

Paleontological Society; Pander Society; Geochemical Society
Geochemistry, Other; Paleontology/Paleobotany; Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography
Jared R. Morrow, University of Northern Colorado, Greeley, Colo.; D. Jeffrey Over; State University of New York, Geneseo, N.Y.; Maya Elrick, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, N.Mex.

Session highlights recent, increasingly innovative uses of conodont microfossils as a tool in a wide variety of geological applications, including geochemical, geochronologic, paleoceanographic, paleoclimatic, event stratigraphic, and paleotectonic studies. ORAL and POSTER

T29. The Use of Molecular Techniques to Assess Microbial Community Structure and Function in Aquifer Systems
GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division; GSA Hydrogeology Division
Geomicrobiology; Environmental Geoscience; Hydrogeology

Direct measurements of the function and diversity of microorganisms are dramatically improving our understanding of geochemical cycling in aquifer systems. This session will examine the advantages, limitations, and application of available molecular techniques. ORAL and POSTER

T30. Quaternary Micropaleontology: Quantifying Environmental Change
Cushman Foundation; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Geomicrobiology; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology; Environmental Geoscience
Benjamin P. Horton, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa.; Robin J. Edwards, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

Microfossil assemblages provide a means of reconstructing and quantifying high profile issues such as climate and sea-level change, the frequency of hurricanes and tsunami, and environmental acidification. ORAL and POSTER
T31. Geomorphology and Hydrology of Montane Tropical Streams
GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division; GSA Hydrogeology Division
Geomorphology; Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience

Streams draining tropical montane catchments are important sources of water and hydropower, and play major roles in global sediment and biogeochemical budgets. This session focuses on geomorphology, ecohydrology, sediments dynamics, and management of these systems. ORAL and POSTER

T32. Linking Sediment Dynamics and Geomorphology in Tidal Marshes and Estuaries
GSA Sedimentary Geology Division
Geomorphology; Marine/Coastal Science; Sediments, Clastic
Christopher Sommerfield, University of Delaware, Lewes, Del.; Raymond Torres, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC.

This session focuses on sediment dynamics, the evolution of estuarine landscapes and seascapes, and the linkages between process and morphology. Spatial and temporal scales of interest range from bedform to drainage network development. ORAL and POSTER

T33. The Impact of Climate Change on Hydrologic and Geomorphic Processes in the Arctic and Subarctic
GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division
Geomorphology; Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography
Joan Ramage, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pa.; Rose McKenney, Pacific Lutheran University, Tacoma, Wash.

High-latitude rapid temperature increases may lead to irreversible shifts in hydrogeomorphic regime resulting in significant environmental and human impacts. We seek observations or predictions of climatic impacts on processes and responses in high latitudes. ORAL and POSTER

T34. Erosion: Processes, Rates, and New Measuring Techniques (Posters)
GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division
Geomorphology; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology

Synthetic view of the fundamental processes and rates of landscape erosion across wide temporal and spatial scales. Review of emerging techniques in measuring erosion and implications for landscape evolution, global sedimentary budgets, and human impacts. POSTER
Call For Papers
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T35. Watershed-Based Approaches to River Restoration
GSQuaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division; GSA Engineering Geology Division
Geomorphology; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology; Engineering Geology

This session emphasizes river restoration through manipulation of watershed-scale processes at broad temporal and spatial scales. Restoration approaches that accommodate natural variability of rivers to enhance ecological integrity will be highlighted. ORAL

T36. Surficial Processes at the Hyperarid Limit: Current Research in the Atacama Desert, Chile
GSAGeobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Geomorphology; Sediments, Clastic; Geomicrobiology
Jason A. Rech, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio; Ronald G. Amundson, University of California, Berkeley, Calif.

This session focuses on the quantification of surficial processes and landforms in the Atacama Desert and the use of these features to interpret environmental change on Earth and Mars. ORAL and POSTER

T37. Fluids at Plate Boundaries: Agents of Mechanical and Chemical Processes
GSASHydrogeology Division; GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division
Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology; Structural Geology; Tectonics
Demian Saffer, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa.; Jim Evans, Utah State University, Logan, Utah; Glenn Spinelli, New Mexico Institute of Mining & Technology, Socorro, N.Mex.

Fluids at plate boundaries influence deformation behavior, as well as global thermal, solute, and mass budgets. Presentations will include field, laboratory, and theoretical studies that provide quantitative insight into fluid-assisted mechanical and chemical processes. ORAL and POSTER

T38. Earthquakes and Effects on Health
GSA Geology and Health Division; GSA Geology and Society Division; GSA Engineering Geology Division
Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology; Tectonics; Environmental Geoscience
Constantin Cranganu, Brooklyn College, Brooklyn, N.Y.

The recent Pakistan earthquake illustrated health problems of remote areas including habitat and hospital destruction, reduced retrieval of buried survivors, impaired rescue aid due to isolation, and greater health hazards than in urban areas. ORAL

T39. Innovative Watershed-Based Approaches for Integrating Research and Education
GSAGeoscience Education Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers
Geoscience Education; Environmental Geoscience; Hydrogeology
Joseph R. Graney, Binghamton University, Binghamton, N.Y.; Michele Hluchy, Alfred University, Alfred, N.Y.

Watershed-scale studies are ideal for demonstrating biogeochemical complexity from research and education perspectives.

GSA Trivia Night

Come along and test your knowledge of geoscience trivia at this evening of fun. Over 100 questions will have you racking your brain and testing your skills!

Register a team or join a mixed team. Meet new people, share your knowledge, and have a great evening in Philadelphia. Winning teams will be awarded fabulous prizes and the prestige of being GSA Trivia Night winners!

Teams and individuals can register before the event.
E-mail glewis@geosociety.org.

AUTHORS!
Do you have a new book to promote?

Place your work in the Members’ Corner (GSA Combined Publisher’s Display)
GSA Annual Meeting Bookstore
Philadelphia • 22-25 October 2006

• Take advantage of your professional society to promote your book to thousands of your peers
• Display in the popular, high-traffic GSA bookstore, where geoscientists are looking for interesting new publications
• Free pre-meeting publicity in GSA Connection

For additional information and display service fees contact Ann Crawford.
E-mail: acrawford@geosociety.org Phone: +1.303.357.1053
This session will provide overviews of watershed-based activities from urban and rural locations in pristine and polluted environments. ORAL

T40. Geohazards—Teachable Moments for Students and the Public: An Illustrated Community Discussion (Posters)

National Association of Geoscience Teachers; GSA Geoscience Education Division; GSA Geology and Society Division; Public Policy; GSA Engineering Geology Division

Geoscience Education; Environmental Geoscience; Public Policy

David W. Mogk, Montana State University, Bozeman, Mont.; Cathryn A. Manduca, Carleton College, Northfield, Minn.; Barbara Tewksbury, Hamilton College, Clinton, N.Y.

Natural and human-caused geohazards impact humanity on local, national, and international scales. This session will explore opportunities to inform students and the public about the importance and relevance of geology in light of these events. POSTER

T41. Using Large Experiments and Programs for Education and Outreach: Examples from EarthScope, the Joint Oceanographic Institutions, and Others

GSA Geoscience Education Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers

Geoscience Education; Geoscience Information/Communication; Public Policy


This session will focus on special issues inherent to education and outreach in large experiments and programs with particular emphasis on developing and maintaining a high level of public interest and interaction. ORAL

T42. Visualization in the Geosciences

GSA Geoscience Education Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers

Geoscience Education; Geoscience Information/Communication

Sarah Titus, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis.; Eric Horsman, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis.; Cathryn Manduca, Carleton College, Northfield, Minn.

New tools are revolutionizing how geoscientists collect, display, and interact with data. Cognitive science is shedding new light on the development of spatial cognitive skills. We encourage papers that address geoscience visualization from both perspectives. ORAL and POSTER

T43. Addressing Present and Future Energy, Mineral, and Water Issues in the Classroom: The Need to Prepare Both Educated Citizens and Geoscientists

National Association of Geoscience Teachers; GSA Geoscience Education Division; GSA Geology and Society Division

Geoscience Education; Geoscience Information/Communication

Andrew M. Buddington, Spokane Community College, Spokane, Wash.; Eric S. Cheney, University of Washington, Seattle, Wash.

This session will address energy and resource issues in geoscience education. We seek best practices at the classroom, curriculum, and public levels. Possible examples include lab exercises, resource courses, informal education activities, and experiential learning. ORAL

T44. Beyond the Content: Teaching Scientific and Citizenship Skills in the Geosciences (Posters)

National Association of Geoscience Teachers; GSA Geoscience Education Division

Geoscience Education; Geoscience Information/Communication


Scientific and citizenship literacy help students to succeed academically and to apply their knowledge to society as an active participant. This session seeks ideas and best practices in addressing the students’ literacy needs within geoscience courses. POSTER

T45. Service Learning and Community Service in Earth Science Courses: Community Involvement in Earth Science Education

National Association of Geoscience Teachers; GSA Geoscience Education Division

Geoscience Education; Geoscience Information/Communication; Environmental Geoscience

Suzanne O’Connell, Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn.

Service learning joins theory with practice and action for academic credit. Community service has a less academic focus. In both, students develop citizenship skills, apply learning to real life projects, and strengthen community relationships. ORAL and POSTER

T46. Teaching Hydrogeology in the 21st Century

GSA Hydrogeology Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers; GSA Education Division

Geoscience Education; Hydrogeology

Martin F. Helmke, West Chester University, West Chester, Pa.; Barbara J. Tewksbury, Hamilton College, Clinton, N.Y.

We encourage abstracts that showcase effective methods of teaching hydrogeology in the classroom, laboratory, and field. This session will also present outcomes from the 2005 workshop Teaching Hydrogeology in the 21st Century (http://serc.carleton.edu/NAGTWorkshops/hydrogeo/index.html). ORAL and POSTER
Call For Papers

T47. Learning from Disaster: Using Natural Disasters to Teach Geoscience Concepts, Spatial Understanding, and Temporal Scale
GSA Geoscience Education Division; GSA Engineering Geology Division
Geoscience Education; Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology; Sediments, Clastic
James H. Kirby, University of South Florida, Tampa, Fla.

Using recent disasters as a starting point to discuss natural causes of catastrophic events, their spatial relationships with the planet, and temporal context of occurrence creates a unique learning environment for students in grades 5–12. ORAL

T48. Geology in the National Parks: Research, Mapping, and Resource Management
National Park Service; GSA Geology and Society Division
Geoscience Education

This session addresses the role of geoscience in the National Parks. Presentations are encouraged on geologic research, geologic mapping, paleontology, coastal geology, glacier studies, and resource management in National Parks, monuments, seashores, and historic sites. ORAL and POSTER

T49. Building New and Rebuilding Defunct College and University Geoscience Programs for the 21st Century: Challenges and Opportunities, Successes and Failures (Posters)
GSA Geoscience Education Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers
Geoscience Education
Kurt A. Shoemaker, Shawnee State University, Portsmouth, Ohio; Jeffrey A. Bauer, Shawnee State University, Portsmouth, Ohio

Focuses on the challenges of launching new or extensively rebuilding existing but weakened undergraduate (major and minor) and graduate-level geoscience programs. Contributions encouraged from departments which are actively building or have successfully launched new programs. POSTER

T50. Effective Online Strategies for Teaching Geoscience at a Distance
GSA Geoscience Education Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers
Geoscience Education
Laura A. Guertin, Penn State Delaware County, Media, Pa.; Tanya Fruman, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa.

Technology enables geoscience content to be delivered through online lectures and virtual fieldtrips to students unable to access a geoscience course. This session will share effective strategies to provide geoscience instruction in an online environment. ORAL and POSTER

T51. G–K12 (Graduate–K–12) Education: Improving Understanding of Geologic Concepts at All Levels (Posters)
GSA Geoscience Education Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers
Geoscience Education
N.J. McMillan, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, N.Mex.; Dave Mayo, California State University, Los Angeles, Calif.

Participants and principal investigators of National Science Foundation–funded G–K12 partnerships between universities and K–12 schools will share the challenges and benefits of sustained interactions between geoscience graduate students, K–12 teachers; and K–12 students. POSTER

T52. Preparing Future K–12 Teachers of Earth Science
GSA Geoscience Education Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers
Geoscience Education
Heather L. Petcovic, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, Mich.; Elizabeth Nagy-Shadman, California State University, Northridge, Calif.; Michael J. Passow, White Plains Middle School, White Plains, N.Y.

How do we ensure that prospective K–12 teachers have a meaningful understanding of the geosciences? This session considers effective methods, courses, curricula, technology, and field programs that enhance the geoscience content knowledge of future teachers. ORAL and POSTER

T53. Teaching Instrumentation to Geoscience Students: Course Design, Objectives, and Presentations
GSA Geoscience Education Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers
Geoscience Education
Elizabeth J. Catlos, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Okla.; George Morgan, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Okla.

Geoscience-related instrumentation courses (electron microprobe, scanning electron microscopy, X-ray diffraction, ion microprobe) are becoming increasingly important for students at all levels. We encourage presentations from faculty who teach these courses and incorporate equipment into their existing curriculum and from students. ORAL and POSTER

T54. Translating Earth: Conceptions Research in Earth Science Education
GSA Geoscience Education Division; National Association of Geoscience Teachers
Geoscience Education
Julie C. Libarkin, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio

This session will bring together researchers to discuss the latest findings in conceptions and conceptual change research in the geological sciences, as well as implications of this research for teaching geology. ORAL and POSTER
T55. Successes in Professional Development of Earth Science Teachers: Courses, Workshops, Partnerships, and Professional Development Opportunities that Work

National Association of Geoscience Teachers; GSA Geoscience Education Division

Geoscience Education

Nathalie N. Brandes, Montgomery College, Conroe, Tex.; Eric L. Cohen, Moriches, N.Y.

This session features successful programs that enhance K–12 earth science education through teacher education/enhancement programs that focus on any or all of the following: content knowledge, field experience, effective teaching strategies, and exemplary classroom/teacher resources. ORAL

T56. Successful Strategies for Recruiting and Retaining Undergraduate Geoscience Majors

National Association of Geoscience Teachers; GSA Geoscience Education Division

Geoscience Education

Barbara Tewksbury, Hamilton College, Clinton, N.Y.; Carolyn Eyles, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario; R. Heather Macdonald, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Va.

Few departments can thrive without making conscious efforts to recruit and retain students. We encourage abstracts that showcase creative and successful strategies for recruitment and retention that can be adapted for use in other departments. ORAL
T57. We Can Do Better: Alternatives to the Same Old Lecture Format in the College Classroom
National Association of Geoscience Teachers; GSA Geoscience Education Division
Geoscience Education
Dexter Perkins, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, N.Dak.; Elizabeth King, Illinois State University, Normal, Ill.

Many educators find that traditional science classrooms do a poor job of promoting learning. Speakers in this session will describe innovative alternative pedagogies, activities, and scheduling that are exciting and promote student learning. ORAL and POSTER

T58. Geology of Parks and Public Lands: Effective and Innovative Informal Earth Science Education for the Masses
National Park Service; Bureau of Land Management; Association of Earth Science Editors
Geoscience Information/Communication; Geoscience Education

This session will explore programs and products (e.g., displays, publications, signs, Web sites, virtual and real field trips) for effective informal earth science education about the geology of parks, monuments, open spaces, and public lands. ORAL and POSTER

T59. Geoscience Information: Keys to Discovery
Geoscience Information Society
Geoscience Information/Communication; Public Policy; Geoscience Education
Patricia B. Yocum, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich.

Discovery in science depends on information made publicly available. This session provides opportunity for the geoscience community to discuss scientific information—how it is created, distributed, organized, accessed, and used. ORAL and POSTER

T60. Geoscience Advocacy and Communicating with the Public
GSA Geology and Society Division; GSA Geoscience Education Division
Geoscience Information/Communication; Public Policy; Geoscience Education
Linda Rowan, American Geological Institute, Alexandria, Va.; Sarah Andrews, Sonoma State University, Sebastopol, Calif.

Resources, hazards, and the teaching of science are major societal and policy issues. Examples of successful geoscience advocacy and effective communication of geoscience to the public will highlight the essential role of geoscientists in society. ORAL

T61. Geology and America’s Early Wars
GSA History of Geology Division; National Park Service; GSA Engineering Geology Division; History of the Earth Sciences Society (HESS); GSA Archaeological Geology Division, GSA Quaternary and Geomorphology Division; GSA Geology and Society Division
History of Geology; Engineering Geology; Archaeological Geology

Geology plays a critical role in every military venture. This session will examine how the American geologic setting, including geomorphology, hydrology, and resources influenced the course of the Revolutionary and Civil wars and other conflicts. ORAL

T62. Transcendental Geology: Henry David Thoreau and Nineteenth-Century Science
GSA History of Geology Division; History of the Earth Sciences Society (HESS)
History of Geology; Geomorphology; Marine/Coastal Science

This session focuses on the scientific interests and geological observations of the American “poet-naturalist” Henry David Thoreau. We welcome submissions on the landscape of Concord, Thoreau’s excursions, and his family’s connection to the graphite trade. ORAL

T63. From the Scientific Revolution to the Enlightenment: Emergence of Modern Geology and Evolutionary Thought from the 16th–18th Century
GSA History of Geology Division; Paleontological Society, History of the Earth Sciences Society
History of Geology; Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination; Public Policy
Gary D. Rosenberg, Indiana University–Purdue University, Indianapolis, Ind.; William C. Parcell, Wichita State University, Wichita, Kans.

This session will explore discoveries made about nature and the momentous social changes of the 16th–18th centuries, which influenced the growing realization that Earth and life upon it have a long history of evolution. ORAL

T64. History of Geology: 100 Years of Wissahickon Interpretation
GSA History of Geology Division; Structural Geology Division; Association for Women Geoscientists; History of the Earth Sciences Society (HESS)
History of Geology; Petrology, Metamorphic; Structural Geology
Sally Newcomb, retired, Silver Spring, Md.; Maria Luisa Crawford, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, Pa.
The Wissahickon Formation that underlies Philadelphia has been an enigma from the first time it was described to the present. This session will trace the history of geological ideas that have been applied to it. ORAL

**T65. Detection of Voids, Tunnels, and Collapse Features**

GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Geophysics Division; Karst Waters Institute; GSA Engineering Geology Division

Hydrogeology; Engineering Geology; Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology

Todd Halihan, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Okla.; J.E. Nyquist, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pa.

The detection of voids and collapse structures, including karst features, mine shafts, and archaeology sites, is important for many problems in geology. This session evaluates applications of innovative techniques for characterization of these features. ORAL and POSTER

**T66. Emerging and Innovative Approaches to Groundwater Modeling**

GSA Hydrogeology Division; National Ground Water Association

Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience; Geochemistry, Aqueous

Abe Springer, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Ariz.; Linda Zhang, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich.

The evolution and widespread application of groundwater models has led to innovative approaches in modeling. The session will explore these innovative approaches in development, application, effective parameter determination, uncertainty of models, or other topics. ORAL and POSTER

**T67. Flow and Transport in Aquitard-Aquifer Systems**

GSA Hydrogeology Division

Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience; Engineering Geology

Hongbin Zhan, Texas A&M University, College Station, Tex.

This session solicits new theoretical and field studies on flow and transport in aquitard-aquifer systems and new methods of aquitard characterization using hydrogeological, hydrogeophysical, and hydrogeochemical methods. ORAL and POSTER

**T68. Gradients at Hydrologic Interfaces as Indicators of Key Earth-Surface (“Critical-Zone”) Processes**

GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division; GSA Limnogeology Division

Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience; Geochemistry, Aqueous


Chemical and physical gradients at hydrologic interfaces provide information about processes that can sustain or threaten life. Key processes include weathering, nutrient cycling, regulation of natural water supplies, and transport of contaminants. ORAL and POSTER

**T69. Groundwater Availability and its Sustainability within Regional Aquifer Systems**

GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Geology and Society Division

Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience


Groundwater monitoring and assessment to address issues of the long-term sustainability of aquifer systems at the regional scale, including storage depletion, water-quality impacts, land subsidence, and streamflow depletion. ORAL and POSTER

**T70. Groundwater Flow and Contaminant Fate, Transport, and Remediation in Fractured Soil, Sediment, and Rock**

GSA Hydrogeology Division

Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience; Geochemistry, Aqueous

Larry D. McKay, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tenn.; Ying Fan Reinfelder, Rutgers University, Piscataway, N.J.

This session encourages abstracts on field, lab, and modeling investigations or informative case histories on the subject of groundwater flow and contaminant fate, transport, or remediation in fractured soil, sediment, and rock. ORAL and POSTER

**T71. Groundwater's Role in the Survival of Threatened and Endangered Ecosystems**

GSA Hydrogeology Division

Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience

F. Edwin Harvey, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Neb.; Donald I. Siegel, Syracuse University, Syracuse, N.Y.

Many of Earth’s ecosystems contain fauna and flora that are threatened or endangered. Some of these systems depend on groundwater for their survival. Thus, understanding groundwater’s role is critical to making informed management decisions. ORAL and POSTER

**T72. Heat as a Natural Tracer in Hydrologic Systems: Current Understanding, Innovation and Application**

GSA Hydrogeology Division

Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience

Laura K. Lautz, State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, N.Y.; Jeffrey M. McKenzie, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

Temperature can be used as a reliable, cost-effective tracer to quantify water movement through hydrologic systems. We encourage presentations on innovative field and modeling applications highlighting the use of temperature measurements to understand hydrologic systems. ORAL
T73. Nonpoint Source Pollution: Sources, Processes, Prediction, and Solutions
GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Geology and Society Division
Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience
William W. Simpkins, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa;
Carolyn G. Olson, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.

Are we making progress in understanding and reducing nonpoint source (NPS) pollution? We seek papers identifying sources of and processes that produce NPS pollution, models for prediction of concentrations and total maximum daily loads, and solutions to NPS pollution. ORAL and POSTER

T74. Pharmaceuticals and Other Emerging Contaminants in the Environment—Transport, Fate, and Effects
GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Environmental Geoscience
Douglas J. Schnoebelen, U.S. Geological Survey, Iowa City, Iowa;
Dana W. Kolpin, U.S. Geological Survey, Iowa City, Iowa

The study of pharmaceuticals and other emerging contaminants is advancing to include the transport, fate, and effects of these compounds in the environment. This session provides a state-of-the-science overview for this exciting research area. ORAL and POSTER

T75. Chemical and Hydrological Interactions in the Evolution and Control of Coal and Metal Mine Drainage
GSA Hydrogeology Division; Geochemical Society: GSA Coal Geology Division
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous
Charles A. Cravotta, Pennsylvania Water Sciences Center;
New Cumberland, Pa.; Joseph J. Donovan, West Virginia University, Morgantown, W.Va.; Keith B.C. Brady, Department of Environmental Protection, Harrisburg, Pa.

This session emphasizes the chemistry and hydrology of coal or metal mine drainage. Papers are solicited on aqueous chemical processes in mine settings and prediction and evolution of mine-water chemistry, innovative treatment, and long-term environmental effects. ORAL and POSTER

T76. Detecting and Characterizing Fluxes of Water and Dissolved Constituents across the Groundwater–Surface Water Interface
GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Limnogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Limnogeology
Brewster Conant, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario;

Session examines preferential flow paths and areas of exchange between groundwater and surface water across the sediment-water interface of streams, lakes, and wetlands and the processes controlling water fluxes, mass fluxes, and biogeochemical reactions. ORAL and POSTER

T77. Epikarst to Conduits: Quantitative Methods Applied to Monitoring and Modeling of Karst Aquifers
GSA Hydrogeology Division; Karst Waters Institute
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Environmental Geoscience
Ralph K. Davis, University Arkansas, Fayetteville, Ark.; Dorothy Vesper; West Virginia University, Morgantown, W.Va.

This session explores state-of-the-art monitoring and modeling systems that provide quantifiable data and results on which effective policy and management decisions about development and contaminant fate and transport in complex karst aquifers are based. ORAL and POSTER

T78. Geochemical and Hydrologic Linkages between Shallow and Deep Groundwaters
GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Environmental Geoscience
Laura Rademacher, University of the Pacific, Stockton, Calif.; Jennifer C. McIntosh, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md.

We welcome catchment- to basinal-scale hydrogeochemical studies linking shallow aquifer/soil waters to deep groundwaters over varying timescales, particularly isotopic tracers of fluid/solute transport, water-rock-microbial interactions, and effects of climate change on aquifer systems. ORAL

T79. Groundwater Age Dating: Current Issues and Applications
GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Environmental Geoscience

This session will provide a forum to present recent studies, both applied and theoretical, involving the measurement and modeling of groundwater residence time on all time scales. ORAL and POSTER

GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Quaternary Geology
Jennifer C. McIntosh, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md.; Victor Bense, Indiana University, Bloomington, Ind.

Continental glaciations altered regional-scale fluid flow and solute transport in underlying aquifer systems. This session focuses on geochemical and hydrologic evidence for ice sheet-permafrost–aquifer interactions and residence times of meltwaters. Field and modeling studies encouraged. ORAL and POSTER
T81. Physical, Chemical, and Biological Controls on Remediation of Chlorinated Solvents in Fractured Rock

GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Geomicrobiology

This session is designed to review the current state of characterizing fractured rock sites subject to contamination with chlorinated solvents and to review available remediation technologies in such formations. ORAL and POSTER

T82. Reactions at Mineral-Water Interfaces: The Role of Solute Adsorption on Contaminant Co-Adsorption, Mineral Dissolution, and Colloid Behavior

GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Environmental Geoscience
John J. Lenhart, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio; Daniel E. Giammar, Washington University in St. Louis, Saint Louis, Mo.

This session highlights advances in understanding how solute adsorption influences contaminant co-adsorption, mineral dissolution, and colloid behavior. Experimental, spectroscopic, and theoretical approaches are used to elucidate reaction mechanisms in both natural and model systems. ORAL and POSTER

T83. Salinization Processes and Problems in Coastal and Inland Aquifers

GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Aqueous; Marine/Coastal Science

Field and modeling studies of the sources of salinity and causes of salinization of coastal and inland groundwater resources. The hydrogeology, geochemistry, and management of aquifer salinization. ORAL and POSTER

T84. Novel Applications of Tracers to Characterize and Distinguish Multiple Transport Phenomena at Various Scales

GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Geochemistry, Other; Environmental Geoscience
William E. Sanford, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colo.; Craig E. Divine, ARCADIS, Highlands Ranch, Colo.

Papers will show creative tracer tests such as separating the effects of diffusion and sorption, estimating mass transfer rate, multiple tracers, nanoparticles, low detection limits, and complementary characterization methods (e.g., geophysics). ORAL and POSTER

T85. New Approaches to Understanding the Cycling of Water in Urban Landscapes

GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division; GSA Geology and Society Division
Hydrogeology; Geomorphology
Claire Welty, University of Maryland–Baltimore County, Baltimore, Md.; Andrew J. Miller, University of Maryland–Baltimore County, Baltimore, Md.

This session explores the impact of urban development on hydrologic response, sediment yield, and landform evolution. Contributions are encouraged on new tools for quantifying the urban water cycle at high-resolution space and time scales. ORAL and POSTER

T86. Peatland Patterns and Hydrological Processes: From the Subarctic to the Subtropics

GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Geomorphology; Limnogeology
Judson W. Harvey, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Va.; Andrew Reeve, University of Maine, Orono, Maine

The goal is to bring together hydrologists from disparate research areas to encourage comparisons and new insights about evolution, function, and future changes in peatland ecosystems. ORAL and POSTER

T87. Stream-Hyporheic Interactions: Hydrology, Geochemistry, and Biology

GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Hydrogeology; Geomorphology; Geochemistry, Aqueous
Eric W. Peterson, Illinois State University, Normal, Ill.; Meinhard Bayani Cardenas, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, N.Mex.

An interdisciplinary session designed to expose and synthesize our understanding of the hyporheic zone, focusing on geomorphologic, hydrologic, and biogeochemical studies exploring linkages across scale and process. ORAL and POSTER


GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Geophysics Division
Hydrogeology; Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology; Environmental Geoscience

This session will showcase innovative sensors, technologies, and strategies being used to monitor the performance of waste disposal facilities and various remediation approaches for isolating or mitigating groundwater contaminant plumes. ORAL and POSTER
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T89. Revolutionizing Hydrologic Systems Observations:
T90. Three-Dimensional Geological Mapping for
T91. GPS and InSAR in Groundwater Investigations
T92. Innovations in Characterizing Physical and
T93. Recent Advances in Groundwater Solute Transport
T94. The Spatial and Temporal Variability of Groundwater Recharge
T95. Dating and Environmental Interpretation of

Data Needs to Ensure Groundwater Availability
Groundwater Applications

GSA Hydrogeology Division; National Ground Water
Association, GSA Geology and Society Division
Hydrogeology; Geoscience Information/Communication;
Public Policy
Vicki J. Kretsinger Grabert, Luhdorff and Scalmanini,
Woodland, Calif.; Beverly L. Herzog, Illinois State Geological
Survey, Champaign, Ill.

This session highlights the global need to revolutionize hy-
drologic systems observations to achieve sustainable resources
goals and provide decision makers with the data needed to
better assess and manage groundwater resources. ORAL and
POSTER

T90. Three-Dimensional Geological Mapping for
Groundwater Applications

GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Geology and Society Division;
GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division
Hydrogeology; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology;
Engineering Geology
Hazen A.J. Russell, Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa,
Ontario; Richard C. Berg, Illinois State Geological Survey,
Champaign, Ill.; L. Harvey Thorleifson, University of
Minnesota, St. Paul, Minn.

This session will highlight case studies or techniques of
data collection, management, and integration leading to the
construction and visualization of three-dimensional geologi-
cal models with particular emphasis on hydrogeological ap-
lications. ORAL

T91. GPS and InSAR in Groundwater Investigations

GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Remote Sensing/Geographic Info System;
Environmental Geoscience
Thomas J. Burbey, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Va.; John Bell,
University of Nevada, Reno, Nev.

This session will explore new and innovative uses of both
global positioning systems (GPS) and interferometric aperture
radar techniques (InSAR) in groundwater investigations and
aquifer characterization. ORAL and POSTER

T92. Innovations in Characterizing Physical and
Chemical Heterogeneity in Sedimentary Aquifers

GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology; Sediments, Clastic
Richelle M. Allen-King, State University of New York, Buffalo,
N.Y.; Robert W. Ritzi, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio

This session will feature various approaches to capture
aquifer heterogeneity in sedimentary systems with a goal to
improve solute transport prediction. Approaches of interest in-
clude experimental and field quantification, geophysical char-
acterization, and sedimentary architecture modeling. ORAL
and POSTER

T93. Recent Advances in Groundwater Solute Transport
Modeling: Alternatives to the Classical Advection-
Dispersion Model

GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology
Gaisheng Liu, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Okla.;
Chunmiao Zheng, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Ala.;
Steven M. Gorelick, Stanford University, Stanford, Calif.

This session focuses on the latest progress on groundwater
solute transport modeling with emphasis on theoretically sound
and practically useful alternatives to the classical advection-dis-
ersion theory. ORAL and POSTER

T94. The Spatial and Temporal Variability of Groundwater Recharge

GSA Hydrogeology Division
Hydrogeology
Weston R. Dripps, Furman University, Greenville, S.C.;
Kenneth Bradbury, Wisconsin Geological & Natural History
Survey, Madison, Wis.

This session seeks papers that develop and/or apply field
and/or modeling techniques for quantifying the spatial and
temporal variability and distribution of groundwater recharge.
ORAL and POSTER

T95. Dating and Environmental Interpretation of
Lake, Loess, and Marine Sediment Sequences using
Paleomagnetism and Rock Magnetism

GSA Limnogeology Division
Limnogeology; Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography;
Quaternary Geology
John A. Peck, University of Akron, Akron, Ohio; John W. King,
University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, R.I.

This session combines rock magnetic studies of environmen-
tal change from lake, loess, and marine sediments with paleo-
magnetic studies that provide robust chronologies for these
sediment sequences on time scales from secular variation to
reversals. ORAL and POSTER

Holtwood Gorge, Susquehanna River, Pennsylvania. Photo courtesy of
Frank J. Pazzaglia.
T96. Neogene and Quaternary Biological Paleolimnology: In Memory of J. Platt Bradbury

GSA Limnogeology Division
Limnogeology; Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography; Quaternary Geology


During his career, Platt Bradbury pioneered techniques in biochronology and paleoenvironmental analysis of late Cenozoic lake sediments from around the world. Papers on all aspects of lacustrine analysis, particularly those using biological proxies, are welcomed. ORAL and POSTER

T97. Core Analysis of Lake Sediments (Posters)

GSA Limnogeology Division; ExxonMobil

Limnogeology
Elizabeth H. Gierlowski-Kordesch, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio; Peter A. Drzewiecki, Eastern Connecticut State University, Willimantic, Conn.; Kevin Bohacs, ExxonMobil Upstream Research Co., Houston, Tex.

Core analysis and comparison of modern lake sediments and fossil lake rock sequences will shed light on sedimentation processes as well as preservation potential of fossils and structures through time and space. POSTER

T98. Identifying Our Most Vulnerable Shorelines: Science and Policy

GSA Geology and Society Division
Marine/Coastal Science; Public Policy; Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology

Robert S. Young, Western Carolina University, Cullowhee, N.C.; David M. Bush, University of West Georgia, Carrollton, Ga.

This session will focus on how scientists can objectively determine which of this nation’s shorelines are the most vulnerable, and how a long-term retreat from these shorelines might be initiated. ORAL and POSTER


Marine/Coastal Science; Remote Sensing/Geographic Info System; Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology

John A. Madsen, University of Delaware, Newark, Del.; Bartholomew D. Wilson, Delaware Coastal Program, Dover, Del.

Benthic maps are a necessity for decision makers involved in management decisions affecting coastal and estuarine resources. Utilizing the best methodologies and datasets for mapping greatly increases the validity of these essential bottom maps. ORAL

T100. Whet Your Apatite: Advances in Research of Natural and Biological Apatite

Mineralogical Society of America

Mineralogy/Crystallography; Geochemistry, Other; Paleontology, Paleoeoecology/Taphonomy

Doreena Patrick, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa.; H. Catherine W. Skinner, Yale University Medical School, New Haven, Conn.; John Rakovan, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio.

Biogenic apatite composition reflects conditions during fossilization and acts as a “flight recorder” for events occurring in the environment. Paleontologists, mineralogists, and others interested in bioapatite research will benefit from this body of knowledge. ORAL

T101. Petrologic Mineralogy—The Study of Minerals in Context: In Honor of Charles V. Guidotti

Mineralogical Society of America

Mineralogy/Crystallography; Petrology, Metamorphic; Geochemistry, Other

Edward S. Grew, University of Maine, Orono, Maine; M. Darby Dyar, Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley, Mass.; Darrell Henry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, La.

Charles Guidotti maintained that minerals are chemical systems that should be studied in context. This session focuses on crystallography, mineral physics, and phase relationships in the study of minerals and their relevance to geologic processes. ORAL and POSTER

T102. Atmosphere–Ice Sheet–Ocean Interactions: Modern Observations and Historical Interpretations

Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography; Marine/Coastal Science; Environmental Geoscience

Stefanie Brachfeld, Montclair State University, Upper Montclair, N.J.; Amy Leventer, Colgate University, Hamilton, N.Y.

This session examines recent ice shelf collapse and links to dynamic modern glacial systems, and marine and terrestrial records of past ice sheet, and ice shelf advances and retreats. ORAL

T103. The Terrestrial Eocene-Oligocene Boundary Revisited: A Comparison of Multi-Proxy Records of Paleoenvironmental and Paleoclimatic Change

Paleontological Society
Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography; Paleontology, Biogeography/Biostratigraphy; Stratigraphy

Dennis Terry, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pa.; Emmett Evanoff, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colo.

New data is challenging the hypothesis of cooling and drying across the terrestrial Eocene-Oligocene boundary. This session provides a forum to present new data and to compare multiple-proxy records of terrestrial paleoclimatic and paleoenvironmental change. ORAL

T104. History of Study of Environmental Impacts on Health

GSA Geology and Health Division; GSA History of Geology Division; History of the Earth Sciences Society (HESS)

Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography; Sediments, Carbonates; Sediments, Clastic

Gerald M. Friedman, Northeastern Science Foundation, Troy, N.Y.

Recorded history of ancient life is nontraditional: fossils, skeletons, portrayals on ancient monuments, stelae, pottery, sculptures. Modern studies of more available evidence are often part of other disciplines, including paleontology, paleopathology, anthropology, archaeology, etc. ORAL
**T105. Paleosols, Proxies, and Paleoenvironments**

Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography; Sediments, Clastic; Geochemistry, Other

Nathan D. Sheldon, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey, UK; Neil J. Tabor, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas.

Paleosols formed at the interface of Earth’s lithosphere, biosphere, and atmosphere and therefore have potential to offer unique paleoclimatic/paleoenvironmental proxies. This session will focus on new proxies and applications of existing ones to paleoclimatic and paleoenvironmental problems. ORAL

**T106. Devonian–Early Carboniferous Climate Change: Glacial Deposits and Proxy Records**

Society for Sedimentary Geology (SEPM)

Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography; Stratigraphy; Paleontology/Paleobotany

Peter Isaacson, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho; Thomas Algeo, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio

Intended for glacial geologists, paleoclimate modelers, sedimentary geochemists, sequence stratigraphers, paleobotanists, and paleontologists studying problems related to climate change during the Devonian and Early Carboniferous, with a special focus on events around the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary. ORAL and POSTER

**T107. The EARTHTIME Project**

Paleontological Society

Paleontology, Biogeography/Biostratigraphy; Paleontology/Paleobotany


EARTHTIME is an international, community-based scientific initiative aimed at sequencing earth history through the integration of geochronology, stratigraphy, and paleontology to resolve the rates of geological and evolutionary processes. ORAL

**T108. Stratigraphic Palynology: Applications to Geologic Problems**

American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists

Paleontology, Biogeography/Biostratigraphy; Stratigraphy


This session will explore recent techniques and developments in the application of palynology to solving geologic problems in stratigraphy and basin analysis. ORAL


Paleontological Society; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division

Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination; Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography; Geochemistry, Other

David J. Bottjer, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, Calif.; Peter D. Ward, University of Washington, Seattle, Wash.

This session will highlight newly developed analyses that explore mass extinction processes that affect both marine and terrestrial environments, including how extinction in one might cause extinction in the other. ORAL

**T110. Magnetostratigraphy of Critical Intervals in Earth History: Contributions to Geochronology, Geobiology, Paleogeography, and Global Change**

GSA Geophysics Division; GSA Sedimentary Geology Division; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division; Society for Sedimentary Geology (SEPM)

Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination; Paleontology, Biogeography/Biostratigraphy; Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology

Timothy D. Raub, Yale University, New Haven, Conn.; Adam C. Maloof, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.

This session solicits new magnetostratigraphies, critical reviews of global datasets, and fundamental studies into the paleomagnetic character of sedimentary materials, especially addressing floral and faunal correlation, extinction, and radiation, and recent and ancient biogeochemical cycling. ORAL and POSTER

**T111. The Late Cretaceous–Early Tertiary Interval in the Atlantic Coastal Plain**

Paleontological Society

Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination; Paleontology, Paleoecology/Taphonomy; Stratigraphy


This session will summarize recent research on the paleontology and biostratigraphy of the Late Cretaceous–early Tertiary stratigraphic sequence in the Atlantic Coastal Plain of North America, focusing on the record around the K-T boundary. ORAL

**T112. Extinction, Dwarfing, and the Lilliput Effect**

Paleontological Society

Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination; Paleontology, Phylogenetic/Morphological Patterns; Paleontology, Biogeography/Biostratigraphy

Richard J. Twitchett, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, UK; Bridget S. Wade, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, N.J.

This session explores and discusses the dwarfining of organisms during Phanerozoic extinction events, commonly known as the "Lilliput Effect." Presentation addressing issues of taxonomy, morphometrics, and paleoecology surrounding this phenomenon are welcome. ORAL and POSTER

**T113. Applied Reef Coral Paleoecology**

Paleontological Society

Paleontology, Paleoecology/Taphonomy; Paleontology, Biogeography/Biostratigraphy; Marine/Coastal Science

Benjamin J. Greenstein, Cornell College, Mount Vernon, Iowa

This session assembles researchers engaged in various aspects of reef coral community paleoecology. Research results will be presented in the context of their application to ecological disturbances facing modern reef coral communities. ORAL
Call For Papers
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T114. The Dynamic Reef and Shelly Communities of the Paleozoic: A Tribute to the Research Career of Paul Copper

Paleontological Society
Paleontology, Paleoeoecology/Taphonomy; Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination; Paleontology, Biogeography/Biostatigraphy
Leif Tapanila, Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho; Jisuo Jin, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario

A session highlighting the environmental and evolutionary changes that helped define the Paleozoic Era as a time of innovation and complexity for shallow marine benthic communities. ORAL and POSTER

T115. Fossil Behavior: In Honor of Adolf Seilacher

Paleontological Society
Paleontology, Paleoecology/Taphonomy; Paleontology, Phylogenetic/Morphological Patterns; Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination
A.A. Ekdale, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah; Richard G. Bromley, Copenhagen University, Denmark

The fossil record of animal behavior as represented by the wide spectrum of trace fossils ranging from the Precambrian to the present. ORAL and POSTER

T116. Trilobite Paleobiology and Evolution: In Honor of Brian Chatterton

Paleontological Society
Paleontology, Phylogenetic/Morphological Patterns; Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination
Brenda R. Hunda, Cincinnati Museum Center, Cincinnati, Ohio; Mark Webster, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill.

Trilobites as major contributors to the Paleozoic record: Paleobiologic and phylogenetic investigations, and their role in patterns of diversity and evolution. ORAL

T117. Life on Late Devonian Continents—Organisms and Ecosystems in Transition: In Honor of James Richard “Dick” Beerbower

Paleontological Society
Paleontology/Paleobotany; Paleontology, Paleoecology/Taphonomy; Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination
Edward B. Daeschler, Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, Pa.; Walter L. Cressler, West Chester University, West Chester, Pa.

This session will take a multidisciplinary approach toward integrating data on the biotic and physical conditions of Late Devonian floodplains where evolutionary changes among plants and animals dramatically expanded early continental ecosystems. ORAL

T118. Biotic Response to Global Environmental Change: Analogs for the Future of Life on Earth

Paleontological Society
Paleontology/Paleobotany; Paleontology, Paleoecology/Taphonomy; Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination
Margaret L. Fraiser, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wis.

Effects of atmospheric and oceanic changes on microbial, macroinvertebrate, and vertebrate life in the terrestrial and marine realms that could represent analogs for the future of life on Earth if CO2 levels continue to rise. ORAL


Mineralogical Society of America
Petrology, Igneous; Structural Geology; Geochemistry, Other
Paul B. Tomascak, State University of New York, Oswego, N.Y.; Gary S. Solar, State University of New York College, Buffalo, N.Y.

Combination of structure, petrology, geochemistry, and geochronology on migmatites and granites is required to understand synchronous metamorphism, deformation, melting, and magma migration. This session focuses on data integration to understand the links among these processes. ORAL and POSTER

T120. Minerals, Melts, Fluids, and the Evolution of Mountain Belts: A Tribute to Maria Luisa Crawford

Mineralogical Society of America
Petrology, Metamorphic; Structural Geology; Mineralogy/Crystallography
Jinny Sisson, Rice University, Houston, Tex.; Alice L. Hoersch, La Salle University, Philadelphia, Pa.

Maria Luisa Crawford’s deeply insightful research combines mapping, mineralogic-petrogenic studies, and fluid inclusion data to comprehend mountain belt evolution. We encourage those inspired by her work to submit papers on these subjects in her honor. ORAL and POSTER

T121. Impact Craters: Structures, Drilling, Ages, and Geophysics

GSA Planetary Geology Division; International Continental Scientific Drilling Program (ICDP); GSA Geophysics Division; GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division; GSA Sedimentary Geology Division
Planetary Geology; Structural Geology; Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology
Christian Koeberl, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; Jared R. Morrow, University of Northern Colorado, Greeley, Colo.

This session highlights recent advancements in understanding the structural development, geochronologic and stratigraphic dating, geophysical characteristics, and numerical modeling of terrestrial impact craters, including key data provided by ongoing interdisciplinary and international crater-drilling projects. ORAL and POSTER
Win the complete Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science set!

Simply visit www.elsevier.com/eqs and click on “Tell Me More” to register. You will also receive free progress reports, such as table of contents and sample pages, as well as information on how to order.

Order Now and Save 20% on the Print Version

The first reference companion for all those with an interest in Quaternary Science

The Quaternary Sciences constitute a dynamic, multidisciplinary field of research that has been growing in scientific and societal importance in recent years. This branch of the Earth Sciences links ancient prehistory to modern environments. Quaternary terrestrial sediments contain the fossil remains of both living species of flora and fauna, and their immediate predecessors. Quaternary science plays an integral part in such important issues for modern society as groundwater resources and contamination, sea level change, geologic hazards (earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, tsunamis), and soil erosion.

With over 360 articles and 2,600 pages, many in full-color, the Encyclopedia of Quaternary Science will provide broad ranging, up-to-date articles on all of the major topics in the field. Written by a team of leading experts and under the guidance of an international editorial board, the articles will be at a level that allows undergraduate students to understand the material, while providing active researchers with the latest information in the field.

Entries cover a range of topics with at least 17 major sections:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dating Quaternary Events</th>
<th>Insect Fossils</th>
<th>Paleosols and Windblown Sediments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluvial &amp; Deltaic Environments</td>
<td>Lake Level Studies</td>
<td>Quaternary Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glacial Landforms</td>
<td>Non-marine stable isotopes</td>
<td>Quaternary Paleobotany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Quaternary Glaciations</td>
<td>Paleoclimatology</td>
<td>Quaternary Stratigraphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humans in the Quaternary</td>
<td>Quaternary Sea Level</td>
<td>Quaternary Vertebrate Records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice Core Records</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Available on ScienceDirect December 2006!

Introductory Print Price*

$1,225 / € 1,045 / £700

Print Price

$1,540 / € 1,315 / £880

*Introductory price valid through end of third month after publication.

Content, prices, and publication dates subject to change. ©2006 Elsevier. EES/FR/AD-30008 2/06
T122. Asteroids, Meteorites, and the Early History of the Solar System—G.K. Gilbert Award Session

GSA Planetary Geology Division

Planetary Geology

Thomas R. Watters, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.; Harry Y. McSween, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tenn.

This session, dedicated to reflectance spectroscopy studies of asteroids and meteorites, honors Michael J. Gaffey, winner of the Planetary Geology Division’s G.K. Gilbert Award for Outstanding Achievement. The recipient will give a keynote talk. ORAL

T123. Geology, Health, and Public Policy

GSA Geology and Health Division; GSA Geology and Society Division; Public Policy

Public Policy; Environmental Geoscience

David W. Mogk, Montana State University, Bozeman, Mont.; Monica E. Gowan, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minn.

The geosciences are increasingly important in their application to human health issues. This session will explore the interfaces between the earth system, impacts on human health, and ensuing local, national, and international public policy. ORAL and POSTER

T124. Forensic Geoscience from the Classroom to the Courtroom

GSA Geoscience Education Division

Public Policy; Geoscience Education; Environmental Geoscience

Elisa Bergslien, Buffalo State College, Buffalo, N.Y.

A forum for those with investigative experience and classroom experience to share realistic information about the applications of fundamental geoscience to forensic investigation, including environmental forensics. Use of analytical research techniques, including X-Ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and ground penetrating radar. ORAL and POSTER

T125. Keys to Opportunities with the National Park Service

National Park Service

Public Policy; Geoscience Information/Communication; Geoscience Education

Judy Geniac, National Park Service, Denver, Colo.

Examples of helping parks with research, partnerships, projects, and volunteer and paid positions. Discover geoscience opportunities for professors, students, retirees, organizations, universities, and companies. Discuss possible partnerships, opportunities, and ways to improve cooperative efforts. ORAL

T126. Conservation and Management of Geoheritage Resources

GSA Geology and Society Division; GSA International Division; National Park Service; Public Policy

Public Policy; Geoscience Information/Communication

Robert D. Higgins, National Park Service, Denver, Colo.; Maurice J. Terman, Falls Church, Va.

This session will explore examples of managing geologic heritage resources and sites through regional, national, and international programs whose goals are in accordance with conservation and public enjoyment. ORAL

T127. Scales of Instability in Tropical Environments

American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists

Quaternary Geology; Environmental Geoscience; Paleontology, Paleoecology/Taphonomy

Christopher O. Hunt, Queen’s University of Belfast, UK

This session deals with the geological evidence for the changeability and dynamism of tropical environments, over a variety of time scales, from catastrophic individual storms lasting a few hours to slow changes over millions of years. ORAL

T128. Sources, Transport, Storage, and Delivery of Sediment in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed

GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division

Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology; Geomorphology


Understanding the sources, storage, and transport of sediment in the Chesapeake Bay watershed is critical to improving habitat. This session encourages presentations on studies that have examined sediment processes in Chesapeake Bay watersheds. ORAL and POSTER

T129. Geologic Mapping: Innovations and Interoperability (Posters)

GSA Geology and Society Division; GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division; GSA Hydrogeology Division; GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division

Quaternary Geology/Geomorphology; Hydrogeology; Geoscience Information/Communication


This session will highlight innovations in geological mapping by showing new mapping, strategies for managing data, new methods for map publishing and Web accessibility, and how digital procedures have advanced the effectiveness of geological mapping. POSTER


GSA Geophysics Division

Remote Sensing/Geographic Info System; Economic Geology


This session will investigate new methods and effectiveness of using hyperspectral and multispectral data in geologic mapping and mineral exploration. ORAL
Call For Papers

**Topical and Discipline Sessions**

**T131. Using Geographic Information Systems to Explore Geology and Health Relationships (Posters)**

GSA Geology and Health Division
Remote Sensing/Geographic Info System; Environmental Geoscience
John Maclachlan, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario; David Mogk, Montana State University, Bozeman, Mont.

Geographic information systems have allowed for the further exploration and visualization of spatial relationships of health and geologic variables. This session will allow researchers to showcase these relationships. POSTER


GSA Geoscience Education Division
Remote Sensing/Geographic Info System; Geoscience Information/Communication; Geoscience Education
Richard B. Schultz, Elmhurst College, Elmhurst, Ill.; Mark R. Hafen, University of South Florida, Tampa, Fla.

This interdisciplinary session, emphasizing the diverse applications of geographic information systems (GIS), showcases broad applications for GIS and creates an awareness of the infusion of spatial concepts across the geosciences from the perspective of both professional and academics. ORAL

**T133. Late Permian–Early Triassic Earth**

Paleontological Society
Sediments, Carbonates; Geochemistry, Other; Paleontology, Biogeography/Biostratigraphy
Ezat Heydari, Jackson State University, Jackson, Miss.; Thomas C. Wynn, Lock Haven University, Lock Haven, Pa.

We request contributions related to the tectonics, volcanism, mantle disturbances, geochemistry, paleoceanography, paleontology, exotic sedimentary features, extraterrestrial events, and mineralogy to better understand events that affected Earth during the Late Permian to Early Triassic. ORAL and POSTER


GSA Sedimentary Geology Division
Sediments, Carbonates; Sediments, Clastic; Stratigraphy
Daniel Larsen, University of Memphis, Memphis, Tenn.

This session will feature undergraduate and graduate student research in sedimentary geology. Awards will be given for the best research papers at the graduate and undergraduate levels. POSTER

**T135. Forensic Geology**

GSA Geology and Health Division; GSA Geology and Society Division
Sediments, Clastic; Geomorphology; Geochemistry, Other
Nehru E. Cherukupalli, City University of New York, Brooklyn, N.Y.

Application of geology to criminal and other investigations of fossil and modern evidence based on minerals, rocks, soils, dust, disturbed stratigraphy, sedimentology, etc., using field methods, polarized light microscopy, and other investigative laboratory techniques. ORAL and POSTER

**T136. River Generated Hyperpycnal Events and Resulted Deposits in Modern and Ancient Environments**

Sediments, Clastic; Marine/Coastal Science; Stratigraphy
Cornel Olariu, University of Texas, Austin, Tex.; Piret Plink Björklund, Göteborg University, Göteborg, Sweden

We are looking for results of studies in flume experiments, modern environments, or ancient deposits related to hyperpycnal flows. The aim of the session is to improve sedimentological criteria to recognize ancient river-generated hyperpycnal deposits. ORAL

**T137. Epicontinental Seas in the Geological Record: The Limitations of the Uniformitarian Paradigm**

Sediments, Clastic; Sediments, Carbonates; Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography
Peter A. Allison, Imperial College, London, UK; Martin R. Wells, Imperial College, London, UK; Brian R. Pratt, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

This multidisciplinary session will involve paleontologists, geochemists, sedimentologists, and ocean-atmospheric modelers and will extend our appreciation of the behavior of ancient epicontinental seas. ORAL

**T138. Using Detrital Zircon Geochronology to Answer Geologic Questions We Formerly Could Not Ask**

GSA Sedimentary Geology Division; Society for Sedimentary Geology
Sediments, Clastic; Stratigraphy; Tectonics
Michael Pope, Washington State University, Pullman, Wash.; Paul Link, Idaho State University, Pocatello, Idaho

This session will provide a forum to discuss novel uses of detrital zircon geochronology to address a variety of geologic questions including provenance, sediment transport, and the tectonic evolution of mountain belts, which were not previously addressed. ORAL and POSTER

**T139. Changes in Ocean and Atmospheric Redox State and the Evolution of Life**

Paleontological Society; GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Stratigraphy; Geochemistry, Organic; Geomicrobiology

This session will bridge a gap between specialists using various tools to constrain the redox state of modern, Phanerozoic, and Precambrian ocean and atmosphere. Studies that examine elemental, isotopic, and mineralogical redox indicators are welcome. ORAL and POSTER
Topical and Discipline Sessions

T140. U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Margin Sequences and Hydrostratigraphy
Stratigraphy; Hydrogeology; Marine/Coastal Science
Peter J. Sugarman, New Jersey Geological Survey, Trenton, N.J.; Kenneth Miller, Rutgers University, Piscataway, N.J.

We encourage presentations that relate U.S. Atlantic and Gulf coastal plain sequence, processes of sea-level, tectonics, and climate changes, and their relationships to aquifers, confining units, and water resources. ORAL and POSTER

T141. Spatial and Temporal Heterogeneity of Hypoxic-Anoxic Conditions in Mid-Cretaceous Deposits of the Tethyan Realm: Characterization and Paleoenvironmental Implications (Posters)
GSA Sedimentary Geology Division; Society for Sedimentary Geology (SEPM); GSA Geobiology and Geomicrobiology Division
Stratigraphy; Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography; Geomicrobiology
Florentin J. Maurrasse, Florida International University, Miami, Fla.; Ricardo Barragan-Manzo, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Mexico City, México

Comparison of Mid-Cretaceous deposits at sites in Colombia, NE México, the Atlantic Ocean and Europe in order to characterize spatial and temporal heterogeneity of hypoxic-anoxic deposits in the different areas, and assess their paleoceanographic implications. POSTER

T142. Terrestrial Impact Breccias
GSA Planetary Geology Division; GSA Sedimentary Geology Division
Stratigraphy; Planetary Geology; Sediments, Clastic
David T. King Jr, Auburn University, Auburn, Ala.; Kevin Evans, Missouri State University, Springfield, Mo.

Impact breccias can be deposited or emplaced in a variety of settings by a wide range of impact-related processes. This session will explore proximal to distal impact ejecta, crater-filling breccias, and other impact-related breccias. ORAL and POSTER

T143. Outcrop Studies: Fundamental to Lithofacies and Reservoir Characterization
GSA Sedimentary Geology Division; American Association of Petroleum Geologists
Stratigraphy; Sediments, Clastic; Sediments, Carbonates

This session is designed to provide a forum for stratigraphers, sedimentologists, and petroleum geologists to discuss the importance of detailed outcrop studies to understand the variations in the internal fabric of strata, lithofacies, and reservoirs. ORAL

GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division
Structural Geology; Tectonics
David A. Ferrill, Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Tex.

As a tribute to Richard H. Groshong, Jr., this session brings together diverse researchers to review progress, discuss recent advances, and frame future challenges in the understanding of low-temperature deformation processes in sedimentary rocks. ORAL

T145. Unraveling Tectonics: The Power behind Balanced Cross Sections and Kinematic Reconstructions
GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division
Structural Geology; Tectonics; Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology
Nadine McQuarrie, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.; Delores Robinson, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Ala.

Balanced cross sections and kinematic reconstructions extract deformational histories from tectonic environments. Combined with age constraints, they have the potential to estimate geologic rates. This cross-disciplinary session explores the challenge of quantifying magnitudes of deformation. ORAL and POSTER

T146. Geoinformatics: Data to Knowledge about the Evolution of Continents
GSA Geophysics Division
Tectonics; Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology; Petrology, Igneous

One major challenge we face is to fully understand continental evolution. This session will present examples of scientific results obtained through high levels of integration between diverse fields and the approaches that facilitated this integration. ORAL and POSTER

T147. National Science Foundation Continental Dynamics Field Laboratories: 20 Years On
Tectonics; Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology; Structural Geology
Lincoln S. Hollister, Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.; G. Randy Keller, University of Texas, El Paso, Tex.

This session features new geologic insights gained from multi-disciplinary, field-based projects funded by the National Science Foundation Continental Dynamics Program that began in 1985. Most projects combined deep lithospheric probing techniques with focused geologic and geochemical studies.

T148. Intraplate Earthquakes: Advances in Understanding Their Causes and the Hazard Posed by Them
GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division; GSA Geophysics Division; GSA Engineering Geology Division
Tectonics; Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology; Neotectonics/Paleoseismology

This session will present the latest advances in understanding the causes, rates of occurrence, and hazard posed by intraplate earthquakes in North America and worldwide. ORAL and POSTER
T149. Modern to Precambrian Subduction Systems: Convergent Margin Behavior and Evolution over Geologic Time

GSA International Division; GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division; GSA Geophysics Division; GSA Sedimentary Geology Division; Integrated Ocean Drilling Program, MARGINS

Tectonics; Petrology, Metamorphic; Petrology, Igneous

Yildirim Dilek, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio; Mark Cloos, University of Texas, Austin, Tex.

This session is aimed at bringing together a diverse group of scientists working on modern-ancient convergent margins to evaluate our understanding of their evolution via material-heat transport, tectonic deformation, and seismogenesis at plate interfaces. ORAL

T150. Understanding Mountain Belts from Basin-Fill: Multidisciplinary Approaches to the Detrital Record of Orogenic Evolution

Tectonics; Stratigraphy; Sediments, Clastic

Andrea Fildani, ChevronTexaco, San Ramon, Calif.; Tim Cope, DePauw University, Greencastle, Ind.; Amy Weislogel, Stanford University, Stanford, Calif.

This session features integrated case studies of provenance evolution in sedimentary basins as a tracer of orogenic evolution and/or plateau uplift, and novel efforts to apply cutting-edge technology to the detrital record of orogenic events. ORAL and POSTER

T151. Orogenesis in the Northwestern Appalachians

Tectonics; Structural Geology; Stratigraphy

Paul A. Washington, University of Louisiana, Monroe, La.

Recent discoveries have challenged the accepted nature and timing of Taconic through Acadian events. This session will explore the nature and timing of orogenic events within the northwestern half of the northern and maritime Appalachians. ORAL

T152. Spreading the Message: New Developments in the Presentation and Visualization of 3D and 4D Geological Data and Processes

GSA Structural Geology and Tectonics Division; GSA Geoscience Education Division

Tectonics; Structural Geology; Geoscience Education

Steven J. Whitmeyer, James Madison University, Harrisonburg, Va.; Steve Reynolds, Arizona State University, Tempe, Ariz.; Ken McCaffrey, University of Durham, Durham, UK; Jonathan Imber, University of Durham, Durham, UK

This session will focus on presentation techniques that effectively illustrate three-dimensional and four-dimensional geologic data and processes, with the goal of stimulating discussion on promising new approaches to visualization that can target a broad audience. ORAL and POSTER
Call For Papers

How to Submit Your Abstract

ABSTRACTS DEADLINE: 11 JULY

Please use the online abstract form on the GSA Web site, www.geosociety.org. An abstract submission fee of US$18 for all students and US$30 for all others will be charged. If you cannot submit your abstract electronically, contact Nancy Carlson, +1-303-357-1061, ncarlson@geosociety.org.

From the GSA home page, www.geosociety.org, click on the “Submit an Abstract” button and follow the steps given. If you lose your Internet connection before you are finished, you can resume making a submission when you log back on.

You and your co-authors will be provided (by e-mail) with a record of the abstract identification number and password, and you can access your abstract and revise it as necessary from any Internet connection until the published abstract submission deadline date.

The system supports the submission of complex abstracts that contain subscripts, superscripts, italic and boldface type, tables, Greek letters, and equations.

SCIENTIFIC CATEGORIES

Determine if your paper would fit neatly under one of the topical sessions. If it doesn’t, please submit your abstract for inclusion in the general discipline sessions. The available choices are as follows:

- Archaeological Geology
- Coal Geology
- Economic Geology
- Engineering Geology
- Environmental Geoscience
- Geochemistry, Aqueous
- Geochemistry, Organic
- Geochemistry, Other
- Geology and Health
- Geomicrobiology
- Geomorphology
- Geophysics/Tectonophysics/Seismology
- Geoscience Education
- Geoscience Information/Communication
- History of Geology
- Hydrogeology
- Limnology
- Marine/Coastal Science
- Mineralogy/Crystallography
- Neotectonics/Paleoseismology
- Paleoclimatology/Paleoceanography
- Paleontology, Biogeography/Biostratigraphy
- Paleontology, Diversity, Extinction, Origination
- Paleontology, Paleoeocology/Taphonomy
- Paleontology, Phylogenetic/Morphological Patterns
- Petrology, Experimental
- Petrology, Igneous
- Petrology, Metamorphic
- Planetary Geology
- Precambrian Geology
- Public Policy
- Quaternary Geology
- Remote Sensing/Geographic Info System
- Sediments, Carbonate
- Sediments, Clastic
- Stratigraphy
- Structural Geology
- Tectonics
- Volcanology

PRESENTATION MODES

Select your preferred mode of presentation: oral, poster, or either (no preference). Please note: The program organizers will do their best to fit you into your preferred mode; however, they will override your original mode selection if they feel your paper would fit well in a particular session with other compatible abstracts. The decision of the program organizers is final.

Oral Mode. This is a verbal presentation before a seated audience. The normal length of an oral presentation is 12 minutes, plus three minutes for discussion.

Poster Mode. Each poster session presenter is provided with one horizontal, freestanding display board approximately 8’ wide and 4’ high. Precise measurements will appear in the speaker guide, which will be posted on the GSA Web site in September. Speakers must be at their poster booths for at least two of the four presentation hours.

Papers for discipline sessions may be submitted in either oral or poster mode. Papers for topical sessions are to be submitted only in the mode noted in the session description. If a topical abstract is submitted in the incorrect mode, the abstract will be transferred automatically to a discipline session.

ABSTRACT BODY

Please keep the abstracts body to 2,000 characters or fewer. The online abstract system will reject it if it exceeds this limit.

You can include a table with your abstract, but understand that the table might reduce the number of words allowed in

SPEAKER EQUIPMENT

GSA provides the following equipment in each Technical Session room at no charge to speaker:

- 1 desktop computer (With Windows 2000 operating system and MS Office XP. All Macintosh or MS PowerPoint XP presentations will work, but must be saved in a PC format).
- 1 LCD projector
- 1 screen
- 1 laser pointer
- 1 lectern/podium with light and microphone
- 1 wired lavalier microphone

Overhead projectors and multiple screens are no longer part of the standard set-up; however, they are available for an additional fee. Slide projectors are not available. More information on this will be included in the speaker guide, which will be posted to www.geosociety.org in September.
your abstract. Taken together, the body of the abstract should take up no more space than would be occupied by roughly 2,000 characters alone.

Check the spelling of the abstract’s body and title using your own word processor. Then read it again and make sure that it is something the whole world should see. (We won’t check or edit it for you.)

For typing and pasting, add an extra line between paragraphs or they will run together when displayed (you can do this before copying, after pasting, or while typing).

Abstracts Fee

Once the abstract is in place, a window to submit payment will appear. The nonrefundable submission fee is US$18 for all students and US$30 for all others.

You May Present Only ONE Volunteered Abstract

• Please submit only one volunteered abstract as speaker or poster presenter in topical and/or discipline sessions. This helps avoid speaker scheduling conflicts and gives everyone an equal opportunity to be heard. Multiple submissions as speaker-presenter will result in rejection of all oral abstracts.
• This limitation does not apply to, nor does it include, invited contributions to keynote symposia or topical sessions.
• This limitation is lifted for second abstracts submitted to Geology Education or to a Public Policy discipline and is also lifted if the paper is submitted as a Woman/Minority abstract. One of the two volunteered papers must be a poster submission.

JTPC to Finalize Program in Early August

The Joint Technical Program Committee (JTPC) selects abstracts and determines the final session schedule. All authors will be notified in August. The JTPC includes representatives from those GSA Associated Societies and Divisions participating in the technical program. GSA Council approved the JTPC technical program chairs.

GSA campus representatives link students around the world to life-changing experiences through involvement with GSA.

Join the GSA network of members serving on campuses everywhere. Our 500+ fantastic volunteers introduce students to the advantages and opportunities available through GSA that will make a difference throughout their geoscience careers. Campus reps are a source of information, inspiration, and a valuable voice of experience to students in their professional development.

Check www.geosociety.org/members/campus.htm to see if your school has a representative. If not, contact Christa Stratton, cstratton@geosociety.org, +1.303.357.1093, for information or to volunteer!


Campus Reps Are Winners!

Fifteen GSA Campus Reps took part in the member recruitment campaign between 1 October and 31 December 2005. GSA wishes to publicly acknowledge their vital contribution to the health and well-being of the Society. These able spokespeople are building the future of GSA.

An Honorable Mention Goes To All Participants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas B. Anderson</td>
<td>Sonoma State University</td>
<td>Rohnert Park, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gail Ashley</td>
<td>Rutgers University</td>
<td>Piscataway, N.J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllis Camilleri</td>
<td>Austin Peay State University</td>
<td>Clarksville, Tenn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Creasy</td>
<td>Bates College</td>
<td>Lewiston, Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Warner Cribb</td>
<td>Middle Tennessee State University</td>
<td>Murfreesboro, Tenn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenville Draper</td>
<td>Florida International University</td>
<td>Miami, Fla.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Eichhubl</td>
<td>Texas A&amp;M University</td>
<td>Corpus Christi, Tex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn Eyles</td>
<td>McMaster University</td>
<td>Hamilton, Ontario, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garry Hayes</td>
<td>Modesto Junior College</td>
<td>Modesto, Calif.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Lang</td>
<td>West Virginia University</td>
<td>Morgantown, W.Va.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynn Marquez</td>
<td>Millersville University</td>
<td>Millersville, Pa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Peterson</td>
<td>Grand Valley State University</td>
<td>Allendale, Mich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donna Whitney</td>
<td>University of Minnesota</td>
<td>Minneapolis, Minn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Wulff</td>
<td>Western Kentucky University</td>
<td>Glasgow, Ky.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top Recruiters

Donna Whitney from the University of Minnesota in Minneapolis finished in first place with nine new Student Members enrolled and two Member renewals.

John Creasy from Bates College, Lewiston, Maine, finished a close second with eight new students enrolled.

Peter Eichhubl from the Texas A&M campus in Corpus Christi finished third with five new Members enrolled.

These top recruiters will receive gift certificates good toward any GSA product or service.

Congratulations and thank you!

In addition, each new Member enrolled as a part of this membership drive was entered into a drawing for a free Apple iPod. The lucky winner was Brandon C. Jackson from Austin Peay State University in Clarksville, Tenn. His campus rep is Phyllis Camilleri.
**STUDENTS:** Apply for Travel Grants Today!

The GSA Foundation has made US$4,500 in grants available to each of the six GSA Sections. The money, when combined with equal funds from the Sections, is used to help GSA undergraduate Student Associates and graduate Student Members travel to GSA meetings. For information and deadlines, please visit the Section Web sites listed below or contact the Section secretary directly.

**Cordilleran**
www.geosociety.org/sectdiv/cord/
The Cordilleran Section will not be offering student travel grants to the annual meeting this year.

**Rocky Mountain**
www.geosociety.org/sectdiv/rockymtn/
Kenneth E. Kolm
+1-303-231-9115 ext.110
kkolm@bbl-inc.com

**North-Central**
www.geosociety.org/sectdiv/northc/
John P. Szabo
+1-330-972-7630
jpszabo@uakron.edu

**Northeastern**
www.geosociety.org/sectdiv/northe/
Stephen G. Pollock
+1-207-780-5353
pollock@usm.maine.edu

**South-Central**
www.geosociety.org/sectdiv/southc/
Matthew W. Totten
+1-913-532-6101
mtotten@ksu.edu

**Southeastern**
www.geosociety.org/sectdiv/southe/
Donald W. Neal
+1-252-328-4392
neald@mail.ecu.edu

---

**Future GSA Annual Meetings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>October 28–31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008*</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Portland, Ore.</td>
<td>October 18–21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Denver</td>
<td>October 31–November 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Minneapolis</td>
<td>October 9–12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

**Exhibitors Provide It All!**

Do you have a product to sell? A new service to unveil? A school or program to promote?

The GSA Annual Meeting Exhibit Hall showcases more than 200 organizations offering the latest in scientific instrumentation; field supplies and gear; computer software/hardware; geological publications; laboratory services; gems, minerals, and fossils; and information on earth science programs at various institutions.

Find out more about our current 2006 exhibitors by visiting our Web site at www.geosociety.org/meetings/2006/. Exhibitors reach more than 6,300 influential and key decision makers from the geoscience community, meeting face-to-face with attendees and developing new customers, increasing sales, and educating potential new customers on products and services. Exhibit with us in 2006—it will be a successful and rewarding experience for everyone!

**For more information on becoming an exhibitor**, contact Cindy Hang with GSA Exhibits Management Services
+1-303-914-0695
or cindy@qbsoffice.com.

---

**Welcoming Party and Exhibit Hall Hours**

Our Welcoming Party kicks off the GSA Annual Meeting in the Exhibit Hall on Sunday, 22 Oct., 5:30–7:30 p.m. This event provides exposure to 6,000+ attendees with no conflicting events! Exhibits are also open Mon.-Tues., 23–24 Oct., 9 a.m.–5:30 p.m., and Wed., 25 Oct., 9 a.m.–2 p.m.
History, geology, and society converge to make the field trips for the 2006 Geological Society of America meeting in Philadelphia a unique and memorable experience for participants. Over 30 trips have been proposed, ranging from an exploration of Appalachian geology, structure, petrology, and geomorphology exposed along the great transverse rivers corridors, to the history of geology and science, archaeology, and paleontology, to environmental geology, geologic resources, engineering geology, coastal geology, hydrology/hydrogeology, and geologic education. Several of the trips have been proposed in the context of topical sessions, giving the participants “a meeting within a meeting” feel. There is an almost equal distribution of pre- and postmeeting offerings as well as several one- or half-day trips during the meeting. All trips begin and end in Philadelphia unless otherwise stated. Remember that cheaper airfares to Philadelphia are commonly associated with a Saturday night stay, which is pertinent particularly to many of the premeeting trips. The following list is tentative and subject to change. Details and a firm field trip listing will be available when meeting registration begins in June.

The field trip chair for the Philadelphia meeting is Frank J. Pazzaglia, Dept. of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Lehigh University, 31 Williams, Bethlehem, PA 18015, USA, fjp3@lehigh.edu, +1-610-758-3667. For more information regarding these trips, please contact him or the individual field trip leaders.

PREMEETING
Along-Strike Changes in the Architecture of a Fold-Thrust Belt: An Example from the Hudson Valley, New York
Thurs.–Sun., 19–21 Oct. Kurtis C. Burmeister, University of Pacific, Stockton, Calif., +1-209-946-2398, kburmeister@pacific.edu; Steve Marshak.

Behind the Scenes at the American Philosophical Society, the Library Company, and the Academy of Natural Sciences: Research Collections in the History of Geology and Paleontology
Fri., 20 Oct. Sponsored by GSA History of Geology Division. Gary Rosenberg, Indiana University–Purdue University, Indianapolis, Ind., +1-317-274-7468, grosenbe@iupui.edu; Sally Newcomb.

Buried Holocene Streams and Legacy Sediment: Late Pleistocene to Historical Changes in Stream Form and Process and Implications for Stream Restoration, Mid-Atlantic Piedmont Region

Coastal Hydrology and Processes of Atlantic Barrier Islands
Sat., 21 Oct. Rip Kirby, University of South Florida, Tampa, Fla., +1-850-217-1616, jkirby@mail.usf.edu.

Effects of Metasomatism and Fusion of Host Rock on the Chemistry of Early Jurassic Palisades Diabase in the Newark Basin
Sat., 21 Oct. Alan Benimoff, City University of New York, College of Staten Island, Staten Island, N.Y., +1-718-982-2835, benimoff@mailcsi.cuny.edu; John Puffer.

Journey into Anthracite
Sat., 21 Oct. Aaron R. Frantz, Cambridge, Mass., +1-610-293-0450, frantzr@cdm.com; Ed Simpson; Dale Freudenerberger.

Lacustrine Cyclicity and the Triassic-Jurassic Transition

Late Pleistocene to Modern Lacustrine Processes and Paleoclimatic History in the Finger Lakes, New York

New Insights to An Old Fold-Thrust Belt
Fri.–Sat., 20–21 Oct. Steven Wojtal, Oberlin College, Oberlin, Ohio, +1-440-775-8352, steven.wojtal@oberlin.edu; Patricia Campbell; Tom Anderson.
The Pursuit of Science • Annual Meeting & Exposition

Plant Paleocology and Geology of the Southern Anthracite Field, Pennsylvania

Prehistoric and Urban Landscapes of the Middle Atlantic Region: Geoarchaeological Perspectives

Refining the Metamorphic and Tectonic History of the Southeastern Pennsylvania Piedmont: Recent Results from Monazite and Zircon Geochronology and Accessory-Phase Thermometry

Rivers, Glaciers, Landscape Evolution, and Active Tectonics of the Central Appalachians, Pennsylvania and Maryland
Wed.–Sat., 18–21 Oct. Co-sponsored by GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division. Frank Pazzaglia, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pa., +1-610-758-3667, fpj3@lehigh.edu; Duane Braun; Noel Potter; Dr. Germanoski; Milan Pavich; Paul Bieman; Dorothy Merritts; Allen Gellis. Begins in Washington D.C. Participants will be advised on arrival options.

Rodinian Collisional and Escape Tectonics in the Hudson Highlands, New York
Thurs.–Sat., 19–21 Oct. Co-sponsored by Highlands Environment Research Institute. Alexander Gates, Rutgers State University, Newark, N.J., +1-973-353-5034, agates@andromeda.rutgers.edu; David Valentino; Mathew Goring.

Stratigraphy and Paleontology of the Chesapeake Group
Wed.–Sat., 18–21 Oct. Luack Ward, Virginia Natural History Museum, Martinsville, Va., +1-276-666-8628, lwward@vmnh.net; Alton C. Dooley Jr.

Stratigraphy of the Cambrian and Lower Ordovician Carbonates of the Kittatinny Supergroup, Northwestern New Jersey: Special Attention to the Nature and Timing of Silica Diagenesis and the Origin of Nodular Cherts
Fri.–Sat., 20–21 Oct. Philip C. LaPorta, City University of New York and LaPorta Associates, Warwick, N.Y., +1-845-986-7733, plaporta@laportageol.com; Margaret Brewer; Scott Minchak.

Taconic Orogeny in the Susquehanna Shelf and Foreland
Fri.–Sat., 20–21 Oct. Don Wise, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Mass., +1-413-545-0482, dwise@geo.umass.edu; Bob Ganis.

Tectonic History of the Blue Ridge, North-Central Virginia

DURING THE MEETING

135 Million Years of History in Southwestern Philadelphia

Bicycle Tour of the Geology and Hydrology of Philadelphia

Erosion and the Hickory Run Boulder Field—1st Annual Kirk Bryan Field Seminar
Tues., 24 Oct. Co-sponsored by GSA Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology Division. Frank Pazzaglia, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pa., +1-610-758-3667, fpj3@lehigh.edu; Paul Nierman; Milan Pavich; Dorothy Merritts.

Geology of Delaware Water Gap, New Jersey–Pennsylvania

Philadelphia Urban Hydrology—A Tour of the Fairmont Water Works

POSTMEETING

A Tour of the Peach Bottom Slate—Once the Best Building Slate in the World
Thurs., 26 Oct. Jen Jones, Jones Geological Services, Spring Grove, Pa., +1-717-225-3744, jjj276@aol.com; Mary Ann Schlegel; Charles Schamberger; Donald Robinson.

Arsenic in Groundwater in the Newark Basin
Thurs., 26 Oct. Mike Serfes, New Jersey Geological Survey, Trenton, N.J., +1-609-984-6587, mike.serfes@dep.state.nj.us; Steve Spayd; Paul Olsen.

Central Appalachian Transect along the Potomac River Corridor
GSA Mentor Programs at the 2006 GSA Annual Meeting in Philadelphia

Looking for a job—now or in the future?

Plan to Attend the Careers Roundtable Discussions Mentor Program

Join this group of mentors for one-on-one career advice, networking opportunities, and job-market perspectives. They represent a broad range of geoscience-related professions, including academics, industry, and government agencies. This FREE come-and-go event is open to everyone. **Registration not required.** Sun., 22 Oct., 10:30 a.m.–noon, Pennsylvania Convention Center, room location TBA. For more information, contact Karlon Blythe, kblythe@geosociety.org.

Attention Students Pursuing a Hydrogeology Career Path—This Mann Mentor Program is for You!

The Mann Mentors in Applied Hydrogeology Program underwrites the cost for up to 25 students to attend the distinguished Hydrogeology Division Luncheon and Awards Presentation. That’s right—no cost to students. Eligible students are those who have: (1) checked the box on their membership application indicating their professional interest in hydrology/hydrogeology, AND (2) registered for the Annual Meeting by 18 September 2006. The lucky recipients of these tickets will have the chance to meet with some of the nation’s most distinguished hydrogeologists. FREE tickets will be awarded to the first 25 students who respond to an **e-mail invitation**, based on the eligibility criteria above. **Registration required.** Date and location TBA. For more information, contact Karlon Blythe, kblythe@geosociety.org.

Students: Check Out the GEOLOGY IN GOVERNMENT Mentor Program!

A **FREE lunch** for undergraduate and graduate students will be held at GSA’s Philadelphia meeting. This popular annual event will feature a select panel of mentors representing various government agencies. Mentors will invite questions from the students, offer advice about preparing for a career, and comment on the prospects for current and future job opportunities within their agencies. Mon., 23 Oct. 2006, 11:30 a.m.–1:30 p.m., location TBA. **Registration not required.** Every student registered for the Annual Meeting will receive a ticket to this event along with their badge; however, attendance is limited, so arrive early! For more information, contact Karlon Blythe, kblythe@geosociety.org.
GSA-Sponsored Short Courses

Early Registration Deadline: 18 September 2006

Registration information and course descriptions will be published in the June GSA Today. For additional information, contact Karlon Blythe, kblythe@geosociety.org. (CEU—Continuing education credit.)

Beyond the Content: Teaching Scientific and Citizenship Literacy in the Geosciences

Using GPS Data to Study Crustal Deformation, Earthquakes, and Volcanism: A Workshop for College Faculty

Digital Terrain Modeling

Earthquakes—A One-Day Workshop for College and University Faculty

Scientific Inquiry in the K–16 Classroom: What Every Scientist Should Know about Effective Science Education

Using EarthEdOnline: Online Delivery System for Data Rich Inquiry Education

Education Research: An In-Depth Look at Qualitative Methods

Using Online Igneous Geochemical Databases for Research and Teaching

OTHER COURSES

Registration and information can be obtained from the contact person listed.

Core Analysis of Lake Sediments
Sat., 21 Oct. GSA Limnogeology Division Workshop. Core analysis and comparison of modern lake sediments and fossil lake rock sequences will shed light on sedimentation processes, climatic effects, and the preservation potential of fossils and structures through time and space. Please bring posters and/or cores describing your lake sediments. Posters can also be submitted for the poster session held during the annual meeting. Sponsored by ExxonMobil. For more information, contact Elizabeth Gierlowski-Kordesch, gierlows@ohio.edu.

Sequence Stratigraphy for Graduate Students
Fri.-Sat., 20–21 Oct., 8 a.m.–5 p.m. This free two-day short course is designed to teach graduate students the principles, concepts, and methods of sequence stratigraphy. Sequence stratigraphy is a methodology that uses stratal surfaces to subdivide the stratigraphic record. This methodology allows the identification of coeval facies, documents the time-transgressive nature of classic lithostratigraphic units, and provides geoscientists with an additional way to analyze and subdivide the stratigraphic record. Using exercises that utilize outcrop, core, well-log, and seismic data, the course provides a hands-on experience to learning sequence stratigraphy. The exercises include classic case studies from which many sequence stratigraphic concepts were originally developed. Instructors: Art Donovan, Ph.D. (Colorado School of Mines), BP (British Petroleum); Kirt Campion, Ph.D., ExxonMobil Upstream Research Co. Limit: 40. No fee. Preregistration required. For information or to register, please contact art.donovan@bp.com.

K–12 Program

Attention College Faculty, K–12 Teachers, Teacher Trainers, and Pre-Service Teachers!

Join us for the Geoscience Educators’ Social Reception Saturday, 21 Oct. 2006, 5:30 p.m.

Look for the K–12 Education Short Course listings in the June GSA Today. Questions? Contact Karlon Blythe, kblythe@geosociety.org, or Chris McLelland, cmclelland@geosociety.org.
Plates, Plumes, and Paradigms
edited by Gillian R. Foulger, James H. Natland, Dean C. Presnall, and Don L. Anderson

This beautiful compendium of work on hotspot volcanism documents the development, current state-of-play, and future prospects of all branches of the subject. It contains extensive and indispensable reference resources in the form of hotspot, tectonic, volcano and tomographic maps and cross sections of Earth. Some chapters outline the history of the plume hypothesis and other theories for the genesis of hotspots, and several provide tutorials that will be valuable to students and cross-disciplinary scientists. Other chapters present innovative models and theories for individual localities, volcano genesis processes, and related global observations. Many of these include subject reviews, making them doubly valuable to specialists and non-specialists alike. The book is fully interdisciplinary, encompassing geophysics, geochemistry, noble gases, heat, temperature, tectonics, petrology, mantle dynamics, impacts, and syntheses reconciling several branches of earth science. Included are chapters that advocate the plume model and ones that advocate alternative models. The book will enjoy a long lifetime of usefulness and functions as a reference work for students, scholars, and informed lay people. It is equally valuable for supporting advanced undergraduate or post-graduate courses and research scientists working at the forefront of hotspot science. It is an essential addition to the bookshelves of every science library, earth science teacher, and research scientist who aspires to understand the frontiers of this exciting subject. With over 150 color plates, it makes a beautiful addition to the library of anyone fascinated by volcanoes—one of nature’s most exciting and extraordinary phenomena.

$180.00, member price $144.00

www.geosociety.org/bookstore

Indispensable reference resources.

GSA Sales and Service
P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301-9140, USA
+1.303.357.1000, option 3
+1.888.443.4472
fax +1.303.357.1071 (24-hour line)
Further registration information will be available in the June GSA Today and at www.geosociety.org in early June. Online registration and information regarding Subaru-sponsored grants for Pennsylvania-based graduate students and two-year college faculty also will be available in early June.

**REGISTRATION FEES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>STANDARD</th>
<th>ONSITE/ LATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Member—Full Meeting</td>
<td>US$305</td>
<td>US$390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Member—1 Day</td>
<td>US$199</td>
<td>US$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Member &gt;70—Full Meeting</td>
<td>US$250</td>
<td>US$330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Member &gt;70—1 Day</td>
<td>US$145</td>
<td>US$160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Nonmember—Full Meeting</td>
<td>US$395</td>
<td>US$485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Nonmember—1 Day</td>
<td>US$235</td>
<td>US$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Member—Full Meeting</td>
<td>US$95</td>
<td>US$130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Member—1 Day</td>
<td>US$65</td>
<td>US$70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Nonmember—Full Meeting</td>
<td>US$125</td>
<td>US$160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Nonmember—1 Day</td>
<td>US$80</td>
<td>US$85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K–12 Professional—Full Meeting</td>
<td>US$40</td>
<td>US$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Trip or Short Course Only</td>
<td>US$40</td>
<td>US$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest or Spouse</td>
<td>US$80</td>
<td>US$85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GSA will provide each meeting registrant (field trip or short course only and guest or spouse registrants excluded) with a copy of the Abstracts with Programs on CD-ROM. The 2006 Section Meeting Abstracts are also included on the CD.

**LODGING**

Philadelphia offers high-quality, affordable hotel rooms for meeting attendees. GSA has booked rooms at six hotels, offering special convention rates starting at US$139 a night. The co-headquarter hotels are the Lowes Philadelphia Hotel and the Philadelphia Marriott. Most activities will take place at the Pennsylvania Convention Center and the two headquarter hotels. Additional housing information will be included in the June GSA Today as well as on the GSA Meeting Web site, www.geosociety.org/meetings/2006/, beginning in June.

**STUDENT TRAVEL FUND**

You can make a difference!

Help make it more affordable for students to attend the annual meeting by contributing to the Student Travel Fund via your annual meeting registration form. Your donation can make it possible for students to attend the 2006 GSA Annual Meeting in Philadelphia. 100% of the contributions received will go to help fund student travel. To get the fund started off on the right foot, GSA and the GSA Foundation are happy to contribute US$1,000 each.

**GUESTS INVITED!**

Make plans now to participate in the guest program at the GSA Annual Meeting in Philadelphia, and get ready to be pampered! GSA extends a warm welcome to all spouses, family members, and friends to register for our guest program.

The guest or spouse registration fee of US$80 (US$85 after 18 Sept.) per person is for nongeologist spouses or family members and friends of professional and/or student registrants to the GSA Annual Meeting. The guest registration fee is required to attend guest activities, gain entrance to the Exhibit Hall, attend seminars and workshops (to be listed in the June issue of GSA Today), and take advantage of refreshments in the Guest Hospitality Suite. Formal tours (also listed in the June GSA Today) will be offered at an additional cost. Fees cover the cost of professional tour guides, round-trip transportation, admission fees, and gratuities. Reservations for all tours will be accepted on a first-come, first-served basis. Since the tour operator requires a final guarantee 2 weeks in advance, most tours have attendance minimums and maximums. Please register early to guarantee your spot. Tours may be canceled if minimum attendance is not met.

The guest registration fee will NOT provide access to all Technical Sessions. However, guests can sign in with the hostess in the Guest Hospitality Suite and get a Visitor Badge allowing them to attend a specific presentation.

**GUEST HOSPITALITY SUITE HOURS**

Sun.–Wed., 22–25 Oct. 2006, 8 a.m.–5:30 p.m.

Registration for the Guest Program begins in June.

Look for the June GSA Today or register online at www.geosociety.org.
Graduate School Information Forum

EXHIBIT HALL

Sun., 22 Oct., 8 a.m.–7:30 p.m.
Mon.–Wed., 23–25 Oct., 8 a.m.–5:30 p.m.

Take full advantage of promoting your school to over 1,500 students at the upcoming GSA Annual Meeting and Exposition in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Meet face-to-face with prospective students in a relaxed, informal setting.

The Graduate School Information Forum (GSIF) will be located in the Exhibit Hall between the exhibits and the poster session displays. The forum will be open Sunday, 8 a.m.–7:30 p.m. This coincides with the Welcoming Party in the Exhibit Hall on Sunday evening. The hours for Mon.–Wed. are 8 a.m.–5:30 p.m.

Because the GSIF will be opened Sun.–Wed., you may choose to participate for one day up to all four days. Space is limited, and Sunday and Monday will be the first to sell out. Schools reserving multiple days will be assigned first and to the most visible booths.

Participating schools will be promoted in the October GSA Today (pending submittal date of reservation form), the 2006 Annual Meeting Program, and in e-mail links to the GSA Web site so prospective students may schedule appointments prior to the Annual Meeting.

For more information, contact Kevin Ricker, +1-303-357-1090, kricker@geosociety.org.

EMPLOYMENT

Service Center

GSA will again offer its popular Employment Service Center (ESC) at this year’s Annual Meeting in Philadelphia. This service matches employers with job seekers for onsite interviews, message exchange, and more.

Last year, 315 interviews were conducted with over 130 registered applicants for applied, government, and academic positions in the geosciences.

Register early for the best exposure in the ESC Web-based database, which is accessible by participating employers.

For more information, go to www.geosociety.org/Employment_Service/ or email employmentservice@geosociety.org.

ATTENTION STUDENTS!

Would you like to attend the 2006 GSA Annual Meeting & Exposition in Philadelphia but feel that there is no way to afford it?

Think again—become a Student Volunteer.

You will receive

FREE registration by volunteering 10 hours.
FREE Abstracts with Programs volume by volunteering 15 hours.

ALSO, volunteers receive a stipend of $25 for every 5 hours that are volunteered. (Optional partial food stipend available.)

For more information, contact Mollie Van Otterloo, GSA Meetings Coordinator, mvanotterloo@geosociety.org, +1-303-357-1060.
ROCK STARS
Andrew Cowper Lawson (1861–1952):
How a Boy from Canada Became a
Legendary Professor of Geology at Berkeley

Gerard V. Middleton, School of Geography and Earth Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1, Canada; mailing address: 90 St. Margaret’s Road, Ancaster, Ontario L9G 2K9, Canada

Born in Scotland, Andrew Cowper Lawson came to Hamilton, Ontario, when he was six years old, graduated from the University of Toronto in 1883, worked for the Geological Survey of Canada, gained his doctorate at Johns Hopkins in 1888, and moved to California in 1890.

His father was William Lawson, born in Kirkaldy, Scotland, a seaman whose health was ruined in a shipwreck in 1865. His mother was Jessie Kerr, a name she took after her adoption by her maternal grandparents. William and Jessie were married in 1860, and Andrew was their first child, born in Anstruther, Scotland, on 21 July 1861. The family, including Andrew’s brother and two sisters, emigrated to Canada in 1866 and settled in Hamilton, where William was employed in a shipyard. He retired in 1873 because of his health. Six more children were born in Canada.

Andrew attended the Hamilton Collegiate Institute (HCI), one of a small number of superior high schools that offered a classics-based education. After her husband’s retirement, Jessie managed to support the family by writing short stories, which she sold to magazines in Scotland and Canada under a variety of pen-names, and Andrew and his sister, Katherine, had to take over many of the tasks and responsibilities that would normally have been assumed by their parents. One of Andrew’s brothers, James, showed artistic talent, which was encouraged (and subsidized) by his headmaster at HCI, George Dickson, who was an amateur geologist.

In 1879, James left for Europe, where he became a well-known artist, changing his name to Kerr-Lawson in order to avoid confusion with another artist with a similar name. The family moved to Toronto in 1881.

While at school, Andrew delivered newspapers, including the Hamilton Spectator, which is still the local newspaper. After graduating from HCI “with great distinction,” he worked part time as a reporter for that newspaper. In his last year at HCI (1879–1880), Andrew studied chemistry with Joseph Winthrop Spencer, a geologist later known for his work on the Great Lakes. Dickson and Spencer probably made him aware of geology, but when he enrolled in the University of Toronto, it was to study classics, not science. In the summer of 1881, he was offered a job as a legal reporter in Montreal. He accepted, and in the fall of 1881 took courses at McGill University in geology (from William Dawson), mineralogy, and medicine (from William Osler). He soon discovered he was too squeamish for medicine and not inclined to pursue a career in journalism. Instead, in 1882, he worked as a field assistant in the western prairies with Robert Bell of the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC), then based in Montreal. He described this experience in detail much later in his life: he enjoyed the exploration but seems to have learned little geology from Bell.

The following summer, Lawson and J.W. Tyrrell (a topographer) began mapping the Precambrian of the Lake of the Woods region, nominally under Bell’s supervision, but working largely by themselves. Returning to the University of Toronto, Lawson graduated in 1883 with a gold medal for excellence in classics, mathematics, modern languages, and natural science. He then worked full-time for the GSC, in charge of the Precambrian mapping he had begun the year before, while studying geology part time at Toronto (M.A., 1885). This work continued during the summers, even after he began graduate studies in geology at Johns Hopkins University. Perhaps E.J. Chapman, then Professor of Geology at Toronto, had suggested that he go to Hopkins to learn the new polarizing microscope techniques from George Williams. Lawson’s doctorate was awarded in 1888. He was the first of many GSC geologists to have their doctoral studies supported while they remained employees of the GSC. In 1888 he obtained leave to travel to the International Congress of Geology in London in 1888, followed by field excursions in Scandinavia, France, Germany and Italy. He returned to the GSC, but resigned in 1890 to become a consultant in Vancouver, British Columbia, and spent the summer prospecting for coal. In November, he moved to the University of California at Berkeley and remained there for the rest of his long life.

Lawson was known for his field studies of the Precambrian rocks of western Ontario, and Minnesota (1891). In 1885–1888, he established that the old granitic rocks, known as Laurentian,
were intrusive into metamorphic and volcanic rocks (Keewatin), and so were younger than them rather than older as previously thought. Lawson thought the intrusive granites were remobilized older crust and continued to call both Laurentian. His ideas were published promptly by the GSC in 1885 (Lake of the Woods Report) and again in 1887-1888 (Rainy Lake). His observation that the Laurentian granites were intruded into the Keewatin was soon accepted, but his interpretation of the stratigraphy of the Keewatin and its associated metasediments (called Couthiching by Lawson in 1887) remained controversial for almost a hundred years. In 1911, he returned to Ontario and recognized a further stratigraphic unit (Seine) and two periods of intrusion, which he called Laurentian and Algoman. The stratigraphic controversy continued, and was resolved only partially by the application of way-up techniques, unknown to Lawson in the 1880s. It finally ended in the 1980s, with the application of refined age dating and recognition that the Superior Province contains many structurally juxtaposed terranes.

At Berkeley, Lawson began teaching a seminar for graduate students, as well as a field course, both innovations in America. With help from his students, he began detailed geological mapping of coastal California, and established the Bulletin of the Department of Geology. After the 1906 earthquake, he supervised the production of volume 1 of The Report of the State Earthquake Investigation Commission (1908), and established a laboratory at Berkeley that became a famous center for earthquake studies. He was one of the founders of the Seismological Society of America. He also served as a consultant on mining and engineering projects, including the Golden Gate Bridge.

Lawson had strong views on the methodology of the earth sciences (including the importance of field studies). Philosophically, he rejected his Presbyterian upbringing and was a positivist but held near-mystical views about nature, which he generally expressed in poetry (see Vaughan's 1970 biography). A rather private, reserved man, he was also a forceful teacher who had many famous pupils. A ruthless critic, he was rarely persuaded to alter his own opinions. His personality earned him the nickname “The King” at Berkeley. He served as department chair on several occasions for a total of 20 years and was Dean of the College of Mining at Berkeley from 1915 to 1918.
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The Kerry Kelts Research Awards of the Limnogeology Division

The application process for the Kerry Kelts Research Awards of the Limnogeology Division is now open. These awards are named in honor of Kerry Kelts, a visionary limnogeologist and inspiring teacher. Up to three awards of US$300 each for use in research related to limnogeology, limnology, and paleolimnology are available. Application for this award is simple and consists of a summary of the proposed research, its significance, and how the award will be used (five-page maximum). Please send your summary in PDF format along with your name and associated information to the chair of the Limnogeology Division, Thomas C. Johnson, tcj@d.umn.edu. Application Deadline: 10 August 2006. Awards will be announced at the Limnogeology Division Business Meeting and Reception at the 2006 GSA Annual Meeting in Philadelphia in October.

We hope to increase the amount of the awards in succeeding years. If you are interested in supporting this awards program, please send your donations, designated for the Kerry Kelts Research Awards of the Limnogeology Division, to GSA, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301-9140, USA.
STUDENTS—Meet Your Career Mentor!

Plan now to attend a Shlemon Mentor Program in Applied Geoscience and/or a Mann Mentor Program in Applied Hydrogeology at your 2006 Section Meeting to chat one-on-one with practicing geoscientists. These volunteers will answer your questions and share insights on how to get a job after graduation. When programs are scheduled for multiple days, each day’s program will offer a different set of mentors.

FREE lunches will be served (students only) at the Shlemon Mentor Programs. Students will receive a free lunch ticket with their registration badge to attend each Shlemon Program. However, space is limited: first come, first served.

FREE pizza suppers will be served (students only) at the Mann Mentor Programs. Students will receive a free pizza supper ticket with their registration badge to attend the Mann Program. The Mann Program is geared toward careers in hydrogeology or hydrology. Whether you’ve already decided to head down the hydro career path or whether you just would like to know more about these career options, this meeting is for you! However, space is limited: first come, first served.

More than 500 students and 100 mentors participated in the 2005 programs and provided very positive feedback regarding the experience. See the October 2005 issue of GSA Today or go to www.geosociety.org/science/ to learn more.
Earthcached yet?

Earthcaching is GSA’s earth science spin on the GPS game, geocaching.

Go to www.earthcache.org, choose your Earthcache from the list of over 475 from all around the world, and then head outdoors!


GEOLOGY UNDERFOOT IN SOUTHERN UTAH
Richard Orndorff, Richard W. Wieder, and David G. Futey
Explore the landforms of 33 sites, including the goblins in Goblin Valley, the towering walls of Zion Canyon, and Bryce’s hoodoo fairieland, not to mention the arches and natural bridges of this enigmatic landscape.

318 pages • 6 x 9
paper $18.00 • Item #GSA295
Over 200 photos, maps, and figures

LIVING MOUNTAINS
How and Why Volcanoes Erupt
Jacques Kornprobst and Christine Laverne
Discover the workings and subduction zones and seafloor spreading, how different lavas form through the partial melting of earth’s various rocks, and why some volcanoes ooze fluid ââ lavas while others explode 30,000-foot clouds of ash and incendiary lava bombs.

112 pages • 7.5 x 9.5 • paper $18.00
46 watercolors • Item #GSA346

Please include $3.00 for shipping/handling per order.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN SECTION
17–19 May 2006
Western State College, Gunnison, Colorado
Early Registration Deadline: 17 April 2006
Information: Rob Fillmore, Western State College, Dept. of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Gunnison, CO 81231-0001,
+1-970-943-2650, rfillmore@western.edu

NORTH-CENTRAL SECTION
20–21 April 2006
Student Center, University of Akron, Akron, Ohio
Information: John Szabo, Dept. of Geology, University of Akron, Akron, OH 44325-4101, +1-330-972-8039,
jpszabo@uakron.edu

CORDILLERAN SECTION
(Joint Meeting with PSAAPG and SPE-A)
8–10 May 2006
University of Alaska, Anchorage, Alaska
See the January 2006 issue of GSA Today for more information.

2006 GSA Section Meetings
The GSA Foundation exists to fund those education, research, publications, student support, public outreach, and other geoscientific programs of the Geological Society of America that the Society considers necessary to accomplish its purposes of advancing the geosciences, enhancing the professional growth of GSA Members, and promoting the geosciences in the service of humankind.

GSA Foundation—Frequently Asked Questions

A LITTLE HISTORY

The GSA Foundation was incorporated in December 1980 as a non-profit 501(c)(3) corporation. The Foundation’s first project was raising US$3.8 million for the Decade of North American Geology Project. In the 1990s, the Foundation raised over US$10 million for the Second Century Fund, supporting research grants, Institute for Environmental Education, Sage, the building expansion and other projects. The Foundation currently has 82 funds: 29 for research, 48 restricted, four planned giving programs, and the Greatest Needs Fund, which is unrestricted.

Q What is the legal relationship of the Foundation to the Society?

A The Foundation is a separately governed nonprofit 501(c)(3) corporation.

Q Who administers the Foundation?

A The Foundation is managed by its Board of Trustees, which consists of a maximum of 20 members, each of whom can serve up to two consecutive five-year terms. The Foundation’s Executive Committee consists of the board chair and vice chair, the Foundation president, and up to two trustees at large to be selected by the chair and president. Day-to-day activities are conducted at GSA Headquarters in Boulder by the Foundation’s president, the director of operations, and one part-time foundation assistant.

The Foundation’s current Board of Trustees are Robert D. Hatcher, Chair; David E Dunn, Vice Chair; Farouk El-Baz; P. Geoffrey Feiss; Thomas D. Fouch; Susan M. Landon; Elaine Padovani; Robert H. Rutford; George C. Sharp; Virginia B. Sisson; H. Catherine W. Skinner; and Lee J. Suttner.

Q How are the Trustees selected, and what role do they play in fund-raising and development?

A Trustees are selected from a list of nominations put forth by current Board members and approved by GSA Council. Trustees are expected to identify and cultivate potential donors, and be ambassadors, policy makers, compliance officers, and advocates for the Foundation. They are also expected to make an annual contribution at a level of personal ability.

Q Who determines the fund-raising priorities of the Foundation?

A GSA’s executive director, working with GSA’s Council and Executive Committee, provides the Foundation a non-prioritized list of funding needs semi-annually.

Q What are the total contributions the Foundation has raised?

A Contributions over the past five years averaged US$742,000 in support of GSA programs and projects. Gifts so far for fiscal year 2006 (July–December 2005) total US$639,773.
What percentage of the GSA membership contributes to the Foundation?

Since a check-off system for contributions to the Foundation was added to GSA’s dues renewal statement three years ago, an average of over 25% of the membership has given to the Foundation annually. Although there is no standard percentage for members who contribute to their professional society’s foundations, most fund-raisers say that an organization is doing very well if at least 10% of its membership contributes annually.

Do other geoscience professional societies provide support like this to run their foundations?

Other geoscience societies generally cover the majority of the costs of their foundation’s expenses. For several of the societies, the leaders and employees of the societies are also the foundation employees, thereby lessening the actual “apparent” operating expense of their foundation. But this practice is discouraged by the Council of Better Business Bureaus and American Institute of Philanthropy because the fiduciary responsibility of a foundation should be legally separate from the mother society so that donor interests are preserved.

Where can I learn more about the Foundation and programs I might wish to support?

**INTRODUCTION**

With the imminent completion of the international campaign to define boundaries in the geological time scale at fixed physical points in stratotype sections, rather than in conceptual terms, this is an appropriate time to discuss the opportunities and problems in a radically changed science. Not only have our primary landmarks, the chronostratigraphic boundaries, emerged into new clarity because of this development, but the tools of correlating the landmarks have also improved very substantially in recent years. We will evaluate the new limits of chronostratigraphy and consider how much of its philosophy, terminology, and practice should be changed to take advantage of the increased potential for accuracy in theories of earth history.

**DESCRIPTION**

The fossil record, which gave original meaning to the geological time scale and which is still gaining in effectiveness as the primary control in earth history, has been joined in modern times by other means of chronostratigraphic correlation: regional or global changes in stable isotope ratios of carbon, oxygen, strontium, neodymium, and other elements; the global imprint of changes in Earth’s magnetic field; astronomically forced cycles in sedimentation, sea level, and climate; and identification of the worldwide effects of cataclysmic events, both external (meteorite impact) and internal (mass volcanism, seafloor methane hydrate dissociation)—among others. Dating—directly measuring the age of rocks—also continues to improve, providing an independent control over assumed time-correlations of stratigraphic features.

The establishment of a Global Standard Stratotype and Point (GSSP) or “Golden Spike” as a physical, rather than conceptual, definition for each worldwide chronostratigraphic boundary has now given improved correlation techniques something solid to work on. What is emerging is a multi-threaded earth history of unparalleled detail and temporal resolution, allowing regional or worldwide reconstructions of trends in biodiversity and global biomass, oceanic and atmospheric composition, or volcanic flux, for example, as well as links between events at Earth’s surface and in the deep mantle and in the wider solar system. Crucially, the greatly increased temporal resolution can enable realistic constraints to be placed on models of cause and effect in earth processes. This integrated earth history is indispensable to the location and exploitation of earth resources and is equally of growing importance in providing a context for past environmental change, fundamental to the prediction of changes today and in the future.

Given the ever-growing complexity of these stratigraphic studies, the vast size and scope of the databases now being assembled, and the multidisciplinary nature of current studies, we urgently need to reexamine the basics of our classification of time, rock, and events. These classifications have roots going back a century or more, when our perceptual tools were far less acute. Specialists in the disparate disciplines, working together, will reexamine and, where necessary, suggest means to reshape the nature of stratigraphical classification in the future.

**OUTLINE**

Discussions will be organized into topic sessions dealing with specific issues.

2. Chronostratigraphy today—Unresolved issues: status of Quaternary, Precambrian, and “problem boundaries.”
3. Chronostratigraphy today—New applications: sequence stratigraphy, interactive Internet-based time scales.
4. Chronostratigraphy tomorrow—Where are we going? potential for better resolution; the detection of high frequency global processes; the debate over dualistic terminology.
5. Chronostratigraphy tomorrow—How do we get there? improving on the GSSP; updating and revising the guidelines.
Venue, Travel, and Estimated Costs

The conference will be held at Schloss Seggau, a twelfth-century castle built on Roman foundations, in the foothills of the Eastern Alps of Styria (southern Austria) near the historic cultural center of Graz.

Sat., 3 June, will be the travel reception day; departure is Fri., 9 June. Direct flights to Graz from Vienna are provided by Austrian Airlines; from Frankfurt, Munich, and Düsseldorf by Lufthansa; from Zurich by Swissair; and from Paris by Styrian Airways. Rail should be booked via Graz to the local town of Leibnitz. Connections to the Schloss will be arranged.

A one-day geological field trip to the Neogene basin of southern Styria, a wine tasting session in the Schloss Seggau wine cellars with an exploratory tour of the castle, and a baroque concert are included in the program.

The cost per person, listed below, includes meals and all events. Prices are in Euros (€).

- Single room (category 1): €677—11 rooms available
- Single room (category 2): €545—4 rooms available
- Double room (category 1): €499—12 rooms available
- Double room (category 2): €491—20 rooms available

Registrants with Special Needs

GSA is committed to making Penrose Conferences accessible to all. Aside from the field trip, participants with disabilities can be readily accommodated. Vegetarian and restricted diets will be available. If you require special arrangements, please contact Mollie VanOtterloo, mvanotterloo@geosociety.org.

For additional information on this trip, please visit www.geosociety.org/penrose/06-chronostratigraphy.htm.
Unlocking Three-Dimensional Earth Systems—Harnessing New Digital Technologies to Revolutionize Multi-Scale Geologic Models

17–21 September 2006

e-Science Research Institute and Department of Earth Sciences, University of Durham, UK

Conveners:

Ken McCaffrey, Reactivation Research Group, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK, +44-0-191-334-2300, k.j.w.mccaffrey@durham.ac.uk

Jonathan Imber, Reactivation Research Group, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK, +44-0-191-334-2300, jonathan.imber@durham.ac.uk

Nicolas Holliman, e-Science Research Institute, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK, +44-0-191-334-4297, n.s.holliman@durham.ac.uk

Bob Holdsworth, Reactivation Research Group, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK, +44-0-191-334-2300, r.e.holdsworth@durham.ac.uk

John Howell, Centre for Integrated Petroleum Technology, University of Bergen, Allegaten 41, Bergen N-5007, Norway, +47-55-58-3391, john.howell@geo.uib.no

Tim Wawrzyniec, LiDAR Laboratory, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Northrop Hall, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131-0000, USA, +1-505-277-2740, tfw@unm.edu

DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES

Geoscientists understand that their subject is inherently spatial and temporal in nature. Advances in geochronologic and chronostratigraphic methods have improved our temporal understanding of earth systems markedly. However, we have yet to fully utilize the three-dimensional (3D) geospatial datasets that have recently become available to industrial and academic geoscientists. These range from high-resolution 3D seismic volumes, which provide an unprecedented level of information on subsurface geological architectures, to data from laser scanners, LiDAR, and digital geological mapping/surveying techniques that capture macro- to mega-scale surface information rapidly and accurately. Put simply, the ability to collect, visualize, and analyze spatially accurate surface and subsurface datasets in three dimensions provides geoscientists with new opportunities to capture and interpret true 3D geological architectures at a range of scales. This development represents as great a paradigm shift for the geosciences as William Smith’s seminal geological map of 1815. The September 2006 Penrose Conference brings together researchers from a range of countries, academic institutions, and private sector organizations to focus on how 3D technologies are opening up new research opportunities. Application of these technologies to three critical areas of the earth sciences will be discussed:

• Spatial analysis and scaling properties of geological architectures and processes;
• Quantification and visualization of uncertainty in geological data and interpretations;
• Calibration and validation of predictive Earth models.

OUTLINE AND PROGRAM

The conference will include a one-day field trip and workshop, followed by three and a half days of oral and poster presentations and discussions. All participants are expected to contribute a poster or oral presentation and should arrive in Durham by the evening of 16 Sept. 2006.

17 Sept.: Field Trip and Workshop

To set the scene for the conference and to stimulate discussion on our three main themes, we have arranged a half-day field trip to visit spectacular exposures of deformed Permian sandstones on the Northumberland Coast, a one hour drive north of Durham. Participants will collect data from these outcrops using such diverse methods as terrestrial laser scanning, digital surveying, and traditional pen-and-paper techniques. After lunch at a traditional northeast “chippy,” we’ll return to Durham to analyze the data and address a range of questions:

• What are the uncertainties associated with each of the datasets—and how can we quantify these uncertainties?
• How can we take full advantage of the spatial information contained within the digital datasets—and how do our new interpretations compare with traditional geometric analyses?
• How much “soft” information—derived from our geological intuition or gut feeling—is lost when analyzing digital datasets?
18–21 Sept.: Presentations and Discussions

Days 2–4 will be broken up into morning keynote speeches and afternoon poster sessions. However, rather than feature the traditional paper-based presentations, we encourage participants to show their data “live” on desktop 3D screens, which will be provided. In addition, there will be an opportunity to present selected datasets in a fully immersive environment (“HIVE”). We welcome contributions based around the following themes:

- **Day 2**—Virtual outcrops and 3D geological maps: surface-based digital mapping and survey methods; LiDAR and terrestrial laser scanning; getting the most out of 3D visualization—theory and human factors.
- **Day 3**—Two-and-a-half- to 4D geospatial modeling: uses 3D datasets to calibrate numerical modeling results; mapping and quantifying uncertainty in geological models; problems and challenges in developing software for (auto-) interpretation and (auto-) model building; tracking the spatial evolution in earth systems (4D Earth models).
- **Day 4**—New approaches to 3D geospatial analysis: extending geospatial tools into the third dimension; opportunities to understand the 3D scaling of geological architectures.

A round-up panel discussion will finish each day. One evening will be set aside for graduate students to meet industry participants. This will be an opportunity to exchange ideas for research collaboration, for students to meet prospective employers, and for industry participants to meet the next generation of earth scientists.

**Venue**

Visualization facilities are available at the conference venue in the brand new Durham e-Science Research Institute and adjacent Department of Earth Sciences. In complete contrast, participants will stay in historic Durham Castle, situated opposite Durham Cathedral within a World Heritage Site. Durham is situated on the main London-Scotland rail and motorway routes, making it easily accessible from the Heathrow and Gatwick airports. Alternatively, participants can take a connecting flight to either Newcastle or Durham–Tees Valley Airport, both of which are located within ~25 miles of Durham City.

**Deadlines**

**28 April 2006 — Deadline for Applications**

Geoscientists interested in exploring the opportunities offered by digital technologies to developing new research in the fields identified in this article are encouraged to apply. Please send a letter of intent to Jonathan Imber that includes a brief statement of interests, the relevance of the applicant’s recent work to the themes of the meeting, the subject of the proposed presentation, and contact details. Digital presentations that include “live” data are particularly encouraged. Please advise your software requirements in your letter of application and, if appropriate, state why your dataset should be considered for presentation in a “HIVE.” **Invitations will be e-mailed to participants at the end of May 2006.** Where appropriate, the conveners will notify participants if their data has been selected for viewing in the HIVE.

**31 August 2006 — Deadline for “Live” Data**

Participants must ensure that all “live” data they intend to present at the conference are transferred to Durham by this date.

**Software Availability**

We support the following software packages, which will be made available for participants to display “live” data: 2D/3DMove; ArcGIS; GeoFrame IESX; GeoProbe; GOCAD; Inside Reality; Paraview; Petrel; SeisWorks; Trimble Geomatics Office; RiSCAN PRO; TrapTester; and VolView. Participants who wish to use other software should arrange to bring their own laptops to the meeting, which can be plugged into the 3D displays.

**Registration Fee**

The estimated registration fee of US$900 will cover lodging, all meals, and the field trip, but will not cover transportation to and from the meeting site. Graduate students are especially encouraged to apply. We anticipate that the student registration fee will be approximately half of the full rate.

**Registrants with Special Needs**

GSA is committed to making Penrose Conferences accessible to all. If you require special arrangements or have special dietary concerns, please contact Lisa Smith, lsmith@geosociety.org.

**Related Web Sites**

- **Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University:** www.dur.ac.uk/earth.sciences.
- **Durham Centre for Terrestrial Laser Scanning:** www.dur.ac.uk/earth.sciences/facilities/cetls/.
- **Centre for Integrated Petroleum Research, Bergen:** www.uib.no/cipr/.
- **University of New Mexico LiDAR Laboratory:** http://epswww.unm.edu/facstaff/tfw/index.htm.
- **Durham Castle:** www.durhamcastle.com.
Panel Seeks Community Input

The Geological Society of America (GSA), through its Geology and Public Policy Committee (GPPC), requests input from GSA members for a position statement on global climate change, specifically related to human impacts on climate, the importance of climate change research, and the need for climate change policy to take into account results of peer-reviewed earth science.

**GPPC panel members:** Sally Benson, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory; Thure Cerling, University of Utah; Judith Curry, Georgia Institute of Technology; Yehouda Enzel, Hebrew University; Mickey Glantz, National Center for Atmospheric Research; and Lynn Soreghan, University of Oklahoma. Mark Peters, Argonne National Laboratory, and Jim Finley, Telesto Solutions, Inc., both members of the GPPC, are also participating in the panel. Mark Peters is the panel chair and corresponding member.

Presented here are some key points the panel is considering during the preparation of the position statement. We encourage the community to send comments and suggestions on the points below or additional points that should be considered during the preparation of the statement. Please e-mail your comments and suggestions to Mark Peters at mtpeters@anl.gov, preferably before 15 April 2006.

**Background**

The panel has been given the task of drafting a position statement on global climate change, for approval by the GPPC and GSA Council. The document will include (1) a brief statement elucidating the crux of the argument, (2) background information justifying the brief statement, and (3) an implementation plan (how GSA, GSA members, and others may use the position statement).

The panel is carefully examining the position statements on climate change of other societies (e.g., American Geophysical Union [AGU], American Chemical Society). These statements do an excellent job of stating facts and conclusions from the peer-reviewed literature and include the importance of science in the practical solutions of mitigation, technological advancement, and adaptation. Earth science will be essential to all three solutions.

The panel is considering a position statement that broadly supports scientific research related to climate change, incorporating the important perspective of geologic time (deep time) that is an integral component of many geological sub-disciplines. The statement will also emphasize the urgency of developing and implementing mitigation and adaptation measures, many of which are rooted in the geosciences. Many earth science disciplines contribute to this complex scientific issue: sedimentary geology, Quaternary geology, geochemistry, and paleontology, climatology, oceanography, and atmospheric physics and chemistry. The understanding of natural variations in climate over geologic time provides boundary conditions for evaluating human impacts on climate and for producing more reliable predictions of future climate change. In addition, knowledge of active geologic processes provides invaluable insight toward a better understanding and improved monitoring of anthropogenic climate change as well as how to adapt to the consequences of climate change. The panel is emphasizing geological perspectives on predicting and addressing the impacts of climate change, regardless of cause.

Climate change policy needs to incorporate an earth science perspective. Earth science provides important perspectives related to the climate change issue, appropriate mitigation strategies, and future alternative sources of energy (e.g., carbon sequestration, potential impacts of a hydrogen-based economy). Significant research remains to be done to address the uncertainties and assess potential future impacts of climate change policy, as well as to develop the appropriate mitigation strategies, assess long-term resource potential, formulate sustainable sources of energy for the future, and adapt to changes in fundamental Earth environmental conditions (e.g., rising sea level, droughts in some areas, and increased precipitation in others).

As policy makers address the issue of climate change, the science community needs to provide peer-reviewed science as a basis for policy decisions. The peer-review system is the best approach for scientists to provide objective information critical to the development of viable, effective policy. The heart of the argument for peer-reviewed science is that although the mainstream of the scientific community believes global warming is a real threat, the peer-review process will ensure development of the correct interpretation of global climate change.

**Justification**

A position statement outlining earth science perspectives and GSA’s position related to the impact of human activities on climate, the importance of climate change research, and the need to educate policy makers about objective science is an important initiative for GSA. The GSA position statement will complement existing statements by other societies and provide the essential, cross-disciplinary perspective the GSA membership brings to the issue (e.g., sedimentary geology, Quaternary geology, geochemistry, paleontology).

**Implementation Plan**

We hope that such a document, backed by the authority of the GSA, will be used by scientists to inform policy makers of the evolving issues related to global climate change. An additional goal of the position statement is to serve as the basis for GSA members to communicate to the general public the importance of geological research and publication in the global climate change dialogue. For these objectives to be effective, the background or justification section of the document should contain major conclusions of peer-reviewed research, as in the AGU’s 2003 position statement, Human Impacts on Climate. The implementation plan should also include how GSA ensures the statement is put to use. This could include identification of federal, international, and other programs that should be supported financially; it may include programs in the National Science Foundation, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Center for Atmospheric Research, the U.S. Geological Survey–Department of the Interior, the Department of Energy, and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
Call for Papers

Geosphere is an online-only journal that targets an international audience and publishes high-quality research results from all fields of the geosciences. It particularly seeks papers that take advantage of the electronic format.

Now online and open-access!

Submit a paper to Geosphere, GSA’s new online journal. Geosphere is using an online manuscript submission and tracking system accessible through www.geosociety.org (click on “Publications Services,” then “Submit a Manuscript”) or http://gsa-geosphere.allentrack.net. (If you have submitted papers to Geology or to GSA Bulletin, you’ll find the process familiar.)

Geosphere science editor: G. Randy Keller, University of Texas at El Paso.

- seeks high-quality papers from a broad spectrum of geoscience disciplines;
- encourages the extensive use of color, animations, and interactivity;
- includes educational, data, and software contributions, in addition to traditional research papers;
- welcomes oversize figures (maps, cross sections, seismic sections);
- allows for the presentation and preservation of basic data, tables, images, etc.;
- maintains rigorous standards for peer review;
- is entirely electronic, and the format is extremely flexible;
- has no or low color figure charges; and
- aims to evolve with technological advances.

For more information on Geosphere see www.geosociety.org/pubs/geosphere/.
ANNOUNCEMENTS

MEETINGS CALENDAR

2006


23–26 May 2006 Joint Assembly, a partnership between AGU, GS, MB, MSA, and SEG, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. Information: www.agu.org/meetings/ja06/.


27–31 August 3rd International Symposium on Isotopomers (ISI 2006), San Diego, California, USA. Information: http://is2006.ucsd.edu/.


13–16 September Archaeological Sciences of the Americas Symposium. Topics of interest to GSA Members include geoarchaeology, chronometry, and human-environment interaction. Abstracts due 1 May 2006. Information: http://asas06.ltc.arizona.edu/.

15–22 September 23rd Annual Meeting, The Society for Organic Petrology, Beijing, China. Information: e-mail: tsop2006@mail.edu.cn or go to www.tsop.org.

2007


Visit www.geosociety.org/calendar/ for a complete list of upcoming geoscience meetings.

About People

GSA Fellow Brian G. Katz has been awarded the John Hem Excellence in Science and Engineering Award. This award was bestowed at the National Ground Water Association Meeting on 15 December 2005 by the Association of Ground Water Scientists and Engineers. Katz was cited for his significant advances in the understanding of contaminant movement and groundwater flow patterns in complex karst aquifer systems.

ERRATUM

In the “In Memoriam” section of the March 2006 issue of GSA Today (p. 27), Richard E. Ernst was erroneously listed as deceased. Dr. Ernst is very much alive and is operating his own consulting business, Ernst Geosciences, in Ottawa, Ontario. GSA greatly regrets the error.
The geological evolution of the Grenville orogenic belt represents one of the most widespread episodes of crustal modification in Earth’s history. The 39 papers in this volume offer a system-wide perspective on rocks and processes of the Mesoproterozoic Grenville orogen and Appalachian inliers and include many multidisciplinary studies presenting results from integrated petrologic, geochemical, and geochronologic investigations. The volume includes contributions concerning the Grenvillian geology of Canada, the United States, and Mexico, focusing on both the tectonic evolution of the orogen and on innovative approaches to deciphering the igneous, metamorphic, structural, and metallogenic history of Mesoproterozoic assembly and Neoproterozoic rifting. The timing and regional correlation of events and processes is emphasized in order to bridge knowledge gaps within the orogen and to better understand the geodynamic framework.

MWR197, 798 p. plus index
$195.00, member price $156.00

GSA Sales and Service
P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301-9140, USA
+1.303.357.1000, option 3
+1.888.443.4472 • fax +1.303.357.1071

order online at www.geosociety.org
Drought-related impacts can be expected to increase in intensity in the twenty-first century as human population increases and land uses change. To evaluate current drought-related problems and anticipate future issues, GSA and its partners announce a participatory conference to be held 18–20 September 2006 near Boulder, Colorado, USA. While broad in scope, the meeting will focus on identifying successful strategies for drought and water scarcity management and on developing a clear and decisive action plan.

The goals of this meeting are to create an integrated, interactive, future-oriented forum for understanding and improving our management of drought and water scarcity in the United States and to stimulate national debate through the publication and wide distribution of a science- and policy-based discussion document.

Drought is a normal part of climate for virtually all regions of the United States. Recent episodes have highlighted the increasing vulnerability of all regions to drought-induced water shortages. In the face of mounting pressure on finite water supplies from expanding and shifting population, urbanization, and environmental degradation, it is imperative for the United States to consider a paradigm shift to a risk-based approach to drought management. The threat of climate change and potential increases in the frequency, severity, and duration of drought episodes is further exacerbating water management issues and conflicts among users.

This meeting is designed to promote collaboration between the policy and science communities. Through a combination of plenary and invited talks, interactive roundtable and breakout group discussions, and poster presentations of case studies and innovative research and outreach efforts, participants will derive key lessons learned from national and relevant international experience and current policies and practices (e.g., factors involved in decision making, facilitators and barriers to implementing action, and treatment of underlying causes versus symptoms).

Active participation of meeting attendees is sought in preparing recommendations for the roadmap for change.

Key lessons learned will form the basis of a scientifically informed roadmap for implementing necessary changes in policy and practice to ensure adequate water resources for future generations. Active participation of meeting attendees is also sought in deriving and evaluating these key science and policy lessons and in preparing recommendations for the roadmap for change. The resulting document will be concise, impartial, informative, useful for congressional visits, letter-writing campaigns, and other efforts to accomplish policy changes. It will also address additional research and funding needs.

Presented by
Geological Society of America
American Meteorological Society
American Water Resources Association
American Water Works Association
Colorado State University
Desert Research Institute
Ecological Society of America
Groundwater Foundation
National Center for Atmospheric Research
National Drought Mitigation Center
National Ground Water Association
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Water Research Institute
National Institutes for Water Resources
Natural Hazards Center
Society for Range Management
Soil Science Society of America
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
U.S. Geological Survey–Water Resources Division
Western Rural Development Center
Western Water Assessment

Poster presentations on case studies and innovative research and outreach efforts are invited on the following topics:

- Interactions among the physical and ecological environment and human behavior and institutions;
- Relationships between humans and the water environment as population pressures, urbanization, and quality-of-life expectations increase demands on already-strained water resources;
- Improved monitoring, innovative interrogation of historical records, and new approaches to the prediction of drought intensity, frequency, and duration;
- Economic aspects of drought (historical, contemporary, and potential future impacts);
- Ecological impacts of drought and extreme hydrological events, including quantitative impacts on biota/floral and faunal assemblages, and indicators of ecosystem alteration;
- Impact of global climate change on management of drought and water scarcity;
- Risk-based approaches, including probabilistic risk assessment, for assessing multiple uncertain future drought scenarios such as climate change–induced drought;
• Development of drought and water scarcity indicators;
• Enhanced prediction, monitoring, impact assessment, and policy development;
• Public policy approaches (social, economic, political, etc.), including past successes and failures, for managing and mitigating the impact of present and future drought;
• Improved insights into individual and corporate human decision making and behavior before, during, and after a drought;
• Qualitative and quantitative measures of confidence in drought analyses supporting public policy decision making;
• Facilitating communication, collaboration, and cooperation of social and natural scientists, resource managers, and policy makers; and
• The impact of community involvement in drought mitigation.

Registration and Abstract Submission
To encourage interaction among participants, registration will be limited to 250 people. Abstracts may be submitted 1 April 2006 through 26 June 2006. See www.geosociety.org/meetings/06drought/ for details, including abstract submission, registration, and lodging. For more information or if you have any questions, please contact Deborah Nelson, +1-303-357-1014, dnelson@geosociety.org.
Recently Published Books

**Reconstruction of Pleistocene Ice-Dammed Lake Outburst Floods in the Altai Mountains, Siberia**
by Jürgen Herget
$65.00, member price $52.00

**Active Tectonics and Seismic Hazards of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Offshore Areas**
edited by Paul Mann
$80.00, member price $64.00

**Large Meteorite Impacts III**
edited by Thomas Kenkmann, Friedrich Hörz, and Alex Deutsch
$95.00, member price $76.00

**Maps & Charts**

**Geologic Map of North America**
3 sheets (74” × 39”), scale 1:5,000,000, 28 p. text
Compiled by John C. Reed Jr., John O. Wheeler, and Brian E. Tucholke, with contributions from
Will R. Slettner and David R. Soller
CSM001F, 3 folded sheets $150.00, member price $120.00
CSM001R, 3 rolled sheets $155.00, member price $125.00

**GSA Field Guide**

**Interior Western United States: GSA Field Guide 6**
edited by Joel L. Pederson and Carol M. Dehler
$45.00, member price $36.00

**International Books Series**

**Volume 8**
Serpentine and Serpentinites: Mineralogy, Petrology, Geochemistry, Ecology, Geophysics, and Tectonics
A tribute to Robert G. Coleman
edited by W.G. Ernst
IBS008, 606 p., ISBN 0-9665869-8-0
$95.00, member price $76.00

**Glossary of Geology**

**Fifth Edition**
Klaus K.E. Neuendorf, James P. Mehl, Jr., and Julia A. Jackson, editors
Product Code: Glossary list price, $99.00, member price $80.00
Positions Open

RESEARCH GLACIAL GEOLOGIST
INDIANA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
The Indiana Geological Survey (IGS), a research institute of Indiana University, seeks applications for a Glacial Geologist to perform geologic mapping and basic and applied research related to the glacial sequence stratigraphy and geology of the State of Indiana. Significant duties include participation in the USGS-sponsored STATEMAP and Central Great Lakes Geologic Mapping Coalition projects. Master’s degree, publications record, and 5 years experience required. Complete job posting is on the IGS Website (igs.indiana.edu). Application deadline is 15 May 2006.

GEOLGY (HISTORICAL GEOLOGY/GIS)
WHITMAN COLLEGE
The Department of Geology at Whitman College invites applications for a one-semester sabbatical replacement position as a Visiting Assistant Professor of Geology beginning January of 2007. It is likely that the position will be extended for the 2007-2008 academic year. A Ph.D. or ABD is required by the time of appointment. Applicants should have demonstrated expertise in teaching and the ability to teach courses in Historical Geology and Geographic Information Systems (GIS). Two courses plus labs per semester. Whitman College is a highly selective liberal arts college located at the foot of the Blue Mountains, midway between the Cascades and the Rockies. Please submit a letter of application, curriculum vita, evidence of teaching excellence, and three letters of reference to: Chair of the Geology Search Committee, Department of Geology, Whitman College, 345 Becker Avenue, Walla Walla, WA 99362. Deadline for applications is 15 May 2006. Applicants who would enrich the diversity of the campus community are strongly encouraged to apply and to address how they can contribute to that diversity. For more information about Whitman College see: http://www.whitman.edu.

GEOSCIENCES DEPARTMENT
MIDDLE TENNESSEE STATE UNIVERSITY
PALEONTOLOGY—TENURE-TRACK, RANK OPEN
The Geosciences Department of Middle Tennessee State University seeks a broadly trained geologist with research and teaching expertise in area of paleontology and background in stratigraphy, historical geology, and field geology (Position #125040). Ph.D. in Geology (or Paleontology, or closely related) with specialization in paleontology, is required. Teaching responsibilities may include the following undergraduate courses: Introductory Earth Science, Historical Geology, Invertebrate Paleontology, Stratigraphy, and Regional Geomorphology. Research, university/community service, and cooperative work with Department faculty and staff are expected. Some college teaching and research experience preferred; rank and salary dependent upon qualifications and experience.

To apply for this position, go to http://mtsujobs.mtsu.edu and follow the instructions on how to complete an application, attach documents, and submit materials online. If you have questions regarding the application process, please contact Dr. Hilary Stallings at hstallings@mtsu.edu or hstallings-904-809. Review of applications will continue until the position is filled. Rank and salary commensurate with education and experience. Proof of U.S. citizenship OR eligibility for U.S. employment will be required prior to employment (Immigration Control Act of 1986). Clearly Act crime statistics for MTSU available at http://police.mtsu.edu/crime_statistics.htm. EO/AA employer.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI—ROLLA
TWO TENURE-TRACK POSITIONS
GEOLGY AND GEOPHYSICS
The Department of Geological Sciences and Engineering invites applications for two full-time tenure-track positions in the Geology and Geophysics degree program to begin August 2006. The Department currently has 15 full-time faculty, and 101 undergraduate and 42 graduate degree-seeking students with established B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. programs in Geology & Geophysics, Petroleum Engineering, and Geological Engineering. Successful applicants will contribute excellence in teaching and research to existing undergraduate, graduate, and externally funded research programs. We seek applicants with interdisciplinary excellence who are motivated to develop collaborations with scientists and engineers in other programs such as Mining Engineering, Civil Engineering, Environmental Engineering, and Biological Sciences. Additional opportunities to develop collaborations with scientists and engineers in programs such as Mining Engineering, Civil Engineering, Environmental Engineering, and Biological Sciences are encouraged. For more information please visit our department Web page (http://gse.umr.edu/).

Geologist, We seek applicants at the Assistant or Associate Professor level for a position in geology. Specialty may vary (e.g., Neotectonics, Sedimentology, Geochemistry), but we encourage individuals who have expertise in GIS/Remote Sensing to apply. Area establishments with active collaborative research include the Civil, Architectural and Environmental Departments on campus, U.S. Geological Survey, Department of Natural Resources, Fort Leonard Wood and other agencies. Any questions regarding the position should be directed to the chair of the search committee, Dr. John P. Hogan (jhogan@umr.edu).

Geophysicist, We seek applicants at any level with an specialization within the general field of Geophysics, (Petroleum Geophysics, Solid Earth, Environmental, Applied) to augment our existing research programs. Any questions regarding the positions should be directed to the chair of the search committee, Dr. David Wronkiewicz (wronk@umr.edu).

A Ph.D. is required at the time of employment. Applications must include a letter describing interests and possible contributions to our programs, curriculum vs. statements of teaching and research goals, and the names and contact information of three referees. Review of applications will begin 15 April 2006. All applications are ensured a full review until the position is filled.

Please send application to: Human Resource Services, Reference Number 00031149 (Geologist), Reference Number 00031182 (Geophysicist), University of Missouri-Rolla, 113 University Center East, 1870 Miner Circle, Rolla, MO 65409-1050.

The University of Missouri-Rolla is an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer.

Opportunities for Students

Part-time Field Assistant Position, Silverton, Colorado. Duration: 1 June to 15 August 2006. Project Title: Geology as a Possible Controlling Factor on Beetle Community Structure in a Mountainous Environment (Ph.D. project.) Job Description: This position will assist in weekly soil/sediment and beetle trapping out in the field, and will require hiking possibly in rough terrain and in most weather conditions. Ninety percent of the job will be in the field (2-3 days per week), ideal candidate will have some background in ecology, geology, or soils that would like experience on an interdisciplinary project. You will need to provide your own transportation to Silverton, Colorado, unless you are coming from Northwest Ohio. The Mountain Studies Institute, located in Silverton, will be able to provide low-cost housing ($5–10 per day). Other housing options: Fort Lewis College dorms and campgrounds. Currently this is a non-paying position. I am in the process of applying for grant money to be used for this project. If I can get money for this position, it will pay $1,400 for the field season. How to apply for this position is 15 April 2006. Interested, contact Melanie Bergolc at bergolc@bignet.bgsu.edu. Send a CV, cover letter, and a list of two references that may be contacted, through e-mail or regular mail: Dept. of Biological Sciences; Bowling Green State University; 217 Life Sciences; Bowling Green, OH 43403.

“Outdoor writing products for outdoor writers”
A product line selected especially for the Geological Society of America...
GSA TODAY, APRIL/MAY 2006

Call for Applications and Nominations
GSA BOOKS SCIENCE EDITOR

GSA’s internationally recognized journals and books rely on the expertise of dedicated science editors who ensure stringent peer review, maintain excellent content, and provide leadership in determining the future course of GSA publications. Desirable characteristics for successful candidates include:

1. Broad interest and experience in geosciences;
2. International recognition;
3. Progressive attitude, willing to take risks and encourage innovation;
4. Familiar with many earth scientists and their work;
5. Sense of perspective and humor;
6. Organized and productive;
7. Willing to work closely with GSA headquarters staff;
8. Able to make decisions;
9. Familiar with new trends in geosciences; and
10. Willing to consider nontraditional research in geosciences

GSA is currently soliciting co-editor applications and nominations for GSA books.

As part of its mission to advance the science of geology, GSA publishes scholarly books—both state-of-the-art treatments of rapidly evolving subjects and works likely to remain authoritative references for a number of years.

Term: January 2007–December 2010. A phased transition should begin in the fall of 2006. GSA provides funding for a part-time assistant, pays the costs of maintaining an editorial office, and reimburses journal-related travel expenses. Discretionary funds also are available. The editor will work out of his/her current location at work or home as part of a two-editor team.

If you wish to be considered, please submit a curriculum vitae and a brief letter describing why you are suited for the position. If you wish to nominate another, submit a letter of nomination and the individual’s written permission and CV. Send nominations and applications to Jon Olsen, Director of Publications, GSA, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301, jolsen@geosociety.org, by 1 September 2006. Questions regarding the positions also may be directed to Mr. Olsen.

Journal Highlights

Visit our online journals at www.gsjournals.org

To subscribe, contact gsservice@geosociety.org, +1.888.443.4472, or +1.303.357.1000, option 3.
New!

EnviroINSITE

Powerful engine for understanding and communicating complex spatial and temporal patterns in subsurface environmental and geologic conditions.

Easy to use and low priced make it ideal for installation on every desktop.

Compatible with MS Office, all conventional GIS, CAD and image file formats, EQuIS, and the entire line of RockWare products.

Multi-seat and academic discounts available.
Fully functional, free 30-day trial available from www.rockware.com

$599
HORIBA Jobin Yvon
Providing Microanalytical Solutions for High Resolution Imaging

Gone are the days of just pretty pictures. Today's microscopist requires a positive determination of sample composition, structure and phase. Our Raman, EDXRF and CL Microscopes provide all of this and more. Let HORIBA Jobin Yvon provide spectroscopic identification to your sample imaging.

**Raman Microscopy - LabRAM**

The *true* confocal advantage for high resolution molecular and chemical imaging. Non-destructive, multi-wavelength Raman light scattering.

Applications: Pharmaceuticals, geology, semiconductors, nano-materials, forensics, and life science...

**XRF Microscopy - XGT-5000**

The 10µm innovation for fast and non-destructive elemental imaging (Na to U) - no vacuum required.

Applications: Pharmaceuticals, mineralogy, electronics, forensics, life science...

**CL Spectroscopy - MP32S/M**

Integrated and versatile design for the broadest wavelength coverage - specialised SEM interface design for robust coupling.

Applications: HPT/CVD diamond, mineralogy, materials, Quantum structures, semiconductors, thin films and contaminants...

For images with *speed, resolution and composition* contact HORIBA Jobin Yvon, the world leader in micro-analysis

www.jobinyvon.com/raman/imaging