“Body Wave Attenuation Heralds Incoming Eruption at Mount Etna”

ATTENUATION TOMOGRAPHY: METHOD

The attenuation tomography is divided into two main steps (Roth et al., 1999; Rietbrock, 2001; Eberhart-Phillips and Chadwick, 2002): the determination of \( t^* \) in the frequency domain from the inversion of the P-wave spectrum, and the inversion of \( t^* \) data for the estimation of 3D Q models.

In the first step, \( t^* \) is obtained modeling the far-field velocity spectrum. According to Scherbaum (1990), the logarithm of the far-field velocity spectrum of a body wave \( V(f) \) is expressed by:

\[
\log_{10} V(f) = \log_{10} \left( 2\pi f \omega_0 \right) + \log_{10} \left( \frac{f_c^\gamma}{\left( f_c \right)^\gamma + (f)^\gamma} \right) - (\log_{10} e) \pi f \omega_0 e^{(1-\alpha)} \tag{1}
\]

where \( f_c \) is the corner frequency; \( \omega_0 \) is the low frequency level depending on the seismic moment; \( \gamma \) is the spectral fall-off, \( t^* \) is the operator which quantifies the attenuation along a ray path and \( \alpha \) quantifies the dependency of \( Q_p \) from frequency.

In our study we used short-period vertical velocity seismograms (seismometer eigenfrequency of 1 Hz), at a sampling frequency of 100 Hz. Assuming a point like source, a \( \omega^{-2} \) type source model (setting \( \gamma=2 \) in [1] ) and a frequency independent attenuation (setting \( \alpha=0 \) in [1]) within the 1-30 Hz frequency band, we solved (1) by a damped least squares inversion, using all the P-wave velocity spectra of each event to compute a common event \( f_c \), and single station \( \omega_0 \) and \( t^* \). Spectra were computed by using a FFT on a 1.28 s time window following the P wave arrival, with a taper width of 5 \%. A Hanning window is used to smooth the spectrum. The same procedure is used to estimate the spectral content of the noise preceding the P-onset. For a spectrum to be fitted, a continuous frequency band ranging from 1 Hz to 15 Hz must be at least 1.3 times greater than the noise spectrum. Since we are interested in estimating the high frequency decay rate, the upper frequency limit is extended to the anti-aliasing frequency (30 Hz) if the signal to noise ratio is above the threshold.

For N spectra recorded for a generic event, we construct the kernel matrix of the inverse problem taking the derivative of equation (1) with respect to each of the unknown parameters: \( \omega_0 \), \( t^* \) and \( f_c \). The number of rows of the kernel matrix is the sum of the spectral points of the N spectra of the event while the number of columns is 2N +1. We assume that for the \( i^{th} \) spectrum the ((i*2)-1) and the (i*2) columns are filled with the derivatives of equation (1) with respect to station \( \omega_0 \) and \( t^* \),
respectively. The column N is for the derivative of equation (1) with respect to the corner frequency. All the spectra contribute to the computation of $f_c^*$ hence, in the kernel matrix, the elements of the column N are not null. For example, if an event has 3 spectra and each spectrum contains 3 points, the inversion scheme is constructed as follows:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{1} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{3} \\
(\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{1} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{3} \\
0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{1} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{2} & 0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{3} \\
0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{1} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{2} & 0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{1} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{2} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{1} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{2} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{1} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{2} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{1} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{2} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t'})_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{1} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{2} & (\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \Omega})_{3}
\end{bmatrix}
\left[\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \Omega^* \\
\Delta t^* \\
\Delta \Omega^* \\
\Delta t^* \\
\Delta \Omega^* \\
\Delta t^*
\end{bmatrix}
\right]
$$

where:

RES is the data vector, i.e. the residuals between the observed and computed amplitudes on the basis of the starting values of the unknown parameters (see below); 
$(\partial / \partial \Omega_0), (\partial / \partial t^*), (\partial / \partial f_c^*)$ are the partial derivative of (1) with respect to single station $\Omega_0$, $t^*$ and event $f_c^*$ respectively;

$\Delta \Omega_0, \Delta t^*$ are the correction to the starting parameters for each spectrum (Si) while $\Delta f_c$ is correction for the event corner frequency.

In the kernel matrix, the subscript index $S_iP_j$ indicates the point j-th for the i-th spectrum.

Using the residuals between the observed and computed amplitudes, for each iteration step, the model is computed by a damping least squares scheme and the starting model is updated. The damping parameter is varied to improve the stability of the solution while minimizing the number of iterations.
For one event, the initial guess of the unknown parameters are selected as follows:

a) the event corner frequency is chosen after the visual inspection of all the spectra;
b) for each spectrum, the low frequency level $\Omega$ is computed using the spectral amplitudes below the selected $f_c$;
c) the starting $t^*$ is computed by the ratio between the known 3D traveltime (Patanè et al., 2002; Patanè et al., 2006) and a starting $Q_p$ of 100, as mean value for the structure of Mt. Etna down to 10-12 km depth (De Gori et al., 2005).

In the iterative fitting procedure, a maximum of 20 iterations are allowed. However, the majority of adjustments occur in the first 3-5 iterations and the RMS reduction varies, for a single event, from 40 to 80%.

All the $t^*$ computed are weighted on the basis of the goodness of the spectral fit. The RMS between the observed and computed amplitudes are translate into a weight to be used in the tomographic inversion of $t^*$. Following Eberhart-Phillips and Chadwick (2002), we assign weights of 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 for RMS less than 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 respectively. As commonly adopted for the quality of the phase picks, 0 is the best observation while 4 is associated to the worst, and is skipped for $Q$ tomography. An example of fitting $\Omega_0$, $t^*$ and $f_c$ for one event is shown in Fig. DR1.

The tomographic inversion for $Q_p$ structure relies on the definition of $t^*$ that can be expressed as a line integral along the ray-path:

$$t^* = \int \frac{ds}{V(ds)Q(ds)} + (t^*)_{site}$$

(3)

where $V(r)$ and $Q(r)$ are the velocity and quality factor for the P-wave along the segment of raypath with length $ds$. The term $(t^*)_{site}$ quantify the shallow attenuation near the seismic station. Starting from a priori locations and 3D velocity model, $t^*$ depends only on the $Q$ values along the rays.

The $t^*$ have been inverted for the 3D $Q_p$ structure and $(t^*)_{site}$ by using the code developed by Thurber (1993) and modified for attenuation by Rietbrock (2001). For the three epochs, the medium is parameterized with the same 3D grid of nodes (in the central part of the model, nodes are spaced 2 km by 2 km by 1 km) and velocity values reported in Patanè et al. (2002, 2006), assuming an initial $Q_p$ equal to 75. A damping of 0.003 s is added to the diagonal elements of the inverted matrix to optimize the model complexity and residual variance trade off (Eberhart-Phillips, 1986). Table DR1 summarizes the data used and statistics for the three inversions. The values of station $(t^*)_{site}$ are within +/- 0.01 s. Comparing these values with $t^*$
deriving from spectral fitting, we estimate that \((t^*)_{\text{site}}\) are on average less than 10-15 \% of the \(t^*\) along the complete ray-path.

**SOURCE MODEL AND FREQUENCY DEPENDENCY OF Q_p**

Following the literature, in the fitting procedure we assume: i) a point like source, ii) a frequency independent attenuation, and iii) a \(\omega^{-2}\) type source model.

i) The point source approximation is justified by the low magnitude of the events (more than 90\% of them have magnitude < 3.0). For such small earthquakes, rupture directivity and spectral effects related to the finite length of the fault can be neglected (Haberland and Rietbrock, 2001).

ii) In general, the dependence of Q on frequency is described by the power law \(Q=Q_0\omega^\alpha\), where \(Q_0\) is the frequency independent Q. At Mt. Etna, Giampiccolo et al. (2007) found that \(Q_p\) is weakly frequency-dependent in the central part of the volcano. Attenuation tomography studies reveal that the pattern of \(Q_p\) anomalies is similar and equivalent whether or not the frequency dependence is considered (Rietbrock, 2001; Eberhart-Phillips et al., 2008). In order to verify how this assumption influences the results, we run a test varying \(\alpha\) between 0 and 1, with the step of 0.1, and fitting all the velocity spectra (Rietbrock, 2001). In addition, we used two different source models, \(\omega^{-2}\) and \(\omega^{-3}\) (\(\gamma=2\) and \(\gamma=3\) in equation 1) to explore the third assumption discussed below. Fig. DR2 shows the overall RMS of spectral fit versus \(\alpha\) values, for the two considered source models. We find a similar RMS fit for \(\alpha\) ranging between 0 and 0.5, in agreement with the weak frequency-dependency of \(Q_p\) recognized by Giampiccolo et al. (2007), justifying the choice of using \(\alpha=0\).

iii) The source model influences the spectral fall off at frequencies higher than \(f_c\). Thus, the assumption of the source model leads to a different estimate of the absolute value of \(t^*\). In all the recent attenuation tomography studies, a \(\omega^{-2}\) model is adopted (Rietbrock, 2001; Eberhart-Phillips and Chadwich, 2002; Haberland and Rietbrock, 2001; De Gori et al., 2005). In the test described above, we observe that the \(\omega^{-2}\) source model fits the observed spectra better with \(\alpha\) lower than 0.5, in agreement with observations from other attenuation studies (Rietbrock, 2001; Eberhart-Phillips and Chadwich, 2002; Haberland and Rietbrock, 2001, Hawksson and Shearer, 2006).

In summary, the assumption of a different source model, or a frequency dependent \(Q_p\), would only influence the absolute values of \(Q_p\) and not the 3D anomaly pattern.

It is noteworthy that the \(\Omega_0\) and \(f_c\) values obtained by our inversion are consistent with seismic moments and stress drops observed at Mount Etna (Giampiccolo et al., 2007; Patanè et al., 1994, 1997), reinforcing the validity of our approach. In particular, the estimated seismic moments for the eruptive periods are within the range \(10^{13} - 10^{15}\) Nm, stress drop values are between 0.1 and 10 MPa, with source radius between 0.1 and 1 km.
ATTENUATION TOMOGRAPHY: MODEL RESOLUTION AND SYNTHETIC TESTS

The reliability of tomography images has been verified by the analysis of the full-resolution matrix (Toomey and Foulger, 1989), computing and visualizing the matrix diagonal elements, the averaging vectors (rows of the matrix) and the spread function (SF, i.e., the dispersion of each row of the matrix (Michelini and McEvilly, 1991; De Gori et al., 2005). A perfectly resolved node has a delta-like averaging vector, and values on the respective row of the matrix strongly picked on the diagonal element, i.e. large diagonal element, negligible off-diagonal elements resulting in a small value of SF. In order to visualize the directions of anomaly smearing, we contour the volume where the resolution is 70% of the diagonal element (Eberhart-Phillips and Chadwich, 2002). Well-resolved nodes have low values of SF and smearing effects localized in the surrounding nodes. The best resolved parameters of our three models are characterized by SF ≤ 2.0, and are located beneath the central-eastern part of the volcano between -1 and 2 km depth (Fig. DR3).

In addition, we run two synthetic tests to assess the capability of recovering a transient Qp anomaly during the 2002-2003 eruption by differential tomography and t* changes. We compute the difference between the Qp tomographic model of 2002-2003 eruption and that one relative to the pre-eruptive period. In particular, we use synthetic anomalies that are similar to those obtained in the real data inversions but of opposite sign. For the 2002-2003 pre-eruptive period the synthetic model consists of a low Qp anomaly (Qp=25) located in the central part of volcano, between -1 and 1 km depth. The same geometry is used for the eruptive 2002-2003 period, where a high Qp anomaly is used (Qp=125). For each synthetic model, t* are computed by forward modeling. Random white noise equal to 10% of the mean t* computed for all the observations is added to synthetic data. The high noise level accounts for the uncertainties in the computation of the t* operators. The inversions are carried out as in the real case. The differential model (eruptive minus pre-eruptive model) shows that the synthetic transient anomaly is recovered above 50 % between -1 to 1 km depth (Fig. DR4).

In the test for the t* time-changes, we use synthetic anomalies that reproduce the low Qp bodies imaged by the tomographic models. To simulate the intruding dykes, synthetic low Qp bodies (Qp=25) are located beneath the central summit area during the 2001 and 2002-2003 eruptions, using the same geometry as in figure DR4. In the intra eruptive period, the Qp model is unperturbed. Synthetic t* and random noise are computed as in the synthetic test described above. Successively, t* are converted in Qp using the traveltimes in the 3D velocity models. The average Qp is computed for each event and a moving window is used to produce the final trend using the same procedure described for the time-changes in the main text. The comparison between the retrieved time-series and those computed with the real data (see Fig. 3 of the main
text) demonstrates that this qualitative approach is highly reliable.
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FIGURESCAPTIONS

**Figure DR1.** Examples of P-wave spectra. The red line corresponds to the portion of the spectrum used to calculate the fit (thick black line). The noise spectrum is also shown as the black dotted line. For each spectrum the vertical bar indicates the corner frequency, common to all the stations of the event. Furthermore, $t^*Q$ and $W$ is the weight used in the tomographic inversion, calculated using the RMS between observed and computed spectral amplitudes.

**Figure DR2.** Overall RMS of spectral fit versus $\alpha$ values, for the two considered source models ($\gamma=2$ and $\gamma=3$ in equation 1).

**Figure DR3.** 70 % smearing contour for nodes with spread function (SF) < 1.5 (black) and with 1.5<SF<2.0 (grey) in the three Qp models. The red box from -1 to 1 km depth indicates the region where the main temporal variations are detected (see the main text Fig. 2 and Fig. 4).

**Figure DR4.** Synthetic test on transient Qp anomalies. Left) Synthetic model for the 2002-2003 eruption period. It consists of a high Qp body (Qp =125) located beneath the central part of volcano, from -1 to 1 km depth. During the pre-eruptive period, the synthetic model consists of a low Qp body (Qp =25) with the same spatial distribution. Right) Differential tomographic model obtained by subtracting the pre-eruptive model from the eruptive one. The anomalies are expressed as percentage of the starting differential anomaly. The thin black line represents the region where the resolution is satisfactory in both the models (SF≤2).
Figure DR5. Moving average of $Q_p$ vs. time, since 1 July 2001, as obtained by the synthetic test for the whole 2001-2003 period (a), and local zoom on the 2001 (b), and 2002-2003 (c) eruptive periods. Synthetic $Q_p$ time-series are obtained with a low $Q_p$ intruding dykes placed in the volcano axis during the 2001 and 2002-2003 eruptions.
TABLE DR1. SUMMARY OF THE INVERTED PARAMETERS FOR EACH DATASET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of events</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of t*</td>
<td>2442</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>2190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final RMS</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>0.01261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance improvement (%)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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