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We performed multi-taper method (MTM) (Thompson, 1982; 1990) and B-Tukey spectral analysis (reviewed in Weedon, 2003) on the global stacked δ¹⁸O curve from Lisiecki and Raymo (2005) between 0.7-1.7 Ma to see if significant spectral peaks occur at the same frequencies as those in the Enza section χ data series. The power spectrum on the left is the result of our MTM analysis and shows significant peaks aligned with obliquity and precession frequencies. Of particular note is the wide, slightly misaligned obliquity peak at 1/36.6 kyr, which is very similar to the 1/35.7 kyr peak observed in the Enza χ MTM power spectrum (Figure 7, main text). For comparison, the figure on the right shows the results of our B-Tukey spectral analysis for both the stacked δ¹⁸O record and the Enza χ data series. Both records show peaks at 1/41 kyr, though the δ¹⁸O peak has more power. Both spectra have peaks at ~1/72 kyr and 1/55 kyr, similar to the spectral peaks observed in the Enza χ MTM power spectrum. These cycles are probably associated with transient frequencies that are known to occur during the middle Pleistocene (Hinnov, 2000).
To check the accuracy of our correlation of the 35 kyr cycle observed in the $\chi$ data series to Laskar et al.’s (2004) theoretical obliquity model, we calculated coherency (left) and cross phase (right) spectra between the tuned $\chi$ data series and the theoretical obliquity model. As expected, the two data series show coherency in the obliquity band (left). Additionally, the zero cross phase at the expected obliquity frequency (right) shows that the correlation shown in Figure 8 of the main text is correct and that we did not miss any obliquity cycles.

Coherency (left) and cross phase (right) spectra between the tuned $\chi$ data series and the global stacked $\delta^{18}$O curve from Lisiecki and Raymo (2005) between 0.7-1.7 Ma. The two data series show coherency in the obliquity band, but a non-zero cross phase at the same
frequency. This non-zero cross phase is expected since Lisiecki and Raymo (2005) tuned their $\delta^{18}$O to a lagged obliquity. This analysis provides additional evidence regarding the identification of Milankovitch cycles in the Enza section’s $\chi$ data series and the efficacy of our correlation to the theoretical orbital model.
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Figure DR-2 Cumulative probability distributions (solid black lines) of equivalent dose ($D_e$) for all samples from the Enza section. The $D_e$ values with uncertainty ranges for individual aliquots are also plotted. The dashed lines represent a single peak average $D_e$ distribution used to calculate the average burial age and uncertainties. For most of the samples the average $D_e$ distribution represents a good fit to the cumulative probability distribution shown in the solid black line. The samples from 272 m (USU 890, USU 891), however, have a bi-modal distribution that is not well approximated by a single average $D_e$ distribution. This is probably due to partial bleaching of these sediments.
Therefore, for this sample we applied the minimum age model (MAM) (Galbraith et al., 1999) when calculating the sample burial age (see text for details).
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