GSA Research Grants - Steps to Success

29 Nov. 2018, 12:00 - 1:00 p.m. (MST)
18 Dec. 2018, 10:30 – 11:30 a.m. (MST)
Presented by Alex Isern & Ian Ridley
Moderated by Matt Dawson
Webinar Overview

Goal:
• Attendees will be able to write a successful proposal for a GSA Graduate Student Research Grant (or other similar grant)

Format:
• Overview of GSA’s grants programs
• Overview of the application process
• Walk through individual steps of the application
• Discuss what happens after you apply (review process, follow-up)
• Conclusion
• Survey
• Recording sent
GSA Graduate Grants Overview

**Goal:** Provide partial support of master's and doctoral thesis research in the geological sciences for graduate students enrolled in universities in the United States, Canada, Mexico and Central America.

**Facts:**
~800 students apply  
~400 funded (50%)  
Avg. grant = $2,044  
Range = $500 - $2,500

**Specialized Awards**
- ExxonMobil  
- Subject Matter  
- Geographic Area  
- Demographics  
- Divisions  
- Sections

**Other Grants/Awards**
- AGeS (Geochronology Grants)  
- Undergraduate Research Grants (Sections)  
- Division Awards  
- Farouk El-Baz (Desert Research)
Follow the Instructions

Read through the website thoroughly and utilize available resources

Your proposal must reflect your own original work (the research & the writing)

Ensure your Eligibility
• GSA Member (“Student Member”)
• Graduate Student
• Currently enrolled in an institution in North America or Central America
  • International students are eligible
• Can receive up to two grad grants

Meet the Deadlines
• Student application due 1 Feb. 2019, 5:00 p.m. (MST)
• Advisor appraisal due 3 Feb. 2019, 5:00 p.m. (MST)
• Start early! (System opens 1 Dec.)
• Allow your advisor ample time to submit appraisal
Parts of the Application (& Criteria)

Problem, HYPOTHESIS, overall objectives
  • Clearly defined?

Scientific & Societal Significance
  • Clearly stated & convincingly significant

Research plan; how it will test the HYPOTHESIS
  • Clearly stated, well-conceived, and success likely

Budget, Budget Justification, Available Funds
  • Budget well-justified

Relevant Figure
  • Well presented - helpful

Personal & Academic Information
Resume/CV
References
Progress Report (from past GSA grant)
Advisor Appraisal Letter

Overall Quality of Proposal
  • Well presented, organized, clear
Hypothesis - Must be Crystal Clear

Introduce your project with disciplinary and regional context.
• Capture the reviewer’s attention early.

Describe the problem you are addressing and the hypothesis you plan to test
• Use the words problem and hypothesis; make it very clear

May test competing hypotheses to address a broader problem/question
• Your test can simply set out to support a hypothesis; it doesn’t need to solve it completely

Connect the hypothesis clearly and logically to the research plan
• Do not leave it to the reviewer to connect the dots
• Example: Hypothesis X predicts that Y will happen because...
  • Therefore, it follows that test Z could be applied to learn if Y actually happened.
Scientific & Societal Significance

Ask this: "If I am successful in doing everything I describe, how many scientists would want to hear the results?"

- If answer is “not many”:
  - Rethink why you chose the project an
  - Explain its importance more clearly and convincingly

Your project need not tackle the largest or most pressing problem

- But show how your results can contribute to the bigger picture
- Avoid “forcing” a societal significance

Put your project in a broader context for the reviewer

- Show you have done your homework
- Cite relevant publications
Example of Scientific & Societal Significance

**Topic:** Paleoseismology of Rose Canyon Fault

**Not:** “The seismic history of Rose Canyon Fault has not been studied previously”

**Instead:** “The seismic history of fault zones are critical to developing accurate hazard assessments. The magnitudes of prehistoric earthquakes, their timing, and their recurrence intervals are significant in developing such assessments.”
Research Plan - Describe what You Will Actually Do

Explain clearly how these steps will lead you to answers to the questions you described. Connect back to the problem/hypothesis.

Be specific
- If mapping: What features? Where? What scale?
- If collecting or analyzing samples: What? How many? With what methods or equipment?
  - Get involved in sample analysis if possible; make it an educational experience.

Show that the plan is carefully thought out
- Is the problem well-constrained and feasible?
- Will you be able to collect the data you need?
- Is the scope of work reasonable for the given time frame?
- Are the techniques appropriate to the questions asked? Will they address the problem?
You may request up to $2,500

Your granted amount depends on:
- Your ranking: Top-tier proposals receive 100% of the requested amounts, 2nd tier receives ~80%, and so on
- Whether your budget items are allowable

If your project > $2,500, explain how you will fund the remainder

Your project must be distinct from larger projects already funded by another source

Funds cannot already have been spent for this exact project

Funds may not be requested for work already completed
Allowable Budget Items

- Travel costs to the research area
- Travel in the field
- Per diem (during research-related travel)
- Room and board (during research-related travel)
- Services of a technician or field assistant
- Funding of chemical and isotopic analyses
- Specialized equipment not normally available to the student
- Internal university research expenses
  - equipment usage, rental of university equipment, film, supplies, computer time, software, thin sections and in-house charges for analytical instruments
- External university research expenses
  - expenses for equipment, expendable supplies, and machine charges will be considered by the committee if fully justified and not available from other sources
Disallowed Budget Items

- Salary/stipend (for student or advisor)
- Advisor participation
- Maintenance of the families of the grantees and their assistants
- Tuition costs (for normal coursework)
- Ordinary field equipment (cameras, GPS, basic software)
- The purchase of some services to conduct research
- Travel, registration, or presentation for professional meetings
- Reimbursement for work already accomplished
- Publication costs
- Institutional overhead, or “indirect” costs
Budget Justification

Clearly explain what each item is and justify why it is needed

Explicitly tie the budget items to the research you outline in your proposal

Explain how much each item costs, and how you know the cost

Provide accurate prices for each item and sum them all properly (check your math)

Give a specific breakdown for each cost
• “Food in the field for 10 days at $12/day, total $120”

List budget items in decreasing order of priority
• 1st item = most important

Show other funding you already have or are requesting from other sources
## Example Budget Justification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>$817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride share</td>
<td>2@ $30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodging</td>
<td>7@ $137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Diem</td>
<td>8@$59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab supplies and hourly use fee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Justification:**

7 days instrument time (Stanford-USGS SHRIMP lab) scheduled February 3-10, 2016

Alaska Airlines FAI SFO FAI (only carrier servicing FAI, priced 10-20-2015)

Eco-lodge ($137 per night) cheapest option available within walking distance of SHRIMP and several restaurants, meaning no rental car is necessary.

SHRIMP lab requires users purchase their own epoxy for mounting grains ($30) and use of heavy liquid separation lab (~4 hrs @ $30/hr).
Hertz Rental Car: 9 days at $89. Pickup with 4WD is required to carry field equipment and reach remote mountain field sites.

Gas: 357 miles Denver to Gunnison (357 x 2), 50 miles per day to field site (50 x 8).  
1,114 miles x gal/18 mi x $4.15/ gal = $257

Samples must remain frozen prior to analysis, requiring storage in dry ice (30 lbs @ $5.00/lb) and overnight shipping (3 coolers at $45 ea).

WD-XRF 12 samples @ $16 at Activation laboratories

Shipping to Activation laboratories via Fed-Ex $35

Arizona Laboratory for Emerging Contaminants: 55 element ICP-MS 12 samples @ $37

Acme labs: Radiogenic nuclides 12 samples @ $150
An Effective Figure Helps Explain your Research

Required: A pertinent figure that enhances the proposal (1-page PDF < 5MB)

1. Purpose of the figure:
   a. Explain some complexity in your research; save text
   b. Illustrate concepts to be tested in your research; may also include location, data results, etc.
   c. Highlight impact of your research
   d. Attract reviewer’s attention; build interest

2. Appropriate figure types:
   a. Image - photo, cartoon, schematic
   b. Map
   c. Graph/chart
   d. Conceptual model
   e. Flow chart of research plan
Elements of an Effective Figure

• Adds to & extends the proposal
  • Doesn’t just restate information
• Visually appealing & interesting
• Focused, clear, free of clutter & complexity
• Clear title--orient the audience
• Legend, scale bars
• Good, descriptive caption--explains why figure is useful
• Annotated to highlight key elements
• Text is easy to read at screen resolution; images are high resolution
• Use colors to make understanding intuitive
• May have multiple parts (boxes, sections)
• Originality--not cut & pasted from elsewhere; created specifically for this proposal
• Cite references, if appropriate
• Discussed and explained in the proposal text

“A picture is worth a thousand words”
Get a Strong Advisor Appraisal

**Purpose:** To evaluate the student’s ability to conduct the research and the validity of the proposal.

• NOT to simply further explain the proposal.

From your primary research advisor

Advisors: Do not need to be GSA members

Do not need to be at the same institution as the student

Submit the request to your advisor early

Follow-up to ensure they submit on time

Make sure they have read your proposal
References - Cite your Sources

Properly cite the work of others upon which your research is built

Demonstrate that you have read the literature that is pertinent to your research
• Include both recent and older references (beyond 1-2 years old)
• Include at least one “general” reference
  • Helpful to non-experts

Number the references to connect them to your proposal text

Use formats given in GSA’s Reference Guidelines and Examples
Writing Quality & Style

Describe an important problem, then explain how you intend to solve it.

Writing is an important skill of a scientist
• Requires practice

Thoroughly address the questions asked in each section.
• Connect them logically to one another.

Proofread for spelling, grammar, flow
• Ask others to review your writing
  • Advisor (important)
  • Peers (including those outside your subject area)
Impress & Excite the Reviewer

Consider your audience: professional geoscientists who may or may not be in your field

Re-read your proposal from the perspective of a reviewer; you should not be left wondering:
- “So what? Why is this important?”
- “What’s the problem being addressed?”
- “Can these objectives be achieved by this student, using these techniques?”

Capture the reviewer’s interest
- Start paragraphs with strong topic sentences
  - Keep rest of the paragraph on topic
- Remove unnecessary words
  - Short sentences
- Sentences of varied length

Make the reviewer excited to discuss your proposal with the Committee!
Understand the Review Process

Managed by GSA Research Grants Committee; supported by GSA Staff

Begins ~10 Feb. (online) & ends ~ 10 Apr. (face-to-face meeting at GSA)

2 reviewers per proposal
• Your total score = avg. of the two reviewer scores (normalized)

Reviewers assigned by subject matter—but not always, so:
• Avoid jargon
• Minimize & explain acronyms

Each reviewer may review 40+ proposals, so:
• Make your writing clear and to the point
• Grab their attention! (Strong hypothesis, convincing importance, attractive figure)

Criteria: Scientific merits, the practicability of each project, the qualifications of the applicant for the proposed investigation, the reasonableness of the budget
Maximize your Opportunities

Select up to 3 appropriate Specialized Awards

Apply for the Southeastern Section Grad Grant (if applicable)

Search for other GSA grant/award opportunities (AGeS, El-Baz, etc.)
After You Apply

• Watch for an e-mail announcing results (late April)
  • Make sure you are receiving GSA e-mails
  • Read comments from the reviewers
• If awarded a grant, respond promptly to confirm your information
• Please be patient while GSA processes and mails checks (late May/early June)
• Let your advisor and university know about your achievement
• Use the funds as intended and in a timely manner
  • If a problem arises, alert GSA ASAP
• Present your results at a GSA meeting, or submit them to a GSA publication
• Notify GSA of address changes (to receive your 1099 tax form)
• Take photos of yourself engaged in your research
• Submit your progress report on time (1 Feb. the following year)
GOOD LUCK & THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING!

www.geosociety.org/grants
researchgrants@geosociety.org
303-357-1025
Matt Dawson, Education Programs Manager
Additional Figure Examples
Aerial view of Musha Mountains range showing locations of the stratigraphy to be investigated. 1) Stratigraphic columns for the MainFC braidplain and braided strata measured within the proposed sites, from Redd and Cooper (1998). 2) A partial section of the DOM created using UAV imagery from Site A, with stripes marking areas to investigate in detail. 3) Photograph of Site A and B collected during preliminary work in January 2017. Site A shows large-scale trough cross-bedded sandstone, whereas Site B contains coarser beds of laminated mudstone. 4) A stereopair panel overlay on UAV imagery created using information collected during preliminary work in January 2017. A channelle cut is outlined in blue, and in channels are outlined in yellow. White lines mark the course courses of the faults and trough cross-bedding within the channel. Lines are not included for clarity. 5) Description of iterative strategy that will be used to complete this study.
To address the problem of lack of access of relevant geoscience content in an impoverished urban school in the Rust Belt city of Flint, Michigan, I propose a place-based, academic intervention that includes a transformative learning experience.
Monitoring temporal variation of groundwater heavy metal concentration in Anuradhapura district, North Central Sri Lanka

Fig. 1: Sites visited in July 2016 in Anuradhapura district, Sri Lanka where CKDu is highly prevalent (32% of total CKDu cases). Yearly 1400 fall victim making CKDu an epidemic.

Hypothesis: Temporal variation in composition of groundwater masks an important source of the metals associated with CKDu.

Fig. 2: Rainfall data indicates two clear seasons. Cycling of subsurface redox state can be driven by extreme recharge variation between monsoon and dry season. Ex-Dry season As(III) which is more toxic dominant under reducing condition, and in monsoon As (V) dominant under aerobic condition.

Fig. 1 - North Central province highlighted in blue and study area in yellow. Two graphs show extreme variations in TDS within 5 months in two selected sites. L7 is in close proximity to R7 which disperse RO waste water (TDS 1490) at the ground surface and shows increasing trend in TDS.

Fig. 2 - Water quality data shows that Dry season is reducing conditions As(III) more mobile more toxic, and Monsoon season aerobic conditions As(V).
Figure 1A. Regional Map of the Eastern Transverse Ranges (ETR) and study sites locations; Mapped faults of the Eastern Transverse Ranges (ETR) region between the Southern San Andreas Fault (SSAF) and the Eastern California Shear Zone (ECSZ), with most recent earthquake locations and their focal mechanisms. Currently three sites along the Blue Cut and one site along the Smoke Tree Wash Faults have been identified for further study.

Figure 1B. Regional Schematic Block Rotation Model for ECSZ, SSAF, and the ETR, modified from Carter et al. (1987) [3], Fig. 7; Before rotation (top) shows approximately the fault geometry of the SSAF main trace, the ECSZ, and the ETR; over time, as rotation occurs, the faults in the entire system work to accommodate right lateral plate motion by rotating as small blocks, and eventually the modern plate geometry appears (bottom). SV=Sierra Nevada, NV=Nevada, B&R=Basin and Range

Figure 1C. Preliminary Mapping of offsets at Easternmost site along the Blue Cut Fault

---

**Preliminary Mapping of offset geomorphic surfaces at Easternmost site along the Blue Cut Fault (easternmost blue star in Figure 1A)**. Units were classified and correlated based on alluvial surface characteristics including desert pavement formation, rubification of surface clasts, strength of desert varnish, preserved bar and swale topography or the lack thereof, angularity and lithology of surface clasts, and state of weathering of surface boulders. Sample sites were chosen from surfaces with the strongest correlation. Qc1 is the oldest colluvial unit (Qc), while Q1A is the oldest alluvial fan unit (Qf) and the youngest is Qf5. Qmodified surfaces have been modified by mining activity in the 1940s and 50s. This mapping was completed during preliminary fieldwork in January 2017.