CRITICAL MINERAL RESOURCES

GSA members are invited to submit comments and suggestions regarding the following Position Statement DRAFT by 15 March 2013. Go to www.geosociety.org/positions/ to learn more and submit comments.

Position Statement

Mineral resources are essential to modern civilization; a thorough understanding of their distribution, consequences of their use, and the potential effects of mineral supply disruption is important for sound public policy.

Purpose

This position statement (1) summarizes the consensus views of The Geological Society of America on critical minerals resources; (2) advocates better understanding of their distribution, potential for supply disruption, and consequences of use; (3) encourages educational efforts to help the general public, lawmakers, and other stakeholders understand that mineral resources are used in almost every aspect of their daily lives, including modern technology, housing, transportation, information systems, and defense; (4) recommends enhanced assessment of critical mineral resources and the potential for supply disruption, scientific investigation of non-conventional resources, better understanding of the full life-cycle consequences of use, and international collaboration; and (5) provides a communications tool for geoscientists and general GSA member use.

Rationale

Demand for a variety of mineral resources, such as rare earth elements (REE), platinum group elements (PGE), cobalt, beryllium, lithium, and iodine has increased with the continued consumption in developed economies and the emergence of Brazil, China, India, and other developing economies (Price, 2010). These elements are crucial to a variety of manufacturing, high-tech (National Research Council, 2008), and military applications (U.S. DOE, 2010; Parthemore, 2011). Demand for energy-related minerals has increased as global energy production diversifies beyond carbon- and nuclear-based sources. For example, REEs are used in many renewable energy devices, including high-strength magnets for wind-power generators, and lithium is used in electric car batteries. In addition, photovoltaics, computers, cell phones, phosphors, liquid crystal displays (LCD), and other components crucial to a high-tech, low-carbon, sustainable future require increased production and/or recycling of REEs, PGEs, lithium, tellurium, gallium, and other elements (CCD, 2010). A stable supply of mineral resources is essential for economic prosperity and national security.

The mineral production that supplies many of these elements is concentrated in certain countries. For example, China produces >95% of the global REE supply (Tse, 2011), the United States produces >85% of the world beryllium supply (USGS, 2012), and nearly 80% of global platinum production is in South Africa (APS/MRS, 2011). Furthermore, reserves of some elements are often concentrated in one location (e.g. platinum in South Africa and lithium in South America; Tahil, 2007). The tenuous nature of the mineral supply chain was highlighted in 2010 when China stopped exporting REEs to Japan for almost two months (Bradsher, 2010).

Geoscientists have a prominent role in the exploration for, management of, and environmentally safe handling of critical mineral resources. To provide a solid base for the future, it is necessary to identify the global distribution, potential for supply disruption, and environmental consequences of the use of these resources. These needs will become even more important as the world's population and standards of living continue to increase.

In 2008, the National Research Council issued a report defining a critical mineral as one that is both essential in use and subject to the risk of supply restriction. Subsequently, this has been expanded to include other factors, such as environmental impacts (Graedel et al., 2012). However, the concept of criticality is context specific and dynamic. For example, what is critical for a specific manufacturer or product may not be critical for another, what is critical for a state may not be critical for a country, and what is critical for national defense may be different than what is necessary to make a television brighter or less expensive. Nonetheless, the notion that minerals are critical to society is valid and has important implications for our economic prosperity.

Recommendations

Government, educational, and private sector organizations, individually as well as collectively, should address the following critical resource challenges:

1. **Assessment of mineral resources**—There is a vital need to understand the abundance and distribution of critical mineral resources, both within the United States and globally. Sufficient funding to ensure that this task is met by federal agencies, such as the U.S. Geological Survey, is required.
2. **Life-cycle assessment**—Governments need to devote sufficient resources to define critical elements and support research and development that allow for economically efficient and environmentally sound mineral discovery and development, mineral processing technology advances, and materials manipulation, including recycling to meet national needs.
3. **Sustainability**—The adequacy of mineral resources at a given moment in time is important but should not substitute for a longer-term view of finite global resources in the context of population growth and rising standards of living. The world is not likely to run out of mineral resources in a broad sense, but shortages of particular resources at a specific time and place are likely. Advances in technology will make many marginal resources economic, and price changes will alter the use and desirability of some elements. Substitution and recycling will also affect the need for newly mined mineral resources.
4. **Education**—Although there is growing awareness of the importance of energy to our nation's future, there is less

DRAFT

appreciation of the impact of mineral resources on the nation's health and well-being and the fundamental role of minerals in industrial development. Efforts to ensure a better-educated public in regard to mineral resources are important.

5. **International collaboration**—Modern society depends on critical minerals. However, such resources are heterogeneously distributed across the planet. The most common supply risks for critical minerals may be reduced through open communication and collaboration across borders.

Opportunities for GSA and GSA Members to Help Implement Recommendations

To facilitate implementation of the goals of this position statement, The Geological Society of America recommends that its members take the following actions:

- Support funding for geoscience organizations (federal, state, and provincial governments) and academic institutions involved in understanding the global distribution of mineral resources.
- Encourage companies and governments to collaborate internationally and share information that helps society understand the limitations and potentials of mineral-resource development.
- Encourage research and data gathering to determine which mineral resources are "critical" from different private sector and governmental perspectives.
- Encourage research on the consequences of exploiting resources in different environments and on new opportunities for substitution, recycling, and the discovery of new types of resources.
- Promote the inclusion of mineral-resource information (global distribution, use and criticality for society, consequences of use, etc.) in educational materials at the K–12 and college levels and in popular media.

References Cited

APS/MRS, 2011, Energy critical elements: Securing materials for emerging technologies; a report by the APS Panel on Public Affairs & the Materials Research Society: 26 p., http://www.aps.org/policy/reports/popa-reports/loader.cfm?csModule=security/getfile&PageID=236337 (last accessed 5 Dec. 2012).

Bradsher, K., 2010, China Restarts Rare Earth Shipments to Japan, 19 Nov. 2010, New York Times, http://www.nytimes.com/2010/11/20/business/global/20rare.html (last accessed 5 Dec. 2012).

CCD, 2010, LCD screens continue to drive iodine demand: China Chemical Reporter, v. 21, no. 15, p. 16.

Graedel, T.E., Barr, R., Chandler, C., Chase, T., Choi, J., Christoffersen, L., Friedlander, E., Henly, C., Nassar, N.T., Schechner, D., Warren, S., Yang, M., and Zhu, C., 2012, Methodology of metal criticality determination: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 46, p. 1063–1070.

National Research Council, 2008, Minerals, Critical Minerals, and the U.S. Economy: Washington, DC, The National Academies Press, 264 p.

Parthemore, C., 2011, Elements of Security: Mitigating the Risks of U.S. Dependence on Critical Minerals, Washington, D.C., Center for a New American Security, 36 p., http://www.cnas.org/files/documents/publications/CNAS_Minerals_Parthemore_1.pdf (last accessed 5 Dec. 2012).

Price, J.G., 2010, The world is changing: SEG Newsletter, July 2010, no. 82, p. 12–14, https://www.segweb.org/pdf/views/2010/07/SEG-Newsletter-Views-Jonathan-Price.pdf (last accessed 5 Dec. 2012).

Tahil, W., 2007, The trouble with lithium: Implications of future PHEV production for lithium demand: Martainville, France, Meridian International Research, 22 p., http://www.inference.phy.cam.ac.uk/sustainable/refs/nuclear/TroubleLithium.pdf (last accessed 5 Dec. 2012).

Tse, P.-K., 2011, China's Rare-Earth Industry: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2011-1042, 11 p., http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2011/1042 (last accessed 5 Dec. 2012).

U.S. DOE, 2010, Critical Materials Strategy: Washington, D.C., U.S. Department of Energy, 166 p., http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/edg/news/documents/criticalmaterialsstrategy.pdf (last accessed 5 Dec. 2012).

USGS, 2012, Mineral Commodity Summaries 2012: Reston, Virginia, U.S. Geological Survey, 198 p., http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/mcs/2012/mcs2012.pdf (last accessed 5 Dec. 2012).