The non-equilibrium landscape of the southern Sierra Nevada, California
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ABSTRACT

The paleoelevation of the Sierra Nevada, California, is important to our understanding of the Cenozoic geodynamic evolution of the North America–Pacific plate boundary, and the current debate is fueled by data that argue for conflicting elevation histories. The non-equilibrium or transient landscape of the Sierra Nevada contains information about both past and present controls on the topography of the range. Using geomorphology and thermochronometry, two parts of the landscape of different geodynamic significance and age can be identified: (1) a long-lived, slowly eroding low-relief highland or relict landscape, which we relate to a period of lower relief and elevation from 80–32 Ma; and (2) younger, rapidly-incising river gorges created by at least two stages of elevation and relief increase since 32 Ma. Our data argue for moderate range elevation of ~1500 m at the cessation of arc magmatism in Late Cretaceous time, followed by two events at between 32 and 3.5 Ma and since 3.5 Ma that increased the range elevation to the ~4000 m observed elevation today.

INTRODUCTION

Topographic relief in active orogenic belts is a competition between erosional processes and lithospheric deformation. Recent models of orogenic evolution predict that when these two forces are in equilibrium, mountain belts will achieve a steady-state relative to topography, erosion, or mass flux (Willett and Brandon, 2002). However, many orogens contain high-elevation, low-relief fluvial landscapes that indicate the orogen has not completely adjusted to modern erosional conditions (Epis and Chapin, 1975; Abbott et al., 1997; Gubbels et al., 1993; Sugai and Ohmori, 1999; Clark et al., 2005) as in “type” steady-state orogens such as Taiwan. These low-relief landscapes are interpreted as paleolandsapes (or relict landscapes) that preserve information about erosional processes, erosion rate, and relief related to past tectonic and climatic conditions.

Landscape response to external forcing is largely controlled by the behavior of bedrock fluvial systems, and the topographic relief of mountain ranges, in the absence of significant glacial erosion, is set by the longitudinal profiles of rivers (Whipple et al., 1999; Densmore et al., 2005). Therefore, large-scale topography of a mountain range can be altered through the adjustment of fluvial channels and neighboring hillslopes to new boundary conditions. For example, an increase in uplift rate or drop in relative base level is expected to initiate an acceleration of stream incision that will propagate upstream through the drainage network followed by changes in river channel slope, hillslope relief, and mean erosion rates (Whipple and Tucker, 1999; Crosby and Whipple, 2005, and references therein).

Before complete adjustment to new boundary conditions, remnants of relict landscape are distinguishable from equilibrated regions by a contrast in hillslope and channel gradients, dominant erosional processes, and erosion rates. Non-equilibrium landscapes in active orogens suggest that under some conditions this transient condition can persist for up to several tens of m.y. Long response times may allow relict landscapes to become decoupled from modern tectonic conditions and therefore become passive markers to vertical displacements of Earth’s surface (Clark et al., 2005). This decoupling allows us to use properties of the relict landscape to characterize paleorelief.

While elevation changes in the Sierra Nevada bear directly on several lithospheric-scale geodynamic processes proposed for the western Cordillera, the elevation history of the range remains hotly debated. Several studies argue for an increase in range elevation in late Cenozoic time. Sedimentary evidence suggests that an increase of up to 2 km since 10 Ma has occurred due to block faulting and westward tilting of the range (Le Conte, 1880; Huber, 1981; Unruh, 1991; Wakabayashi and Sawyer, 2001). Similarly, Stock et al. (2004, 2005) document accelerated river incision between 2.7 and 1.4 Ma in the Kings River canyon, which they relate to a tectonically driven increase in mean elevation. In contrast, apatite (U-Th)/He cooling ages were interpreted to suggest significant paleoelevation since Late Cretaceous time, lowering toward the present (House et al., 1997, 1998, 2001), and oxygen-isotope data from the western Basin and Range province suggest that the Sierra Nevada was a prominent orographic barrier since at least middle Miocene time (Poage and Chamberlain, 2002). Reconciliation of conflicting observations in the geologic, geomorphic, and thermochronometric records is needed to improve our understanding of the paleotopography, geodynamic history, and tectonic processes of the region. In the following sections, we address the current debate over the paleoelevation from a study combining geomorphologic analyses with low-temperature thermochronometry.

TOPOGRAPHY OF THE SOUTHERN SIERRA NEVADA

The northern Sierra Nevada is a uniformly west-tilted fault block, but the southern Sierra Nevada is more complex (Huber, 1981; Unruh, 1991; Wakabayashi and Sawyer, 2001). Mean and peak elevations rise continuously southward to Mount Whitney and then decrease steeply. The eastern range front follows this trend with its highest relief at Mount Whitney. The morphology of the western margin is also more complicated in the south. North of 37°N, Cenozoic strata homoclinaly lap off the
west flank of the range. South of 37°N, the range front is embayed by a zone of active subsidence between 37°N and 36°N and distorted by normal-fault-controlled uplifts, including the Bakersfield Arch farther south (Blackwelder, 1927; Gilbert, 1928; Saleeby and Foster, 2004) (Fig. 1). These differences suggest that the southern Sierra Nevada may have an elevation history distinct from the simple fault-block model proposed farther north.

Below the limit of Plio-Pleistocene glaciation, south of the San Joaquin River, we observe dissected low-relief upland surfaces developed on basement rocks (Fig. 1). These surfaces trace northward into a low-relief nonconformity at the base of a fluvial and volcanic Eocene to Miocene section that laps off the west flank of the northern Sierra (Fig. 1) (Huber, 1981). This observation suggests that the upland surfaces were formed by fluvial processes prior to Eocene time. The upland surfaces decrease in elevation southward from the latitude of Mount Whitney but do not dip westward, consistent with the southerly slope of this part of the range.

Some of the upland surfaces have been explained as a progression of erosional stages of the Sierra Nevada (Lawson, 1904, 1936; Matthes, 1937, 1960; Webb, 1946) or as products of unique weathering properties of granite (Wahrhaftig, 1965). These studies identified both small-scale planar features in the landscape as well as more extensive regions of low relief and related them qualitatively to the topographic evolution of the range, but the lack of dating techniques prohibited quantitative assessments of erosion rate or landscape age. We restrict our definition of “surface” to low-relief portions of the landscape that are within the fluvial network. This is a critical distinction from some earlier studies because we suggest that the relief on the upland surfaces is set by fluvial erosional processes responding to a common base level set by the major trunk streams. Because we consider upland surfaces to be remnants of a once continuous, relic fluvial landscape eroded to a common base level, we are able to correlate the erosion history of adjacent basins in order to interpret elevation changes that affected the entire range.

The relict landscape is characterized by low to moderate hillslope relief (local slopes mostly <10°; local relief <500 m) and is dominated by transport-limited (alluvial) rivers (Fig. 2). Many hillslopes are mantled by deeply weathered granite with occasional outcrops of intact bedrock. Tors and short cliffs are common on hillslopes, especially at high elevation and in areas of jointed bedrock. Small basins of coarse grus produced by hillside weathering are common along segmented reaches of streams, separated by short, narrow bedrock reaches. Isolated remnants of Miocene through Quaternary age...
volcanic rocks mantle these surfaces, which otherwise lack depositional cover (Bergquist and Nitkiewicz, 1982; Smith, 1964).

FLUVIAL ANALYSES

We exploit the fact that fluvial systems erode to a common base level. Throughout Late Cretaceous to Miocene time, the paleoshoreline lay just west and south of the Sierra Nevada, suggesting that the major rivers of the range were graded to sea level (Cox, 1987; Nilsen, 1987; Bartow, 1984, 1991). We use short channel segments on the relict landscape to reconstruct paleorelief using a scaling law that relates local channel slope ($S$) to drainage area ($A$), where drainage area is a proxy for discharge, through the channel parameters of steepness ($k_s$) and concavity ($\theta$) (e.g., Flint, 1974):

$$S = k_s A^{-\theta}. \quad (1)$$

We identify channel segments on the relict landscape as the upstream portion of the channel above major knickpoints or changes in concavity (Fig. 3). In the field, this transition is associated with an increase in local hillslope gradient and a change from alluvial-dominated to bedrock-dominated processes in the channel bed downstream of the relict landscape. Channel parameters measured from stream segments on the relict landscape are used to extrapolate the original stream profile downstream to the confluence with the major trunk rivers (Schoenbohm et al., 2004, and references therein; methods described in GSA Data Repository1). This reconstruction provides a measure of both paleorelief on tributary basins and the total amount of river incision below the relict landscape (Fig. 3).

Many stream profiles exhibit two major knickpoints, suggesting that two phases of increased river incision rate occurred in the formation of the modern steep river canyons. Using only the channel segment on the relict landscape (i.e., upstream of the highest knickpoint), average concavity values for the Kings and Kern rivers are $\theta = 0.40 \pm 0.09$ (1σ) and $\theta = 0.41 \pm 0.1$ with normalized steepness values of $k_{s\text{norm}} = 25.1 \pm 12.3$, respectively (Tables DR4 and DR5 [see footnote 1]). Steepness values vary strongly as a function of the concavity, so we calculate the normalized steepness value for each stream determined by a linear regression to slope-area data for a fixed concavity equal to the average concavity value for tributaries in that basin (Kirby et al., 2003, and references therein; see also supplemental text and Tables DR4 and DR5 in the GSA Data Repository [see footnote 1]). Relict tributary relief for the Kings River ranges from 270 to 1340 m, and total incision ranges from 730 to 1660 m. Relict tributary relief on the Kern River ranges from 150 to 970 m, and total incision ranges from 360 to 1380 m. The total amount of incision and paleotributary relief varies primarily as a function of distance along the main trunk river with maximum values located in the center of the drainage basin (Tables DR4 and DR5 [see footnote 1]). In the following sections, we examine long-term erosion rates in order to establish a chronology of relief production.

EROSION RATES

Apatite (U-Th)/He thermochronometry constrains long-term erosion rates because helium ages record the time at which

---

1GSA Data Repository Item 2005166, methods and analytical techniques and references, Tables DR1–DR5 (stream analyses, sample locations, and age data), and Figures DR1 and DR2 (location maps), is available online at www.geosociety.org/pubs/fl2005.htm or on request from Documents Secretary, GSA, P.O. Box 9140, Boulder, CO 80301-9140, USA, or editing@geosociety.org.
Granitic surfaces in the Kings River show erosion rates derived from cosmogenic isotopes. These rates are slow and long-term, extending back 32 Ma on the Kings River and 11 Ma on the eastern escarpment (Cottonwood). The ages agree with those from Mount Whitney and northward (House et al., 1997, 2001) (Fig. 4A).

We use the average elevation of relict landscape at each sampling locality as a measure of the paleoland surface and plot each transect as depth below this horizon (Fig. 4A; Table DR3 [see footnote 1]). The remarkable agreement among the profiles strongly indicates that the geothermal gradient and timing and rate of erosion are uniform across the entire study area. This observation is contrary to the expectations of Jones et al. (2004) that lateral variations in heat production are significant enough to perturb the age pattern. The helium ages suggest that <2 km of erosion of the relict landscape has occurred since 80 Ma, a conclusion consistent with igneous geobarometry from the Whitney region (Ague and Brimhall, 1988). The slope of the age-depth profile indicates an average erosion rate of 0.04 mm/yr continuing at least until 32 Ma in the Kings River drainage and to 11 Ma on the eastern escarpment. The two eastern profiles are located in the footwall of a normal fault and expose deeper crustal sections than the river canyon transects to the west and south, and the slow post-32 Ma erosion they imply may or may not extend to the Kings and Kern River regions. Therefore, we conservatively estimate the period of slow erosion in the major river canyons to extend to 32 Ma, the youngest helium age on the Kings River transect (Fig. 4). These slow long-term erosion rates are similar to short term rates derived from cosmogenic isotopes. Granitic surfaces in the Kings River catchment eroded at 0.012 mm/yr over

While helium ages record slow erosion rates from 80 to 32 Ma, late Pliocene–early Quaternary incision rates are much higher. Cosmogenic burial ages from cave sediments in the Kings River (Stock et al., 2004) indicate an incision rate of 0.27 mm/yr between 2.7 and 1.4 Ma, and volcanic capped river terraces in the central Kern River suggest an average incision rate of 1.1 mm/yr since 3.5 Ma (Dalrymple, 1963; Ross, 1986). However, these data directly record channel lowering, whereas the helium ages record an averaged erosion rate that encompasses both channel incision as well as local hillslope erosion. The acceleration of incision since at least 3.5 Ma in the southern Sierra can be related to the most recent propagation of an erosional signal through the fluvial network (Stock et al., 2005). This phase is likely related to the lowest elevation knickpoint on the tributary profiles (Stock et al., 2005) and to the prominent <3.5 Ma basalt-capped river terrace along the main Kern River (Dalrymple, 1963; Ross, 1986). Our youngest helium age on the west side of the range demands that slow erosion (0.04 mm/yr) continued until at least 32 Ma, so the initial onset of accelerated incision occurred sometime between 32 and 3.5 Ma.

PALEORELIEF ESTIMATES

Variations in surface topography result in perturbation of shallow isotherms (e.g., Stüwe et al., 1994). Therefore, samples collected along a horizontal profile at constant elevation may show variations in helium age that relate to paleorelief (House et al., 1998, 2001). Apatite samples collected parallel to the western front of the range at 2000 m yield early Cenozoic helium ages that negatively correlate with the modern relief of major river basins (House et al., 1998, 2001). House et al. (1998, 2001) found that ages vary 20–30 m.y. over a 70 km wavelength across the canyons and interfluvles of the San Joaquin and Kings rivers area. Assuming steady erosion of 0.05 mm/yr, these authors found the age variation to be consistent with 1–2 km of paleorelief (House et al., 2001). Ages from samples collected interior to the range and north of the San Joaquin–Kings area do not vary with topography, which is consistent

a rock cools through ~70 °C, or ~2–4 km deep in the crust (Wolf et al., 1996; Farley, 2002). The slope of helium age versus elevation for samples collected along a vertical transect, such as along a ridge line or canyon wall, is a measure of erosion rate over m.y. time scales. Three new helium age transects were collected south of Mount Whitney (Fig. 1; Tables DR1 and DR2 [see footnote 1]). These transects yield erosion rates of 0.04–0.06 mm/yr during the time interval from 73 to 47 Ma for two profiles in the Kern River (N. Kern and Isabella) and from 53 to 11 Ma for one profile on the eastern escarpment (Cottonwood). These rates agree with those from Mount Whitney and northward (House et al., 1997, 2001) (Fig. 4A).

We use the average elevation of the relict landscape at each sampling locality as a measure of the paleoland surface and plot each transect as depth below this horizon (Fig. 4A; Table DR3 [see footnote 1]). The remarkable agreement among the profiles strongly indicates that the geothermal gradient and timing and rate of erosion are uniform across the entire study area. This observation is contrary to the expectations of Jones et al. (2004) that lateral variations in heat production are significant enough to perturb the age pattern. The helium ages suggest that <2 km of erosion of the relict landscape has occurred since 80 Ma, a conclusion consistent with igneous geobarometry from the Whitney region (Ague and Brimhall, 1988). The slope of the age-depth profile indicates an average erosion rate of 0.04 mm/yr continuing at least until 32 Ma in the Kings River drainage and to 11 Ma on the eastern escarpment. The two eastern profiles are located in the footwall of a normal fault and expose deeper crustal sections than the river canyon transects to the west and south, and the slow post-32 Ma erosion they imply may or may not extend to the Kings and Kern River regions. Therefore, we conservatively estimate the period of slow erosion in the major river canyons to extend to 32 Ma, the youngest helium age on the Kings River transect (Fig. 4). These slow long-term erosion rates are similar to short term rates derived from cosmogenic isotopes. Granitic surfaces in the Kings River catchment eroded at 0.012 mm/yr over

the last 75 k.y. (Stock et al., 2004) and basin-averaged erosion rates from low-
with negligible paleorelief (<1 km) in the upstream portions of the San Joaquin and Kings Rivers and north of the San Joaquin River.

We collected two new horizontal transects from the Kern River area (Fig. 1). The northern profile at 3000 m elevation (North Kern) yields ages in the range of 55–65 Ma with older ages near the Kern River. There is a systematic westward increase in age on the east side the river (Fig. 5A), but apatite quality and yield precluded the dense sampling necessary to evaluate the age variation west of the river. These age variations could be consistent with either west tilting or paleorelief on the Kern River drainage basin. Following the model of House et al. (2001), the North Kern age variation of ~10 m.y. may indicate ~1 km of paleorelief across this basin from ca. 80 to 43 Ma. The southern transect (Isabella) shows no age variation across the range (Fig. 5B). This lack of east-west age variation and the southerly slope of this portion of the range suggest that the southern Sierra Nevada is tilted south and not west.

Samples collected in the glaciated portion of the range (Whitney and North Kern samples at >36.4°N latitude) are not systematically younger than depth-equivalent samples in unglaciated areas. The sensitivity of our measurement and scatter of data between adjacent samples (conservatively, 10%) equates to variability of ~8 m.y. (Fig. 4A). At an erosion rate of 0.04 mm/yr, an age variation of <8 m.y. suggests that no more than ~300 m of glacial erosion has occurred.

Estimates of paleorelief from the helium data are consistent with the reconstructed tributary relief on the relict landscape (Fig. 3), suggesting that the relict landscape is similar to the Late Cretaceous landscape. Using average channel parameters for tributaries on the relict landscape, we reconstruct the paleo-elevation profile for the main Kern and Kings Rivers. The height of these reconstructed channels, plus a typical 100 m hillslope relief at the channel head, provides an estimate of the paleo-crest elevation of the southern Sierra Nevada. Using Equation (1), we calculate the channel profile by assuming sea-level elevation at the modern bedrock-alluvial transition within the interval of 32–3.5 Ma. Application of the 4He/3He thermochronometry method, which is sensitive to even lower temperatures (40 °C), is one promising alternative (Shuster et al., 1999; Ducea and Saleeby, 1996; Farmer et al., 2002; Saleeby and Foster, 2004; Jones et al., 2004; Zandt et al., 2004; Boyd et al., 2004). The timing of the earlier pulse is needed to assess its

Figure 5. Modern (blue) and reconstructed (black) river profiles for trunk streams. Reconstructed profile is calculated from average concavity (θ) and average normalized steepness \( k_{\text{norm}} \) values determined from channel segments on the relict landscape (Tables DR4 and DR5; Figures DR1 and DR2 [see text footnote 1]). Dashed lines show ±1σ of normalized steepness values.

**DISCUSSION**

By comparison with modern ranges like the Andes, House et al. (2001) scaled the 1–2 km of paleorelief implied by thermochronometry to a range crest elevation of 3–4 km. We estimate the paleoelevation of the range using a different approach: using the helium data to suggest that the relict landscape is representative of the Sierran landscape in Late Cretaceous time, and reconstructing the fluvial relief of the Kings and Kern rivers based on the properties of the relict landscape (Fig. 5). Because the Late Cretaceous shoreline is shown in the subsurface west of the current eastern edge of the San Joaquin Valley (Bartow, 1991), the Kings River would have graded to sea level and the paleofluvial relief of the main river can be used to estimate ~1500 m (± 650 m) crestal elevation of the range in Late Cretaceous time, or ~2500 m less than today.

Two prominent knickpoints on many stream profiles and an extensive bedrock terrace level developed along the main Kern River suggest that the increase in late Cenozoic elevation was associated with at least two phases of rapid river incision (Fig. 3C). The most recent pulse began ~3.5 Ma in the Kern River (Dalrymple, 1963; Ross, 1986) and between 2.7 and 1.4 Ma in the central Kings River (Stock et al., 2004), both of which account for ~350–400 m of most recent incision in the central portions of these basins. At present, we lack data that allow us to determine when accelerated incision first began within the interval of 32–3.5 Ma. Application of the 4He/3He thermochronology method, which is sensitive to even lower temperatures (40 °C), is one promising alternative (Shuster and Farley, 2004).

The youngest phase of accelerated erosion (~3.5 Ma) is consistent with both Pliocene climate change (Small and Anderson, 1995; Zhang et al., 2001) and removal of a dense, eclogitic root from beneath the range (Jones et al., 1994; Ducea and Saleeby, 1996; Farmer et al., 2002; Saleeby and Foster, 2004; Jones et al., 2004; Zandt et al., 2004; Boyd et al., 2004). The timing of the earlier pulse is needed to assess its
possible relation to any one of several different proposed tectonic and geodynamic phenomena, such as (1) an early stage of lithospheric foundering and replacement by buoyant asthenosphere (Ducea and Saleeby, 1996); (2) passage of the slab-window (Atwater and Stock, 1998); (3) early faulting related to the opening of Owens Valley (Maheo et al., 2004); or (4) upwelling of asthenospheric mantle observed in the adjacent region beneath the Owens Valley and the eastern Mojave Desert (DePaola and Daly, 2000). Estimates of paleoleveage of the range crest are not consistent with a decrease in elevation during Cenozoic time caused by evacuation of silicic crust eastward to the extending Basin and Range province (Wernicke et al., 1996). Moderate elevations (~1500 m) could explain the mid-Miocene orographic barrier (Poage and Chamberlain, 2002), but do not support a model of decreasing elevation in late Cenozoic time.

CONCLUSION

The non-equilibrium condition of the Sierra Nevada allows us to quantitatively construct the topographic evolution of the Sierra Nevada using modern topography, field observations, thermochronometry, and cosmogenically derived erosion rates. Helium ages suggest that a constant erosion rate of 0.04 mm/yr prevailed from ca. 80 to ca. 32 Ma, and variations in helium ages across major drainage basins suggest that 1–2 km of maximum relief existed during this time period (House et al., 2001; this study). We posit that this landscape is represented by low-relief, upland surfaces preserved throughout the southern range. This 1–2 km of relief probably represents the fluvial relief on major tributaries of the ancestral Kings and San Joaquin Rivers. Longitudinal river profiles and channel slope–drainage area relationships suggest that two episodes of accelerated river incision followed this initial period of slower erosion. Reconstruction of channel profiles that originate on the relict landscape suggests that 150–1600 m of relief existed in tributary basins. In particular, reconstructed relief on the largest tributary basins where thermochrometric data were collected is between 1000 and 1300 m, which is in excellent agreement with the 1–2 km of paleorelief inferred from the helium data from the same localities. Using channel parameters measured from stream segments on the relict landscape, we can reconstruct the total paleorelief on the Kings and Kern Rivers and suggest that a modest range elevation of 1500 m existed between Late Cretaceous and Miocene time. Based on published data, ~350–400 m of our calculated 1380–1660 m maximum incision in the central Kings and Kern Rivers occurred in the past 3.5 m.y. Rapid incision beginning between ca. 32 and 3.5 Ma may relate to either protracted surface uplift associated with Basin and Range faulting or density changes in the lithosphere, such as by convective removal of a lithospheric root, by upwelling asthenosphere, or by passage of a slab window.
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